(19)

Europäisches
Patentamt
European
Patent Office
Office européen
des brevets

(11) **EP 1 333 905 B1**

(12) **EUROPEAN PATENT SPECIFICATION**

(45) Date of publication and mention
of the grant of the patent:
18.11.2009 Bulletin 2009/47

(21) Application number: **00967227.0**

(22) Date of filing: **28.09.2000**

(51) Int Cl.:
B01D 37/02 (2006.01)   **C02F 11/00** (2006.01)
C02F 1/00 (2006.01)

(86) International application number:
PCT/US2000/027082

(87) International publication number:
WO 2002/026350 (04.04.2002 Gazette 2002/12)

(54) **METHODS OF ENHANCING FINE PARTICLE DEWATERING**

VERFAHREN ZUR VERBESSERUNG DER ENTWÄSSERUNG FEINER TEILCHEN

PROCEDE PERMETTANT D'AMELIORER LA DESHYDRATATION DE PARTICULES FINES

EP 1 333 905 B1

Description

BACKGROUND

5 **[0001]** In the mining industry, nin-of-the-mine (ROM) ores are crushed and pulverized to detach (or liberate) the valuable components from waste rocks. Although ROM coal is rarely crushed, a significant portion is present as fine coal. The pulverized ores and fine coal are then separated using appropriate methods. One of the most widely used methods of separation is froth flotation. In this method, a pulverized ore (or fine coal) is mixed with water to form a slurry, to which surfactants known as collectors are added to render selected constituent(s) hydrophobic. For the case of

10 processing higher-rank coals such as bituminous and anthracite coals, which are naturally hydrophobic as mined, no collectors may be necessary. When these materials are not sufficiently hydrophobic, hydrocarbon oils are added to enhance their hydrophobicity. The hydrophobized (or naturally hydrophobic) particles are then collected by the air bubbles introduced at the bottom of a flotation cell. It is believed that the bubble-particle adhesion is driven by hydrophobic attraction. The air bubbles laden with hydrophobic particle rise to the surface of the aqueous pulp, while hydrophilic

15 particles not collected by the air bubbles exit the cell. Thus, flotation produces two products, i.e., floated and unfloated. The more valuable of the two is referred to as concentrate, and the valueless is referred to as tailings (or refuse).
[0002] The concentrates are dewatered before they can be further processed or shipped to consumers, while the tailings (or refuse) are discarded with or without extensive dewatering. The dewatering process consists of several steps. In the first step, a slurry is thickened to 35 to 75% solids in a large settling tank, while free water is removed from the

20 top and recycled back to the plant. In the second step, the thickened pulp is subjected to a mechanical dewatering process, such as filtration or centrifugation, to further remove the water. However, this process is inefficient, particularly when the mineral (or coal) particles are fine. In general, the moisture content in the dewatered product increases with decreasing particle size, which indicates that the residual moisture is mostly due to the surface water, i.e., the water molecules that are strongly adhering to the surface. For sulfide mineral concentrates, the filtered products contain typically

25 12 to 18% moisture by weight. For coal, the residual moistures are higher (20 to 30% by weight) due to its low specific gravity. Very often, these products need to be further dewatered in a third and the most costly step, i.e., thermal drying, which may be an option for high-priced materials. However, it is not so for low-priced commodities such as coal. Even for the high-priced materials, elimination of the third step has significant economic and environmental advantages.
[0003] At present, the costs of cleaning and dewatering fine coal (finer than 0.5 mm) are approximately 3 times higher

30 than those for cleaning coarser coal. For this reason, it is often more economical to discard the fines, if the fine coal constitutes only a small fraction of the product stream. This is typically the case with many coal producers around the world. In the U.S. alone, it is estimated that approximately 2 billion tons of fine coal has been discarded in abandoned ponds, while approximately 500 to 800 million tons of fine coal have been discarded in active ponds. On a yearly basis, the U.S. coal producers discard approximately 30 to 50 million tons of fine coal to ponds. This represents a loss of

35 valuable natural resources and causes significant losses of profit to coal producers. The U.S. coal producers are blessed in that the fines fractions constitute only 5 to 20% of their product streams. In countries where coals are more friable, the fines fractions can be in the 20 to 50% range. In this case, coal producers can no longer afford to discard the fines. It is unfortunate that there are no technologies available today, other than the costly thermal drying, to lower the moisture of coal fines.

40 **[0004]** The difficulty in dewatering fine particulate materials may be explained from first principles. Those skilled in the art consider that a filter cake consists of a series of capillaries of different radii, from which water is removed during the process of vacuum or pressure filtration. The water can be removed only when the pressure drop applied across the filter cake exceeds the pressure of the water present inside the capillaries. The pressure, Δp, in the capillary of radius, r, can be calculated using the Laplace equation:

45

$$\Delta p = \frac{2\gamma_{23}\cos\theta}{r}, \qquad\qquad [1]$$

50

in which γ_{23} is the surface tension at the water *3* and air *2* interface and θ is the contact angle of the inner walls of the capillary under consideration. In filtration, the capillary wall is made of the surfaces of the particles in the cake, and the effective capillary radius decreases with decreasing particle size. The contact angle is the most widely used measure

55 of particle hydrophobicity (water-hating property). In the cessile drop method, a drop of water is placed on the surface of interest and the angle is measured through the aqueous phase. Thus, the term contact angle used in the present invention refers to the water contact angle, which increases with increasing surface hydrophobicity. Eq. [1] suggests that the capillary pressure increases with decreasing capillary radius, which explains the difficulties encountered during

the filtration of fine particles. If a filter cake contains capillaries of different radii, it would be more difficult to remove the water from the finer capillaries. At a given pressure drop applied across the filter cake, one can see that the water trapped in the capillaries that are smaller than certain critical radius (r_c) cannot not be removed. Thus, the moisture of a filter cake should be determined by the amount of the water trapped in the capillaries smaller than the critical capillary radius.

[0005]   Eq. [1] suggests three ways of achieving low cake moistures during filtration. These include i) surface tension lowering, ii) capillary radius enlargement, and iii) contact angle increase. Various chemicals (dewatering aids) are used to control these parameters. One group of reagents is the surfactants that can lower the surface tension. Most of the dewatering aids used for this purpose are ionic surfactants with high hydrophile-lipophile balance (HLB) numbers. Sodium laurylsulfate and sodium dioctylsulfosuccinate, whose HLB numbers are 40 and 35.3, respectively, are typical examples. Sing (Filtration and Separation, March, 1977, pp. 159-163) suggested that the former is an ideal dewatering aid for coal because it does not adsorb on the surface, which in turn allows for the reagents to be fully utilized for surface tension lowering. The U.S. Patent No. 5,346,630 teaches a method of pressure spraying a solution of a dewatering aid from a position within the filter cake forming zone of a filter just prior to the disappearance of the supernatant process water. This method, which is referred to as torpedo-spray system, ensures even distribution of the dewatering aid without becoming significantly diluted by the supernatant process water.

[0006]   It should be noted here that high HLB surfactants are also used as wetting agents for hydrophobic materials such as coal. Recognizing that dewatering is essentially a de-wetting process, it is difficult to see how one type of reagents can be used for both. It is well known that high HLB surfactants adsorb on hydrophobic non-wetting surfaces with inverse orientation, i.e., with hydrocarbon tails in contact with the surface and the polar heads pointing toward the aqueous phase. Thus, high HLB surfactants can lower the surface tension, but they can also dampen the hydrophobicity and decrease the contact angle. For this reason, the high HLB surfactants used as dewatering aids can actually cause an increase in moisture content. Furthermore, the reagents remaining in filtrate eventually return to the flotation circuit and cause adverse effects.

[0007]   Various polymeric flocculants are used as dewatering aids. The role of these reagents is to increase the effective size of the particles in the filter cake, so that the pore radii are enlarged. This will greatly reduce the capillary pressure and, hence, increase the filtration rate. However, most of the flocculants used as dewatering aids are hydrophilic. Therefore, their adsorption dampens the hydrophobicity of the mineral or coal concentrates that are mildly hydrophobic by virtue of collector adsorption or by nature. Furthermore, the particles form small capillaries within each floc created by organic flocculants. Therefore, the method of using polymeric flocculants for dewatering has limitations. It has been reported that flocculants are capable of reducing dewatering rate but not necessarily the final cake moisture (Meenan, Proceedings of the Industrial Practice of Fine Coal Processing, Society of Mining Engineers, pp. 223-229,1988).

[0008]   Various electrolytes can also be used to coagulate the particles to be filtered, and improve dewatering, Groppo and Parekh (Coal Preparation, 1996, vol. 17, pp. 103-116) showed that fine coal dewatering improves considerably in the presence of divalent and trivalent cations. They found this to be the case when using cationic, anionic and nonionic surfactants.

[0009]   The U.S. Patent No. 5,670,056 teachers a method of using non-ionic (or neutral) low HLB surfactants and water-soluble polymers as hydrophobizing agents that can increase the contact angle above 65° and, thereby, facilitate dewatering processes. Mono-unsaturated fatty esters, fatty esters whose HLB numbers are less than 10, and water-soluble polymethylhydrosiloxanes were used as hydrophobizing agents. The fatty esters were used with or without using butanol as a carrier solvent for the low-HLB surfactants. This invention disclosure lists a group of particulate materials that can be dewatered using these reagents. These include coals, clays, sulfide minerals, phosphates, metal oxide minerals, industrial minerals and waste materials, most of which are hydrophilic without suitable pretreatment. The use of the low HLB surfactants disclosed in the U.S. Patent No. 5,670,056 may be able to increase the contact angles of the minerals that are already hydrophobic, but not for the hydrophilic particles.

[0010]   There are several other U.S. patents, which disclosed methods of using low HLB surfactants as dewatering aids. The U.S. patent Nos. 4,447,344 and 4,410,431 disclosed methods of using water insoluble nonionic surfactants with their HLB numbers in the range of 6 to 12. These reagents were used together with reagents (hydrotropes) that are capable of keeping the surfactants in solution or at the air-water interface rather than at the solid-liquid interface, so that they can be fully utilized in lowering surface tension. Thus, the role of the low HLB surfactants disclosed in this invention is different from: that of the surfactants disclosed in the U.S. Patent No. 5,670,056. They do not to adsorb on the surface of the particles and enhance their hydrophobicity. The low HLB surfactants, disclosed in the U.S. Patents Nos. 4,447,344 and 4,410,431, are the reaction products of one mole equivalent of a primary alcohol containing 6 to 13 carbons with 2 to 7 mole equivalents of methylene oxide.

[0011]   The U.S. Patent No. 2,864,765 teaches a method of using another nonionic surfactant, a polyoxyethylene ether of a hexitol anhydride partial long chain fatty acid ester, functioning alone or as a solution in kerosene. However, the disclosure does not mention that the nonionic surfactant increases the hydrophobicity of moderately hydrophobic particles. Furthermore, the compounds disclosed are essentially not adsorbed upon the solid surface of the ore particles and remain in the filtrate, as noted in the U.S. Patent No. 4,156,649. In the latter patent and also in the U.S. Patent No.

4,191,655, methods of using linear or branched alkyl ethoxylated alcohols as dewatering aids were disclosed. They were used in solutions of hydrocarbon solvents but in the presence of water-soluble emulsifiers such as sodium dioctylsulfosuccinate. As has already been discussed, the use of high HLB surfactants can dampen the hydrophobicity due to inverse orientation and increase the capillary pressure.

[0012] The U.S. Patent No. 5,048,199 disclosed a method of using a mixture of a non-ionic surfactant, a sulfosuccinate, and a defoaming agent. The U.S. Patent No. 4,039,466 disclosed a method of using a combination of nonionic, surfactant having a polyoxyalkylene group and an anionic surfactant. The U.S. Patent No. 5,215,669 teaches a method of using water-soluble mixed hydroxyether, which is supposed to work well on both hydrophobic (coal) and hydrophilic (sewage sludge) materials. The U.S. Patent No. 5,167,831 teaches methods of using non-ionic surfactants with HLB numbers of 10 to 14. This process is useful for dewatering Bayer process alumina trihydrate, which is hydrophilic. The U.S. Patent No. 5,011,612 disclosed methods of using Cg to C_{20} fatty acids, fatty acid precursors such as esters or amides, or a fatty acid blend. Again, these reagents are designed to dewater hydrophilic alumina trihydrate.

[0013] The U.S. Patent No. 4,206,063 teaches methods of using a polyethylene glycol ether of a linear glycol with its HLB number in the range of 10 to 15 and a linear primary alcohol ethoxylate containing 12 to 13 carbon atoms in the alkyl moiety. These reagents were used to dewater mineral concentrates in conjunction with hydrophobic alcohols containing 6 to 24 carbon atoms. The composition of this invention was preferably used in conjunction with polymeric flocculants. Similarly, the U.S. Patent No. 4,207,186 disclosed methods of using a hydrophobic alcohol and a non-ionic surfactant whose HLB number is in the range of 10 to 15.

[0014] It is well known that oils can enhance the hydrophobicity of coal, which is the reason that various mineral oils are used as collectors for coal flotation. The U.S. Patent No. 4,210,531 teaches a method of dewatering mineral concentrates using a polymeric flocculant, followed by a combination of an anionic surfactant and a water-insoluble organic liquid. The use of flocculant and ionic surfactants may be beneficial in dewatering, but they could dampen the hydrophobicity of the particles and, hence, adversely affect the process. The U.S. Patent No. 5,256,169 teaches a method to treat a slurry of fine coal with an emulsifiable oil in combination with an elastomeric polymer and an anionic and nonionic surfactant, dewatering the slurry and drying the filter cake, where the oil reduces the dissemination of fugitive dusts. The U.S. Patent No. 5,405,554 teaches a method of dewatering municipal sludges, which are not hydrophobic, using water-in-oil emulsions stabilized by cationic polymers. The U.S. Patent No. 5,379,902 disclosed a method of using heavy oils in conjunction with two different types of surfactants, floating the coal-emulsion mixture, dewatering the flotation product and drying them for reconstitution. The U.S. Patent No. 4,969,928 also teaches a method of using heavy oils for dewatering and reconstitution.

[0015] The U.S. Patent No. 4,770,766 disclosed methods of increasing the hydrophobicity of oxidized and low-rank coals using additives during oil agglomeration. The main objective this process is to improve the kinetics of agglomeration and ultimately the separation of hydrophilic mineral matter from coal. The additives disclosed in this invention include a variety of heavy oils and vegetable oils, alcohols containing 6 or more carbon atom, long-chain fatty acids, etc. When these additives were used, the product moisture was lower than would otherwise be the case. However, the process requires up 300 lb/ton of additives and uses 45 to 55% by volume of an agglomerant, which is selected from butane, hexane, pentane and heptane.

[0016] The U.S. Patent Nos. 5,458,786 disclosed a method of dewatering fine coal by displacing water from the surface with a large amount of liquid butane. The spent butane is recovered and recycled. The U.S. Patent No. 5,587,786 teaches methods of using liquid butane and other hydrophobic liquids for dewatering other hydrophobic particles.

OBJECTS OF THE INVENTION

[0017] From the foregoing, it should be apparent to the reader that one obvious object of the present invention is the provision of novel methods of decreasing the moisture of fine particulate materials during mechanical methods of dewatering processes such as vacuum and pressure filtration and centrifugation.

[0018] Another important objective of the invention is the provision of improving the rate at which water is removed so that given dewatering equipment can process higher tonnages of particulate materials.

[0019] An additional objective of the present invention is the provision of novel fine particle dewatering methods that can reduce the moisture to a level that no thermal drying is necessary.

[0020] Still another object of the instant invention is the provision of a novel dewatering method that creates no adverse effects on up- and downstream processes when the water removed from the dewatering processes disclosed in the present invention is recycled.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

[0021] The invention relates to a process according to claim 1. In particular, the instant invention discloses methods of rendering the particulate materials suspended in water hydrophobic and/or enhancing the hydrophobicity of the ma-

terials, so that the process of removing the water by mechanical processes such as filtration and centrifugation are improved. The improvements will result in lower product moisture and/or higher throughput. The essence of the invention is to render the particles reasonably hydrophobic in the first place by suitable means and, then, add non-ionic low HLB surfactants to significantly enhance the hydrophobicity of the particulate materials, so that the pressures required to expel the moisture from smaller capillaries are reduced substantially. This will greatly increase the rate of dewatering and reduce the cake moisture.

[0022] The hydrophobicity enhancing reagents disclosed in the present invention have HLB numbers below 15, and are insoluble in water. Therefore, appropriate solvents such as light hydrocarbon oils and short-chain alcohols may be used in conjunction with the low-HLB surfactants. The light hydrocarbon oils, which should also be considered as HLB surfactants, may also act as hydrophobicity enhancing agents. Furthermore, the packages of the reagents used in the instant invention are capable of lowering surface tension. Also, the particles coagulate owing to the increased hydrophobicity and, thereby, increase the capillary radius. Thus, the reagent compositions disclosed in the present invention is capable of increasing contact angle, lowering surface tension, and enlarging capillary radius, all of which should contribute to decreasing capillary pressure and improving dewatering. The instant invention also discloses reagent dosage by adding cations, and achieving substantial moisture reduction by spraying reagents to filter cake and applying mechanical vibration during drying cycle time.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

[0023] In any mechanical dewatering process, the bulk of the water present in a feed stream can be removed easily. It is mainly the residual water adhering to the surface of the particles that is difficult to remove. Clear evidence for this is given by the fact that residual cake moistures are proportional to the specific surface area of the particulate materials, which in turn increases with decreasing particle size. Thus, the difficulty in removing the surface water may be considered to arise from the fact that water molecules are held strongly to the surface by hydrogen bonds. This would be particularly the case with hydrophilic particles, which by definition have an innate affinity to water. One may choose to break the H-bonds by subjecting the slurry to a heat or a very high mechanical force field created by centrifugation or pressure. However, these methods entail high energy costs and maintenance problems. A better option would be to destabilize the water molecules on the surface of the particles, so that they can be more readily detached (or liberated) from the surface and be subsequently removed by weaker mechanical forces. The present invention discloses methods of destabilizing the surface water by rendering the particles substantially more hydrophobic than usually required for the flotation of minerals and coal using appropriate surfactants and combinations thereof.

[0024] Thermodynamically, dewatering can be represented as a process in which a solid/liquid interface, whose interfacial tension is γ_{12}, is displace by an air/water interface, whose interfacial tension is γ_{13}. The free energy change, ΔG, associated with the dewatering process can be obtained using the following relation:

$$\Delta G = \gamma_{13} - \gamma_{12}. \qquad\qquad [2]$$

If ΔG becomes negative, the dewatering process becomes spontaneous. The condition under which $\Delta G < 0$ can be found by considering the following relationship, which is known as Young's equation:

$$\gamma_{13} - \gamma_{12} = \gamma_{23} \cos\theta \qquad\qquad [3]$$

where γ_{12} and γ_{13} have the same meaning as in Eq. [2] and γ_{23} represents the interfacial tension at the air/water interface. Substituting Eq. [3] into Eq. [2], one obtains the following relationship:

$$\Delta G = \gamma_{23} \cos\theta . \qquad\qquad [4]$$

Eq. [4] suggests that ΔG becomes negative, i.e., dewatering becomes spontaneous, when θ exceeds 90°. The same conclusion can be drawn from Eq. [1], which suggests that the capillary pressure becomes negative at obtuse contact angles.

[0025] The process of flotation is also based on hydrophobizing mineral particles. Appropriate collectors are used to render the surface hydrophobic so that air bubbles can displace the water that has become labile due to the hydrophobization from the surface and establish a three-phase contact. For the process of bubble-particle adhesion (or formation of three-phase contact) to be spontaneous, the following relationship must hold:

$$\Delta G = \gamma_{12} - \gamma_{13} - \gamma_{23} < 0 \qquad\qquad [5]$$

Substituting Eq. [3] into Eq. [5], one obtains the following relationship:

$$\Delta G = \gamma_{23}(\cos\theta - 1) < 0, \qquad\qquad [6]$$

which suggests that the condition for bubble-particle adhesion (or flotation) is $\theta > 0$. Comparing Eqs. [4] and [6], one can see clearly that the hydrophobicity requirement for flotation is much less than for dewatering. For this reason; flotation practitioners have been content with the degree of hydrophobicity obtained by using collectors. Practically all of the collectors used in industry today are designed to render minerals hydrophobic with contact angles well below 90°. At the same time, one can now see why dewatering mineral concentrates has been so difficult. The floated products are simply not hydrophobic enough for efficient dewatering.

[0026] If water contact angle is less than 90°, the process is no longer spontaneous. One must supply energy to the system to displace the surface water. Eq. [4] shows that the free energy requirement is reduced by lowering surface tension and by increasing contact angle. Of these two variables, control of contact angle is a more powerful means of reducing cake moisture, particularly if it can be increased above 45°. Consider a case where one can increase contact angle from 45 to 85° using appropriate means. This will reduce the energy requirement by 8.1 times. Likewise, the critical capillary radius (r_c) will be also reduced by 8.1 times, according to the Laplace equation (Eq. [1]). Let us now consider a case, where surface tension is lowered from 72 to 40 mN/m. This will reduce the energy requirement and r_c by 1.8 times only. Note also that lowering the surface tension to 40 mN/m requires a large amount of surfactant, which can cause harmful effects such as hydrophobicity dampening (due to inverse orientation) and frothing problems.

[0027] In flotation, various collectors are used to render selected mineral constituents hydrophobic. The collectors adsorb on the surface with normal mode of orientation, i.e., with their polar heads in contact with the surface and their hydrocarbon tails pointing toward the aqueous phase. Thus, the collector molecules effectively coat the surface with hydrocarbon tails (or hydrophobes) that are hydrophobic. However, the hydrocarbon tails do not usually form a close-packed monolayer at the dosages normally employed in flotation practice. Even at high dosages, the hydrocarbon tails of collector molecules do not form close-packed monolayers. The reason is that the interaction between the polar heads and the surface are site specific and the number of reactive sites available on mineral surfaces are less than those required to form close-packed monolayers. For example, the number of negative charge sites available on mica surface is approximately one half of what is needed for dodecylammonium ions to form a close-packed monolayer. Thus, collector molecules usually form monolayers of sparsely populated hydrocarbon tails, the spaces between them being filled with water molecules. In such cases, contact angles are usually well below 90°. Such moderate hydrophobicity may be sufficient for flotation but not for spontaneous dewatering.

[0028] Smith (Transitions of AIME, vol. 226, pp.427-433, 1963) showed that the contact angles of silica were in the range of 15 to 30° in solutions of dodecylammonium hydrochloride at pH 6. When small amounts of dodecanol were added, the contact angle increased to 40 to 70°. Yoon and Ravishankar (J. Colloid and Interface Science, vol. 179, p. 391, 1996) reported similar results with mica immersed in dodecylammonium hydrochloride solutions containing small amounts of octanol or dodecanol. These investigators also showed that hydrophobic forces increased sharply at the contact angle of 90°.

[0029] In the instant invention, various non-ionic surfactants are used to increase the contact angle close to or above 90°, so that the efficiency of dewatering fine particulate materials is greatly improved. This is achieved by using various neutral (or nonionic) low HLB surfactants that may be useful for producing more complete monolayers. Part of the surfactants may adsorb in between the sparsely populated hydrocarbon tails and thereby increase the hydrocarbon chain density on the surface, which is conducive to hydrophobicity enhancement. Some of the surfactants may adsorb on top of the first monolayer of hydrophobes, which should also increase the hydrophobicity. Since the more hydrophobic moiety of a low HLB surfactant is attracted to the hydrophobes on the surface *via* hydrophobic interaction, the more polar part of the molecule may be exposed to the aqueous phase. However, such an orientation should not dampen the hydrophobicity significantly, because the polarity of the head groups of the low HLB surfactants disclosed in the present invention is much lower than that of high HLB surfactants.

[0030] On the less hydrophobic part of the surface, the low HLB surfactants disclosed in the instant invention may adsorb with their polar parts in contact with the surface, possibly *via* acid-base interactions. Such an adsorption mechanism will have the hydrocarbon tails point toward the aqueous phase, and thereby convert the less hydrophobic sites to more hydrophobic ones by covering the sites with hydrophobes.

[0031] The nonionic surfactants disclosed in the instant invention have HLB numbers below 15. These include fatty acids, fatty esthers, phosphate esters, hydrophobic polymers, ethers, glycol derivatives, sarcosine derivatives, silicon-based surfactants and polymers, sorbitan derivatives, sucrose and glucose esters and derivatives, lanolin-based derivatives, glycerol esters, ethoylated fatty esters, ethoxylated amines and amides, ethoxylated linear alcohols, ethoxylated tryglycerides, ethoxylated vegetable oils, ethoxylated fatty acids, etc. Most of these reagents are insoluble in water; therefore, they are normally used in appropriate solvents, which are light hydrocarbon oils and short-chain alcohols whose carbon atom numbers are less than eight. The light hydrocarbon oils include diesel oil, kerosene, gasoline, petroleum distillate, turpentine, naphtanic oils, vegetable oils, etc.

[0032] The light hydrocarbon oils may also act as hydrophobicity enhancing reagents. In addition, both the light hydrocarbon oils and short chain alcohols may act as added surfactants that can lower the surface tension of water. This is possible because the surface tensions of the solvents used in the instant invention are in the range of 20 to 30 mN/m. Thus, the use of a low HLB surfactant in conjunction with a proper solvent addresses two of the three parameters that are important for improving dewatering, namely, contact angle increase and surface tension lowering. It seems that the dewatering in the instant invention also cause particles to coagulate by virtue of increased hydrophobicity. This phenomenon, known as hydrophobic coagulation, should increase the capillary radius and help dewatering. Evidence for the hydrophobic coagulation is given by the fact that cake thickness increases by approximately 10% in the presence of the dewatering aids. Therefore, combinations of the reagents used in the present invention is capable of controlling all three parameters suggested by the Laplace equation (Eq. [1]), i.e., contact angle, surface tension and capillary radius, to achieve maximum moisture reduction. High HLB surfactants and polymeric flocculants usually address one, and adversely affect the others, as has been discussed.

[0033] Although hydrophobic coagulation causes the capillary radius to increase, which is beneficial for dewatering, still another method of achieving the same is disclosed. In the instant invention, metal ions are added to coagulate particles, which has been found to drastically reduce the amount of the surfactants required to achieve a desired moisture reduction. Various metal ions can be used for this purpose. In general, the higher the valence of the cations, the smaller the amount of the amount of the reagents needed to obtain beneficial effects. The reagents can be added before, during or after the addition of the dewatering aids disclosed in the present invention.

[0034] Of the three parameters affecting dewatering, contact angle is probably the most important. In the instant invention, contact angle is increased by using low HLB surfactants in conjunction with light hydrocarbon oils and short-chain alcohols. The driving force for the adsorption mechanism is the hydrophobic attraction. Since the hydrophobic attraction exists only between two hydrophobic entities, it is necessary that the particles to be dewatered be rendered hydrophobic prior to or during the addition of the low HLB surfactants. For hydrophilic particles such as untreated silica and clay, they are hydrophobized by adsorbing appropriate surfactants on the surface. After the initial hydrophobization step, a low HLB surfactant can be added to further enhance the hydrophobicity for improved dewatering. The surfactants that can be used for the initial hydrophobization step are usually high HLB surfactants whose polar head groups can interact with the surface via coulombic attraction, chemical bonding, electron-transfer, or acid-base interactions, while their non-polar tails are directed toward the aqueous phase. If a mineral concentrate from flotation processes is aged or oxidized during storage and transportation, it is necessary that the surface is re-hydrophobized using appropriate amount of collectors (or other high HLB surfactants) before adding the low HLB surfactants.

[0035] The instant invention also discloses a method of decreasing the final cake moisture by applying appropriate vibration to the filter cake. It is possible that the vibration improves the transportation of the water that has become labile by increasing the hydrophobicity of the particulate materials to be dewatered. This technique is particularly useful for lowering the moisture from thicker cakes.

[0036] The instant invention discloses still another method of decreasing cake moisture. This technique involves spraying light hydrocarbon oils and short-chain alcohols on a filter cake, which is particularly useful for achieving low cake moisture with thick cakes. It is believed that these reagents decreases the surface tension of the residual water left in the filter cake. This technique is efficient in lowering the surface tension of the water that is most difficult to remove. Spraying low HLB surfactant on to a filter cake is also effective in achieving low cake moistures using very little incremental reagent consumption.

[0037] An added benefit of using the dewatering aids disclosed in the present invention is that the kinetics of mechanical dewatering is substantially improved, which will greatly increase the throughput of dewatering devices. Furthermore, the dewatering aids of the present invention have the characteristics of anti-forming agents, which is very important for processing the particulate materials produced from flotation processes. Also, most of the reagents added as dewatering aids and blends thereof adsorb on the surface of the particulate materials, so that the water removed from the dewatering process can be recycled without creating problems at the upstream processes.

TEST PROCEDURE

[0038] Many different samples were used for dewatering tests. These include a silica flower (<0.037 mm (-400 mesh)), a Brazilian kaolin clay (90% finer than 2 μm), various coal samples from different sources and sulfide mineral concentrates. The first two were hydrophilic. Therefore, they were treated by a cationic surfactant to render the surface moderately hydrophobic. The hydrophobicity was further enhanced using a low HLB surfactant dissolved in a suitable light hydrocarbon oil before subjecting the sample to a dewatering test. When the sulfide mineral concentrates were received from Europe, they were superficially oxidized and became hydrophilic. As a means of regenerating fresh hydrophobic surface, they were re-floated using a thiol collector and methylisobutyl carbinol (MIBC) as a frother. The results obtained without the re-flotation step was relatively poor, indicating that the low HLB surfactants does not adsorb on the samples that have become hydrophilic due to oxidation during transportation

[0039] Some of the coal samples were used as received. Most of the tests were conducted, however, after re-flotation using standard flotation reagents such as kerosene and MIBC. When a sample became hydrophilic due to aging and superficial oxidation during transportation, it was wet-ground in a ball mill for a short period of time to remove the oxidation products and regenerate fresh, moderately hydrophobic surface. This procedure helped the low HLB surfactants work better, indicating that they do not adsorb on hydrophilic surfaces. In order to eliminate the problems concerning oxidation, many tests were conducted using coarse coal products from the dense-medium circuit. These samples were crushed, pulverized, wet-ground in a ball mill, and floated using kerosene and MIBC. The flotation product was placed in a container and agitated continually. A known volume of the slurry was transferred to an Elenmeyer flask. A known amount of a dewatering aid was added to the flask before shaking it for 2 minutes. The conditioned slurry was then poured into a filter to initiate a filtration test. After a preset drying cycle time, the product was removed from the filter, dried in an oven for overnight, and then weighed to determine the cake moisture. During each test, cake formation time, which is the time it took for bulk of the water is drained, was recorded along with the cake thickness. For vacuum filtration, a 6.35cm (2.5-inch) diameter Buchner funnel with medium porosity glass frit was used. To conduct tests at large cake thicknesses, the height of the Buchner filter was extended. For pressure filtration, a 6.35cm (2.5-inch) diameter air pressure filter with cloth fabric medium was used to conduct tests under different pressures. It was made of Plexiglas so that the events taking place during filtration could be seen.

EXAMPLES

Example 1

[0040] In this example, sorbitan monooleate (Span 80), whose HLB number is 4.3, was used as a dewatering aid. Since the surfactant is insoluble in water, it was dissolved in a suitable solvent before use. In this example, dewatering tests were conducted with the surfactant dissolved in five different solvents, which included diesel oil, kerosene, fuel oil, gasoline, and butanol. Each test was conducted using one part by volume of the active ingredient dissolved in two parts of a solvent.

[0041] A 6.35 cm (2.5-inch) diameter Buchner funnel with medium porosity glass frit was used at 6.35mm (2.5-inch) Hg vacuum pressure with 2 minute drying cycle time and 1.143cm (0.45-inch) cake thickness. The tests were conducted on a Pittsburgh coal sample. It was a dense-medium clean coal product, which was crushed, ground, and screened to obtain a 0.5 mm x 0 fraction. The fine coal sample prepared as such was floated using a laboratory flotation machine using 0.5 kg/t (1 lb/ton) of kerosene as collector and 82.7 g/t (75 g/ton) of MIBC as frother. The flotation product was used as a feed to filtration tests. The feeds to filtration experiments were prepared each day to ensure that coal surface was fresh and moderately hydrophobic. Sorbitan monooleate and other low HLB surfactants disclosed in the present invention do not work well when samples are hydrophilic. Also, their performance deteriorates significantly when samples are oxidized to become partially hydrophilic.

[0042] Table 1 shows the results of the fiitration experiments. Diesel oil and kerosene gave the best results. In general, mineral oils gave considerably better results than butanol, which was used as a solvent for mono-unsaturated fatty esters whose HLB numbers are less than 10 in the U.S. Patent No. 5,670,056. At 1.5 (3) to 2.5 kg/t (5 lb/ton) sorbitan monooleate, the moisture reductions where nearly 50%. Such results are far superior to what can be achieved using conventional dewatering aids that are designed to control surface tension.

Table 1 <u>Effects of Using Sorbitan Monooleate with Various Solvents for the Vacuum Filtration of a Pittsburgh Coal (0.5 mm x 0) Sample</u>

Reagent Dosage kg/t (lbs/ton)	Cake Moisture (% wt)				
	Diesel	Kerosene	Fuel Oil	Gasoline	Butanol
0 (0)	25.7	25.7	25.7	25.7	25.7
0.5 (1)	15.1	15.0	16.6	16.3	17.2
1.5 (3)	13.8	13.7	14.8	14.5	15.8
2.5 (5)	12.5	13.4	14.2	14.2	15.3

Example 2

[0043]   Sorbitan monooleate was used as a dewatering aid in the filtration of coal sample using diesel oil as a solvent. One part of the surfactant by volume was dissolved in two parts of the solvent before use. The coal sample used in this example was a 0.6 mm x 0 flotation product from Blackwater coal preparation plant, Australia, which was received in the form of slurry. It was found, however, that the sample was considerably oxidized during transportation. As a means of regenerating fresh surface, the coal sample was wet-ground in a ball mill for 1.5 minutes, and re-floated using 0.5 kg/t (1 lb/ton) kerosene and 82.7 g/t (75g/ton) MIBC. The process of regenerating fresh surface and re-floating the pulverized coal, rendered the coal surface moderately hydrophobic, which appeared to be a prerequisite for the dewatering aids disclosed in the present invention to work more effectively.

[0044]   The pressure filtration tests were conducted at different reagent additions, cake thicknesses, and air pressures. In each test, 2 minutes of conditioning time and 2 minutes of drying cycle time were employed. The results are given in Table 2. The reagent dosages given in this table refer to the active ingredient only. In general, the moisture reduction improves with increasing reagent dosage, decreasing cake thickness, and increasing air pressure. At 200 kPa of air pressure, the cake moisture was reduced by nearly 50% at 2.16 cm (0.85 inches) of cake thickness and 2.5 kg/t (5lb/ton) sorbitan monooleate.

Table 2 <u>Effects of Using Sorbitan Monooleate for the Filtration of a Blackwater Coal (0.6 mm x 0) Sample at Different Air Pressures</u>

Applied Pressure (kPa)	Reagent Addition kg/t (lb/ton)	Cake Moisture (%wt)		
		Cake Thickness (inch) - cm		
		(0.25) 0.635	(0.50) 1.27	(0.85) 2.16
100	0 (0)	27.5	29.5	30.1
	0.5 (1)	17.3	21.6	22.5
	1.5 (3)	12.8	15.8	18.4
	2.5 (5)	9.4	14.6	16.7
200	0 (0)	24.5	26.2	27.8
	0.5 (1)	13.2	14.6	19.4
	1.5 (3)	8.4	11.9	16.4
	2.5 (5)	7.9	10.5	14.2

Example 3

[0045]   Sorbitan monooleate was also tested as a dewatering aid for zinc (sphalerite) concentrate. The sample (0.105 mm x 0) was a flotation product, which was oxidized, however, during transportation. As a means of regenerating fresh hydrophobic surface, the sample was wet-ground in a ball mill for 1.5 minutes and re-floated using 55.1 g/t (50 g/ton) sodium isopropyl of xanthate (NaIPX) and 55.1 g/t (50 g/ton) MIBC. The flotation product was subjected to pressure filtration tests using a 6.35 cm (2.5-inch) diameter filter at 100 kPa of air pressure and 2 minutes of drying cycle time. The cake thickness was varied by changing the volume of the slurry, used in the filtration tests. The results are given in Table 3. The %moisture reductions were 64.1, 54.8, and 52.8% at 0.508 (0.2), 0.762 (0.3) and 1.524 cm (0.6 inches) of cake thicknesses, respectively, at 1.5 kg/t (3 lb/ton) sorbitan monooleate. Moisture reduction did not further increase significantly at 2.5 kg/t (5 lb/ton).

Table 3 Effects of Using Sorbitan Monooleate for the Filtration of a Zinc Concentrate (0.105 mm x 0) at 100 kPa of Air Pressure

Reagent Dosage kg/t (lbs/ton)	Cake Moisture (% wt.)		
	Cake Thickness (inch) - cm		
	(0.2) 0.508	(0.3) 0.762	(0.6) 1.524
0 (0)	14.2	15.5	18.0
0.5 (1)	6.5	8.4	9.1
1.5 (3)	5.1	7.0	8.5
2.5 (5)	4.7	6.6	8.1

Example 4

[0046]   Ethyl oleate is another low HLB number surfactant, which was tested as a dewatering aid in the present invention. This reagent was also used as a dewatering aid in the U.S. Patent No. 5,670,056, in which butanol was used as a carrier solvent. In the present example, ethyl oleate was tested for the vacuum filtration of a 0.5 mm x 0 Pittsburgh coal using mineral oils as solvents. The method of preparing the coal sample and the procedures employed for the filtration experiments were the same as described in Example 1. The results obtained with four different mineral oils are given in Table 4 and are compared with those obtained using butanol as a solvent. As shown, mineral oils produced considerably better results than butanol.

Table 4 Effects of Using Ethyl Oleate Mixed with Different Solvents on the Vaccum Filtration of a Pittsburgh Coal (0.5 mm x 0)

Reagent Dosage kg/t (lb/ton)	Cake Moisture (% wt)				
	Diesel	Kerosene	Fuel Oil No. 4	Gasoline	Butanol
0 (0)	26.4	26.4	26.4	26.4	26.4
0.5 (1)	16.6	16.6	17.2	17.0	18.7
1.5 (3)	14.2	14.5	15.4	14.7	16.5
2.5 (5)	13.3	13.4	14.1	13.8	15.7

Example 5

[0047]   Ethyl oleate was used as a dewatering aid for the vacuum filtration of a bituminous coal sample from Elkview Mine, British Columbia, Canada. The sample was a 0.21 mm x 0 flotation product, which was received as a slurry. It was oxidized during transportation; therefore, the sample was wet-ground in a ball mill for 15 minutes and re-floated using 0.5 kg/t (1 lb/ton) kerosene and 82.7 g/t (75 g/ton) MIBC before filtration. A 6.35 cm (2.5-inch) diameter Buchner funnel was used at a vacuum pressure of 635 mm (25 inches) Hg and 2 min drying cycle time. The tests were conducted using different amounts of ethyl oleate dissolved in 33.3% solutions in diesel oil at 0.635 (0.25) and 1.27cm (0.5 inches) of cake thicknesses. At 2.5 kg/t (5 lb/ton) ethyl oleate, the moisture reductions were 71.3 and 57.4% at 0.635 (0.25) and 1.27 cm (0.5. inches) of cake thicknesses, respectively.

Table 5 Effects of Using Ethyl Oleate for the Filtration of a 0.21 mm x 0 Elkview Coal Sample at 200 kPa of Air Pressure

Reagent Dosage kg/t (lbs/ton)	Moisture Content (%wt)	
	Cake Thickness (inch)-cm	
	(0.25) 0.635	(0.50) 1.27
0 (0)	24.0	26.3
0.5 (1)	10.3	15.2
1.5 (3)	7.8	12.6
2.5 (5)	6.9	11.2

Example 6

[0048] Ethyl oleate was tested as dewatering aid for a lead concentrate (0.074 mm x 0) received from a flotation plant in Europe. One part by volume of the surfactant was dissolved in 2 parts of diesel oil before use. The sample, which was received as thickened slurry, was oxidized during transportation. To generate fresh, hydrophobic surface, the sample was wet-ground for 1.5 minutes and re-floated using 55.1 g/t (50 g/ton) NaIPX and 55.1 g/t (50 g/ton) MIBC before filtration. A 6.35 cm (2.5-inch) diameter Buchner funnel was used for filtration at a vacuum pressure of 63.5 cm (25-inch) Hg and at a drying cycle time of 2 minutes. The tests were conducted at various reagent additions and cake thicknesses. At 1.5 kg/t (3 lb/ton) ethyl oleate, the cake moisture was reduced to 6% at 1.524 cm (0.6 inches) of cake thickness. At such low moisture level, it would not be necessary to dry the concentrate further using a thermal drier.

Table 6 Effects of Using Ethyl Oleate for the Filtration of a Lead Concentrate (0.074 mm x 0) Sample at Varying Reagent Dosage and Cake Thickness

Reagent Dosage kg/t (lbs/ton)	Moisture Content (% wt.)		
	Cake Thickness (inch) - cm		
	(0.2) 0.508	(0.3) 0.762	(0.6) 1.524
0 (0)	9.9	11.5	13.1
0.5 (1)	5.3	5.5	7.8
1.5 (3)	4.3	5.2	6.0
2.5 (5)	4.0	5.1	5.8

Example 7

[0049] Polymethylhydrosiloxanes (PMHS) were disclosed as dewatering aids in the U.S. Patent No. 5,670,056. However, this disclosure does not teach that better results can be obtained when the reagents are used after dissolving them in appropriate solvents. Table 7 shows the results of the vacuum filtration tests conducted using a PMHS whose molecular weight is 2,900 with and without using various solvents. The filtration tests were conducted on a Pittsburgh coal in the same manner as described in Example 1. The results show that use of suitable solvents significantly reduced the cake moisture. This would be particularly important when using hydrophobic polymers of high molecular weights.

Table 7 Effects of Using a PMHO with Molecular Weight of 2900 Dissolved in Different Solvents for the Vacuum Filtration of a Pittsburgh Coal (0.5 mm x 0)

Reagent Dosage kg/t (lb/ton)	Cake Moisture (% wt)					
	Diesel	Kerosene	Fuel Oil	Gasoline	Butanol	None
0 (0)	26.1	26.1	26.1	26.1	26.1	26.1
0.5 (1)	16.8	17.3	17.6	17.8	18.3	20.4
1.5 (3)	15.0	15.2	15.4	15.6	17.0	19.0
2.5 (5)	14.8	14.7	15.2	15.2	16.4	18.3

Example 8

[0050] Sorbitan monooleate with 20 polyoxyethlene (POE) groups (Tween 80) is a nonionic surfactant with its HLB number at 15, which is higher than those of other non-ionic surfactants disclosed in the present invention. Nevertheless, the reagent was not completely soluble in diesel. Therefore, one part by volume of the surfactant was mixed with two parts of diesel oil and one part of butanol before use. The nonionic surfactant dissolved in the mixed solvent was used as a dewatering aid for a bituminous coal (0.84 mm x 0) from Massey Coal Company, West Virginia, using a 6.35 cm (2.5-inch) diameter pressure filter. The coal sample was a spiral product, which was wet-ground in a ball mill and floated using 0.5 kg/t (1 lb/ton) kerosene and 110.2 g/t (100 g/ton) MIBC. The filtration experiments were conducted at 200 kPa air pressure by varying reagent addition and cake thickness at 2 min drying cycle time. The best results were obtained at 0.5 (1) and 1 kg/t (2 lb/ton). At 1 kg/t (2 lb/ton) Tween 80 and 2.032 cm (0.8 inches) cake thickness, the moisture reduction was 54.9%. At smaller cake thicknesses, higher levels of moisture reductions were achieved. Interestingly, the moisture reduction deteriorates at higher reagent dosages, which may be due to the inverse orientation of the

surfactant molecules with their polar heads (BO groups) pointing toward the aqueous phase. Such orientation should make the surface less hydrophobic, which is detrimental to dewatering. The inverse orientation is possible with a nonionic surfactant with a relatively high HLB number, particularly with EO groups.

[0051]   Vacuum filtration tests were also conducted using Tween 80 dissolved in diesel alone and in butanol alone. The results were not as good as those obtained using the mixed solvents as shown in Table 8.

Table 8 Effects of Using Sorbitan Monooleate with 20 EQ Groups Dissolved in a Mixed Solvent for the Filtration of a Massey Coal (0.85 mm x 0) Sample at 200 kPa of Air Pressure

Reagent Addition kg/t (lb./ton)	Moisture Content (% wt.)		
	Cake Thickness (inch) - cm		
	(0.2) 0.508	(0.4) 1.016	(0.8) 2.032
0 (0)	22.2	23.5	25.3
0.25 (0.5)	10.3	12.2	13.8
0.5 (1)	8.2	9.7	11.6
1.0 (2)	7.8	9.6	11.4
1.5 (3)	9.8	10.5	13.7

Example 9

[0052]   Phosphate esters constitute an important group of low HLB surfactants. They can also be used as dewatering aids for coal and other mineral concentrates that are moderately hydrophobic. Table 9 shows the results obtained using tridecyldihydrogen phosphate (TDDP) (a phosphoric acid mono-tridecyl ester) as a dewatering aid in the vacuum filtration of a Pittsburgh coal (0.5 mm x 0) sample. Various mineral oils and butanol were used as solvents for the low HLB surfactant. Mineral oils, particularly diesel oil and kerosene, gave better results than butanol. With diesel oil, the moisture reduction was 50%. The sample preparation and the experimental procedures employed were the same as described in Example 1. All of the filtration tests were conducted at a 1.143 cm (0.45-inch) cake thickness.

Table 9 Effects of Using Tridecyldihydrogen Phosphate (TDDP) for the Vacuum Filtration of a Pittsburgh Coal (0.5 mm x 0) Sample Using Various Solvents

Reagent Dosage kg/t (lbs/ton)	Cake Moisture (% wt)				
	Diesel	Kerosene	Fuel Oil No 4	Gasoline	Butanol
0 (0)	26.2	26.2	26.2	26.2	26.2
0.5 (1)	17.4	16.8	17.8	18.0	19.5
1.5 (3)	13.9	14.2	14.8	15.4	17.5
2.5 (5)	13.1	13.4	13.9	14.3	14.3

Example 10

[0053]   The effectiveness of TDDP may be attributed to the likelihood that it enhances the hydrophobicity of coal. To confirm this possibility, contact angle measurements were conducted on a polished Pittsburgh coal sample, and the results are given in Table 10. Also shown for comparison are the results of the vacuum filtration tests conducted on the Pittsburgh coal sample and the surface tensions of the filtrate. The filtration tests were conducted using a 6.35 cm (2.5-inch) diameter Buchner funnel at 63.5 cm (25-inch) vacuum pressure, 2 minute drying cycle time, and 1.143 cm (0.45-inch) cake thickness. The sample was a dense-medium product, which was crushed and ground to obtain a 0.5 mm x 0 fraction. The fine coal sample was floated using 0.5 kg/t (1 lb/ton) kerosene and 110.2 g/t (100 g/ton) MIBC.

[0054]   As shown, the reagent addition caused an increase in contact angle and a decrease in surface tension, both of which are conducive to improved dewatering. It is interesting that contact angle increased from 12° to 90° at 1.5 kg/t (3 lb/ton). Thermodynamically, water should recede spontaneously from a solid surface when its contact angle exceeds 90°. The fact that water is still left in the cake at such high contact angle may be a reflection of the slow kinetics of transporting the water 'liberated' from the surface through filter cake. The primary role of the low HLB surfactants is to help liberate the water molecules adhering on the surface of coal by further increasing its hydrophobicity. Both the nonionic surfactant and the solvent may have contributed to the surface tension lowering.

[0055] It may be noteworthy that at 1 lb/ton kerosene the moisture was reduced from 28.4 to 25.3%, which is far less than the cases of using mixtures of TDDP and diesel oil. Even when the dosage of kerosene (or any other mineral or vegetable oil) was increased, the moisture reduction did not exceed more than 5%. When the kerosene dosage was increased to very large amounts, moisture content actually increased. This may be attributed to the likelihood that water traps within the flocs of coal created in the presence of large amounts of oil. Only when judicious amounts of low HLB surfactants, such as TDDP and others disclosed in the present invention, are used in conjunction with appropriate solvents, significant moisture reductions can be achieved.

Table 10 Effects of TDDP on the Surface Chemistry Parameters for the Filtration of a Pittsburgh Coal Sample

Reagent Type	Reagent Dosages kg/t (lb/ton)	Contact Angle (Degree)	Filtrate Surface Tension (mN/m)	Moisture Content (%wt)
None	0 (0)	12	71	28.4
Kerosene	0.5 (1)	40	70	25.3
TDDP	0.5 (1)	74	67	16.2
	1.0 (2)	84	65	14.0
	1.5 (3)	90	61	12.8
	2.5 (5)	92	57	11.9

Example 11

[0056] A bituminous coal from Elkview Mine, British Columbia, Canada, was used for a series of pressure filtration tests using TDDP as dewatering aid. One part by volume of the reagent was dissolved in two parts of diesel oil before use. The tests were conducted using a 6.35 cm (2.5-inch) diameter pressure filter at 200 kPa air pressure and 2 min drying cycle time. The coal sample was a flotation product (0.21 mm x 0) received as a slurry. The sample was re-floated using 0.5 kg/t (1 lb/ton) kerosene and 82.7 g/t (75 g/ton) MIBC as a means of regenerating fresh, hydrophobic surfaces. The filtration tests were conducted at different cake thicknesses using different amounts of reagents. At 2.5 kg/t (5 lb/ton) TDDP and 0.635 cm (0.25 inches) cake thickness, the moisture was reduced from 25.8 to 5.8%, which represents a 77.5% reduction. The moisture was reduced to less than 10% even at 1.27 cm (0.5 inches) cake thickness.

Table 11 Effects of Using TDDP on the Filtration of an Elkview Coal at 200 kPa Air Pressure

Applied Pressure (kPa)	Reagent Dosage kg/t (lbs/ton)	Moisture Content (%wt)	
		Cake Thickness (inch) - cm	
		(0.25) 0.635	(0.50) 1.27
200	0 (0)	25.8	27.1
	0.5 (1)	9.3	12.0
	1.5 (3)	7.4	10.4
	2.5 (5)	5.8	9.8

Example 12

[0057] Various ionic surfactants are used as dewatering aids for fine coal dewatering. Brooks and Bethel (1984) used cationic surfactants (amines) to obtain significant improvements in fine coal dewatering. It would, therefore, be of interest to compare the performance of the low HLB surfactants used in the present invention with those obtained using amines. Table 12 compares the results of the vacuum filtration tests conducted on a bituminous coal from the Middle Fork coal preparation plant, Virginia, using two different cationic surfactants (diaminecyclohexane and dodecylammonium chloride) of high HLB numbers and two different low HLB No. nonionic surfactants (sorbitan monooleate and TDDP). The coal sample was a dense-medium product, which was crushed and ground to obtain a 0.6 mm x 0 fraction. All tests were conducted using a 6.35 cm (2.5-inch) diameter Buchner funnel at 6.35 mm (25-inches) Hg vacuum pressure, 2 min drying time, and 1.143 cm (0.45-inches) cake thickness. The results given in Table 12 show that the low HLB surfactants used in the manner disclosed in the present invention are substantially more efficient than the high HLB surfactants.

Table 12 <u>Results of the Vacuum Filtration Tests Conducted on a Middle Fork Coal Sample Using High and Low HLB Surfactants</u>

Reagent Dosage kg/t (lbs/ton)	Cake Moisture (% wt)			
	Diamine	Dodecyleamine	Span 80	TDDP
0 (0)	22.6	22.6	22.6	22.6
0.25 (0.5)	20.6	19.1	16.5	16.9
0.5 (1)	20.5	18.6	15.0	15.3
1.0 (2)	19.7	17.9	12.6	12.2
1.5 (3)	19.8	17.4	11.4	11.1
2.5 (5)	20.9	17.1	10.9	10.2

Example 13

[0058]   As discussed in Example 10, it is one thing to liberate the water molecules from the surface of the particles to be dewatered using low-HLB surfactants, but it is another to transport the liberated water droplets through a filter cake. The latter problem becomes more serious with thicker cakes. One way to minimize the second problem is to apply vibration during filtration. Therefore, a bituminous coal (0.6 mm x 0) from Massey Coal Company was subjected to a series of vacuum filtration experiments, in which a 6.35 cm (2.5-inch) Buchner funnel was vibrated during the 5 min drying cycle time. The feed to the filtration tests was prepared in the same manner as described in Example 8. The vibration was created by placing an ultrasonic probe at the bottom part of the funnel. Varying amounts of sorbitan monooleate were used as dewatering aid at 0.635 (0.25) and 1.27 cm (0.5 inches) of cake thicknesses. One part by volume of the surfactant was dissolved in two parts of diesel oil before use. The results, given in Table 13, show that very low levels of cake moisture can be achieved by combining the methods of using low HLB surfactants and mechanical vibration.

Table 13 <u>Effects of Ultrasonic Vibration on the Vacuum Filtration of a Bituminous Coal (0.6 mm x 0) Using Sorbitan Monooleate</u>

Reagent Addition kg/t (lb/ton)	Cake Moisture (% wt.)			
	0.635 cm (0.25 Inch) Cake		1.27 cm (0.5 Inch) Cake	
	w/o Vibration	w/ Vibration	w/o Vibration	W/ Vibration
0 (0)	25.5	19.2	26.4	21.7
0.5 (1)	15.2	10.3	17.7	12.1
1.0 (2)	12.3	8.5	16.5	10.3
1.5 (3)	12.2	6.4	15.6	9.2
2.5 (5)	11.5	5.5	15.2	8.5

Example 14

[0059]   As suggested by the Laplace equation, surface tension lowering is useful in decreasing capillary pressure and, hence, improving dewatering kinetics. Conventional wisdom is, therefore, to add surfactants to a feed slurry before it enters a filter. However, the bulk of the water present in the feed stream is easily removed at the beginning of a filtration process. It may be stated, therefore, that much of the surfactants added to the feed stream are wasted and do not contribute to reducing the final cake moisture. A more effective method of using a surfactant may be to add it when it is needed most, i.e., during drying cycle time. Some of the water trapped in finer capillaries is removed during drying cycle time. Therefore, a series of experiments were conducted in this example, in which different surface tension lowering reagents were sprayed over filter cake during drying cycle time.

[0060]   Table 14 shows the results obtained by spraying approximately 1 kg/t (2 lb/ton) of butanol, ethanol, and diesel oil at the beginning of 2 min drying cycle time. The surface tensions of n-butanol and ethanol are 20.6 and 22.77 mN/m, respectively, at 20°C. The surface tension of diesel oil should also be low, as most other hydrocarbon liquids are. Therefore, spraying these reagents should lower the surface tension of the water left in filter cake and help reduce the moisture. The filtration experiments were conducted on a 0.6 mm x 0 bituminous coal sample from Middle Fork, Virginia, at 1.143 cm (0.45 inch) cake thickness. Two sets of tests were conducted using sorbitan monooleate and TDDP as

dewatering aids. When using the former, butanol was sprayed on the cake, while ethanol and diesel oil were sprayed when using the latter. As shown, the spray technique further reduced the cake moisture substantially.

Table 14 Effects of Spraying Different Regents Over Filter Cake When Using Sorbitan Monooleate and TDDP as Dewatering Aids

Reagent Dosage kg/t (lbs/ton)	Moisture Content (% wt.)					
	Sorbitan Monooleate		Tridecyldihydrogenphosphate (TDDP)			
	No Spray	Butanol Spray	No Spray	Diesel Spray	Ethanol Spray	Butanol Spray
0 (0)	23.1	18.1	22.3	20.7	20.1	17.4
0.5 (1)	13.8	8.3	12.4	11.9	11.4	7.3
1.0 (2)	12.2	7.1	11.8	10.0	9.5	6.2
1.5 (3)	10.1	6.1	10.3	8.5	8.1	5.2
2.5 (5)	9.7	5.6	10.0	7.7	6.9	4.8

Example 15

[0061] In this example, the methods of applying vibration and spraying surface tension lowering reagents, as disclosed in Examples 13 and 14, respectively, were combined to be able to obtain low cake moistures at large cake thicknesses. The tests were conducted using a 6.35 cm (2.5-inch) diameter Buchner funnel with its height extended to 15.24 cm (6 inches), so that 300 ml of coal slurry at 18% solids could be filtered in each test. This allowed the cake thickness to be increased to 3.048 cm (1.2 inches). The coal sample used in these experiments were a dense-medium product from Massey. Coal Company, which was crushed and wet-ground in a ball mill to obtain a 0.6 mm x 0 fraction. The fine coal was floated using 0.5 kg/t (1 lb/ton kerosene) and 110.2 g/t (100 g/ton) MIBC to obtain a feed to the filtration experiments. The tests were conducted at varying amounts of TDDP and 5 minutes of drying cycle time. It can be seen that the combined use of i) low HLB surfactant in diesel oil, ii) butanol spray, and iii) mechanical vibration achieved very low moistures at an industrial cake thickness of 3.048 cm (1.2 inches).

Table 15 Effects of Using Reagent Spray, Vibration, and a Combination There of at 3.048 cm (1.2-inch) Cakes Thickness Using TDDP

Reagent Dosage kg/t (lbs/ton)	Moisture Content (%wt)			
	None	Spray	Vibration	Spray and Vibration
0 (0)	25.6	22.4	22.2	20.0
0.5 (1)	18.2	44.3	14.5	12.3
1.0 (2)	15.8	12.0	12.7	10.1
1.5 (3)	14.9	11.0	10.8	8.8
2.5 (5)	14.7	10.8	10.6	8.1

Example 16

[0062] Surprising results were obtained when the low HLB surfactants disclosed in the present invention were used in conjunction with electrolytes. It appears that the use of electrolytes can substantially decrease the amount of the surfactant needed to achieve a given level of moisture reduction. Table 16 shows the results of a series of vacuum filtration tests conducted using TDDP in the presence of aluminum chloride, chromium chloride, and copper nitrate. Before filtration, each coal sample (0.2 mm x 0 flotation product) was conditioned with a known amount of electrolyte for 5 minutes. A known amount of TDDP dissolved in diesel oil (in 1:2 volume ratio) was then added and conditioned for another 2 minutes. The conditioned coal slurry was poured into a 63.5 cm (2.5-inch) diameter Buchner funnel for filtration experiments at 63.5 cm (25-inch) vacuum pressure, 2 min drying cycle time, and 1.016 cm (0.4 inch) cake thickness. The coal sample was received from Massey Coal Company, West Virginia.

[0063] The results show that in the presence of the electrolytes the amount of TDDP required was substantially reduced. For example, 1.5 kg/t (3 lb/ton) the reagent was required to achieve 16.0% cake moisture. In the presence of 11.02 g/t (10 g/ton) aluminium chloride and chromium chloride, however, only 0.25 kg/t (0.5 lb/ton) TDDP was required to obtain similar (16.3 and 16.0%) cake moistures. In the presence of 55.1 g/t (50 g/ton) copper nitrate, cake moisture

of 16.2% was obtained at 0.5 kg/t (1 lb/ton) TDDP. Thus, electrolytes of trivalent cations seem to be more efficient than those of divalent cations. It is possible that the cations introduced with the electrolyte coagulate coal particles, which in turn results in a decrease in the population of micropores in filter cake.

Table 16 Effects of Using Electrolytes for the Filtration of a Bituminous Coal (0.2 mm x 0)

Reagent Dosage kg/t (lb./ton)	Moisture Content (% wt.)			
	None	Al^{3+} 11.0g/t (10 g/ton)	Cr^{3+} 11.0 g/t (10 g/ton)	Cu^{2+} 55.1 g/t (50 g/ton)
0 (0)	28.1	23.2	23.0	23.4
0.125 (0.25)	22.5	18.2	17.6	18.4
0.25 (0.5)	20.6	*16.3*	*16.0*	17.2
0.5 (1)	19.3	15.4	15.2	*16.2*
1.0 (2)	17.2	14.2	14.7	15.4
1.5 (3)	*16.0*	13.6	14.2	15.3
2.5 (5)	14.6	13.5	13.8	14.8
pH	7.5	5.5-7.5	5.5-7.5	4.5-6.5

Example 17

[0064]   The objective of this example is to demonstrate that combination of several different methods disclosed in this invention can be used to achieve high levels of moisture reduction at a cake thickness of approximately 2.54 cm (1 inch). A series of vacuum filtration experiments were conducted using different combinations of i) a low HLB surfactant (sorbitan monooleate) mixed with an appropriate carrier solvent, ii) an electrolyte 11.02 g/t (10 g/ton) aluminum chloride, iii) spray of a surface tension lowering reagent (1.0-1.5 kg/t (2-3 lb/ton) butanol), and/or iv) mechanical vibration. The tests were conducted on a flotation product (0.6 mm x 0) using a specially designed Buchner funnel that can handle large volumes of coal slurry, as described in Example 15. The coal sample was a dense-medium product from the Middle Fork coal preparation plant. It was crushed, ground, and floated using 0.5 kg/t (1 lb/ton) kerosene and 110.2 g/t (100 g/ton) MBIC. results, given in Table 17, show that almost any level of cake moisture can be achieved at an industrial cake thickness by combining the various methods disclosed in the present invention. For example, 14.2% cake moisture can be achieved using only 0.125 kg/t (0.25 lb/ton) sorbitan monooleate, 11.02 g/t (10 g/ton) aluminum chloride, 1.0 to 1.5 kg/t (2 to 3 lb/ton) butanol, and mechanical vibration.

Table 17 Effects of Using Electrolyte, Regent Spray, and Vibration on the Filtration of a Middle Fork Coal (0.6 mm x 0) at 2.54 cm (1-inch) Cake Thickness Using Sorbitan Monooleate as a Dewatering Aid

Reagent Addition[2]Al^{3+}, kg/t (lb./ton)	Moisture Content (% wt.)			
	None[1]	[2]Al^{3+}	[2]Al^{3+} and Spray[3]	[2]Al^{3+}, Spray[3] and Vibration[4]
0 (0)	25.2	22.8	21.0	18.7
0.125 (0.25)	20.1	18.0	16.7	14.2
0.25 (0.5)	18.7	15.2	13.6	11.7
0.5 (1)	16.2	14.3	12.5	10.2
1.0 (2)	15.3	13.6	11.7	9.5
1.5 (3)	14.7	13.2	10.6	8.2
2.5 5	13.8	13.0	10.3	7.4

[1]sorbitan monooleate in diesel oil (1:2); [2]aluminium chloride (11.0 g/t (10 g/ton)); [3]butanol (1.0-1.5 kg/t (2-3 lb/ton)); [4]mechaical vibration

Example 18

[0065]   It has been shown in Example 7 that the use of PMCH dissolved in a suitable solvent such as diesel oil gives superior results as compared to the case of using it directly. It will be shown that the use of PMCH in vegetable oils further improves its performance. To demonstrate this, a series of filtration tests were conducted on a bituminous coal

from Massey coal company, West Virginia, using a 6.35 cm (2.5-inch) pressure filter at 100 kPa of air pressure. The coal sample was a flotation product (0.5 mm x 0) obtained directly from an operating plant. It contained considerable amount of clay and other ash-forming minerals that have not been completely removed. Also, the sample was oxidized to some extent. The tests were conducted at a 1.27 cm (0.5-inch) cake thickness and a 2 min drying cycle time using: i) soybean oil dissolved in diesel oil in 1:2 volume ratio, ii) PMCH dissolved in diesel oil in the same manner, and iii) PMCH dissolved in soybean oil and diesel oil. The molecular weight of the PMCH used in this example was 2,900. The results given in Table 18 show that the combined use (Case iii) exhibited a synergistic effect in that the results are superior to the Case i or ii.

[0066] As has already been discussed in the present invention, the role of PMCH is a hydrophobizing agent that can reduce the capillary pressure and facilitate the process of dewatering. It is possible that the triacylglycerols present in the vegetable oil may act as additional hydrophobizing agents.

Table 18 Effects of Using PMCH in a Mixed Diesel Oil-Soybean Oil Solvent for the Filtration of a Bituminous Coal (0.5 mm x 0) at 1.27 cm (0.5-inch) Cake Thickness

Reagent Addition kg/t (lb./ton)	Moisture Content (% wt.)		
	Reagent Type		
	Soy Bean Oil	Polymethyl Hydrosiloxane	Combination
0 (0)	27.5	27.5	27.5
0.5 (1)	22.6	21.5	20.8
1.0 (2)	21.0	20.4	18.5
1.5 (3)	20.3	19.6	16.7
2.5 (5)	20.7	19.8	14.2

Example 19

[0067] Many of the examples given hitherto give evidence that the low HLB surfactants work well only when the particles to be dewatered are reasonably hydrophobic. The use of the surfactants in the manner described in the instant invention further enhances the hydrophobicity close to the level that is conducive for spontaneous removal of surface water. The hydrophobicity of the particles produced from flotation is usually not high enough for the spontaneous removal of water. In order to demonstrate these points clearly, a series of dewatering tests were conducted with a 0.038 mm x 0 silica sample. A 6.35 cm (2.5-inch) diameter Buchner funnel was used for two sets of vacuum filtration tests at 63.5 cm (25 inches) Hg, 2 min drying cycle time, and 1.143 cm (0.45 inches) cake thickness. The results are given in Table 19.

[0068] The first series of tests were conducted using various amounts of sorbitan monooleate (Span 80) dissolved in diesel oil. These reagents were used as a 1:2 mixture by volume. In the absence any dewatering aid, the cake moisture was 26.1% and the cake formation time was 158 seconds. At 1 kg/t (2 lb/ton) Span 80, the moisture was reduced to 20.9% and the cake formation time increased to 179 seconds. The moisture reduction is not as good as those obtained in other examples with hydrophobic particles. Probably, the relatively small moisture reduction is due to the surface tension lowering. The next series of tests were conducted on the silica sample floated using 220.5 g/t (200 g/ton) of dodecylammonium hydrochloride as collector at pH 9.5. The hydrophobization by the collector coating reduced the cake moisture from 26.1 to 18.9% and the cake formation time from 158 seconds to 27 seconds. When the low HLB surfactant was added to the flotation product, the moisture was further reduced. At 1 kg/t (2 lb/ton) Span 80, the cake moisture was reduced to 8.4% and the cake formation time to 18 seconds. The improved dewatering brought about by the low HLB surfactants is most likely due to the hydrophobicity enhancement.

Table 19 Effects of Hydrophobizing a Silica Sample (0.038 mm x 0) before Using Sorbitan Monooleate as a Dewatering Aid

Reagent Dosage kg/t (lb/ton)	w/o Flotation		w/ Flotation	
	Moisture Content (%wt)	Cake Form. Time (sec)	Moisture Content (%wt)	Cake Form. Time (sec)
0 (0)	26.1	158	18.9	27
0.25 (0.5)	22.6	152	11.2	21
0.5 (1)	20.9	167	9.4	20

(continued)

Reagent Dosage kg/t (lb/ton)	w/o Flotation		w/ Flotation	
	Moisture Content (%wt)	Cake Form. Time (sec)	Moisture Content (%wt)	Cake Form. Time (sec)
1.0 (2)	20.7	175	8.4	18
1.5 (3)	20.9	179	8.6	18

Example 20

[0069]   In the kaolin clay industry, fine clay is dewatered using vacuum drum filters. The cake moistures are in the range of 55 to 60%. Typically, part of the filter cake is spray dried in a natural gas flame, so that it can be added to the wet cake to obtain a 70 to 75% solids slurry. The spray drying is costly, but it is the only way to produce highly loaded slurries for shipping. In this example, a series of filtration tests were conducted on a Brazilian clay (80% finer than 2 μm) using the method disclosed in Example 19. The sample was floated using 771.6 g/t (700 g/ton) dodecylammonium hydrochloride and 132.3 g/t (120 g/ton) MIBC. The pH was adjusted to 9.3 using lime. The flotation product was subjected to vacuum filtration tests using a 6.35 cm (2.5-inch) diameter Buchner funnel at 63.5 cm (25 inches) Hg, 0.914 cm (0.36 inches) cake thickness and 3 min drying cycle time. As shown in Table 20, the cake moisture was 50.4% and the cake formation time was 39.4 minutes, when no dewatering aid was used. At 3.5 kg/t (7 lb/ton) sorbitan monooleate (Span 80), the moisture content was reduced to 28.6%, and the cake formation time was reduced to 18.4 minutes. These results suggest that the dewatering methods disclosed in the instant invention may be able to eliminate the use of spray dryers in the clay industry. With further optimization of the process, the reagent consumption can be reduced to significantly lower than used in the present example.

Table 20 Effect of Hydrophobizing a Brazilian Kaolin Clay Sample before Using Sorbitan Monooleate as a Dewatering Aid

Reagent Dosage kg/t (lb/ton)	Moisture Content (%wt)	Cake Form. Time (Min.)
0 (0)	50.4	39.5
0.5 (1)	46.2	34.2
1.0 (2)	39.1	26.3
1.5 (3)	33.9	20.2
2.5 (5)	30.2	19.2
3.5 (7)	28.6	18.4

REFERENCES CITED

U.S. Patent Documents

[0070]

5,346,630	9/1994	Kenney
4,447,344	5/1984	Roe
4,410,431	10/1983	Roe
4,447,344	8/1984	Roe
4,410,431	10/1983	Roe
5,256,169	10/1993	Roe
2,864,765	12/1958	Stoneman, et al.
4,156,649	5/1979	Quinn, et al.
4,191,655	3/1980	Quinn, et al.
5,048,199	9/1991	Cole
4,039,466	8/1977	Matsuda, et al.
5,215,669	6/1993	Koester, et al.
5,167,831	12/1992	Diamas

(continued)

5,011,612	4/1991	Keeney
4,206,063	6/1980	Wang, et al.
4,207,186	6/1980	Wang, et al.
4,210,531	7/1980	Wang, et al.
5,405,554	4/1995	Neff, et al.
5,379,902	1/1995	Wen, et al.
4,969,928	11/1990	Wen, et al.
4,770,766	9/1998	Keller, Jr. et al.
5,458,786	10/1995	Yoon, et al.
5,587,786	10/1995	Yoon, et al.
5,670,056	9/1997	Yoon, et al.

Other Publications

[0071]

Brooks and Bethel, "Zeta Potential, Contact Angle and the Use of Amines in the Chemical Dewatering of Fine-Floated Coal," Powder Technology, vol. 40, pp. 207-214, 1984.

Groppo, J.G. and Parekh, B.K., "Surface Chemical Control of Ultra-Fine Coal to Improve Dewatering," Coal Preparation, vol. 17, pp. 103-116, 1996.

Meenan, G.F., "Fine Coal Dewatering Equipment," Proceedings of the Industrial Practice of Fine Coal Processing, R.R. Klimpel and P.T. Luckie, eds., Society of Mining Engineers, Inc., pp. 223-229, 1988.

Singh, B.P., "The Influence of Surface Phenomena on the Dewatering of Fine Clean Coal," Filtration and Separation, pp. 159-163, March, 1977.

Smith, R.W., "Coadsorption of Dodecylamine Ion and Molecule on Quartz," Transactions of Americal Institute of Mining Engineers, vol, 226, pp. 427-433, 1963.

Yoon and Ravishankar, "Long-Range Hydrophobic Forces between Mica Surfaces in Dodecylammonium Chloride Solutions in the presence of Dodecanol," J. Colloid and Interface Science, vol. 179, pp. 391-402, 1996.

Claims

1. A process for dewatering a slurry of fine particulate material being smaller than 2 mm in diameter, which process comprises the steps of:

   i) rendering the fine particulate material moderately hydrophobic so that its water contact angle is increased to a value considerably below 90° by using appropriate surfactants and collectors in an initial hydrophobization step,
   ii) adding a nonionic surfactant having a hydrophile-lipophile balance (HLB) number of less than 15 and dissolved in a appropriate solvent or mixture of solvents,
   iii) agitating the slurry to allow for the surfactant molecules to adsorb on the surface of the moderately hydrophobic material so that its hydrophobicity is enhanced and the contact angle is increased close to or above 90°, and then
   iv) subjecting the conditioned slurry containing the particulate material, whose water contact angle has been increased, to a suitable mechanical method of dewatering.

2. The process of claim 1 wherein the fine particulate material to be dewatered is a material whose surface has become less hydrophobic due to aging or superficial oxidation.

3. The process of claim 1 wherein the fine particulate material includes minerals, coal, plastics, metals, metal powders, fly ash, and biological materials.

4. The process of any of the preceding claims wherein the said suitable mechanical method of dewatering includes vacuum filtration, pressure filtration, centrifugal filtration, and centrifugation.

5. The process of any of the preceding claim wherein the nonionic surfactant is selected from fatty acids, fatty esters, phosphate esters, hydrophobic polymers, ethers, glycol derivatives, sarcosine derivatives, silicon-based surfactants and polymers, sorbitan derivatives, sucrose and glucose esters and derivatives, lanolin-based derivatives, glycerol esters, ethoxylated fatty esters, ethoxylated amines and amides, ethoxylated linear alcohols, ethoxylated triglycerides, ethoxylated vegetable oils, and ethoxylated fatty acids.

6. The process of claim 5 wherein the nonionic surfactant is blended with a vegetable, fish or animal oil containing triacylglycerols to obtain synergistic improvement in dewatering the fine particulate material.

7. The process of any of the preceding claims wherein the said appropriate solvents include light hydrocarbon oils and short-chain alcohols.

8. The process of claim 1 wherein the said appropriate surfactants are high HLB surfactants whose polar heads can interact with the surface of the particulate materials.

9. The process of claim 1 wherein the said collectors are thiols for sulfide minerals and metals.

10. The process of claim 1 wherein the said collectors are hydrocarbon oils and the particulate material is coal or an other naturally hydrophobic substance.

11. The process of any of the preceding claims wherein an electrolyte or mixture of electrolytes selected from salts of monovalent, divalent and trivalent cations and anions is added after the initial hydrophobization step (i) and before step (ii).

12. The process of claim 11 wherein the said electrolytes are the salts of aluminum ions.

13. The process of claim 11 or 12 wherein the reagents used in step (i) and step (ii) and said electrolyte or mixture of electrolytes can be added in a single step.

14. The process of any of claims 1 to 10 wherein the suitable mechanical method of dewatering is a filtration process in which the filter cake is subjected to an appropriate vibratory means, so that a higher degree of moisture reduction is achieved at a given cake thickness.

15. The process for claim 14 wherein the appropriate vibratory means include ultrasonic, mechanical and acoustic means.

16. The process of any of claims 1 to 10 wherein the suitable mechanical method of dewatering is a filtration process in which a suitable surface tension lowering reagent is added to the filter cake in the form of fine mist or spray, so that a higher degree of moisture reduction is achieved at a given cake thickness.

17. The process for claim 16 wherein the suitable surface tension lowering agent is selected from short-chain alcohols, light hydrocarbon oils, and surfactants.

18. A process of any of claims 11 to 13 wherein the suitable mechanical method of dewatering is a filtration process in which a suitable surface tension lowering reagent is added to the filter cake in the form of fine mist or spray and, at the same time, the filter cake is subjected to an appropriate vibratory means, so that a substantial moisture reduction is achieved at high cake thicknesses using minimum amounts of reagents.

Patentansprüche

1. Verfahren zur Entwässerung einer Aufschlämmung von feinem teilchenförmigen Material, das im Durchmesser kleiner als 2 mm ist, wobei das Verfahren die Schritte umfasst:

   i) moderates Hydrophobieren des feinen teilchenförmigen Materials durch Verwendung geeigneter oberflächenaktiver Substanzen und Sammler, so dass der Wasserkontaktwinkel des Materials auf einen Wert von erheblich

unterhalb 90° erhöht wird, in einem anfänglichen Hydrophobierungsschritt,

ii) Hinzufügen einer nichtionischen oberflächenaktiven Substanz mit einem Hydrophilie-Lipophilie-Gleichgewicht (HLB-Wert) von weniger als 15, die in einem geeigneten Lösungsmittel oder einer Mischung von Lösungsmitteln gelöst ist,

iii) Rühren der Aufschlämmung, um die oberflächenaktiven Moleküle an die Oberfläche des moderat hydrophoben Materials adsorbieren zu lassen, so dass seine Hydrophobie erhöht wird und sein Berührungswinkel auf nahezu oder oberhalb von 90° vergrößert wird, und dann

iv) Unterziehen der konditionierten Aufschlämmung, die das teilchenförmige Material, dessen Wasserberührungswinkel vergrößert worden ist, enthält, einem geeigneten mechanischen Verfahren zur Entwässerung.

2. Verfahren nach Anspruch 1, wobei das feine teilchenförmige Material, das zu entwässern ist, ein Material ist, dessen Oberfläche aufgrund von Alterung oder Oberflächlichenoxidation weniger hydrophob geworden ist.

3. Verfahren nach Anspruch 1, wobei das feine teilchenförmige Material Mineralien, Kohle, Kunststoffe, Metalle, Metallpulver, Flugasche und biologische Stoffe umfasst.

4. Verfahren nach einem der vorstehenden Ansprüche, wobei dieses geeignete mechanische Verfahren zur Entwässerung Vakuumfiltration, Druckfiltration, Zentrifugalfiltration und Zentrifugation umfasst.

5. Verfahren nach einem der vorstehenden Ansprüche, wobei die nichtionische oberflächenaktive Substanz ausgewählt ist aus Fettsäuren, Fettsäureestern, Phosphatestern, hydrohoben Polymeren, Ethern, Glykolderivaten, Sarcosinderivaten, oberflächenaktiven Substanzen und Polymeren auf Siliciumbasis, Sorbitanderivaten, Sucrose und Glukoseestern und -derivaten, Derivaten auf Lanolinbasis, Glycerinestern, ethoxylierten Fettsäureestern, ethoxylierten Aminen und Amiden, ethoxylierten linearen Alkoholen, ethoxylierten Triclyceriden, ethoxylierten Pflanzenölen und ethoxylierten Fettsäuren.

6. Verfahren nach Anspruch 5, wobei die nichtionische oberflächenaktive Substanz mit einem Pflanzen-, Fisch- oder Tieröl vermischt wird, das Triacylglycerine enthält, um synergistische Verbesserung bei der Entwässerung des feinen teilchenförmigen Materials zu erzielen.

7. Verfahren nach einem der vorstehenden Ansprüche, wobei dieses geeignete Lösungsmittel leichte Kohlenwasserstofföle und kurzkettige Alkohole umfasst.

8. Verfahren nach Anspruch 1, wobei diese geeigneten oberflächenaktiven Substanzen oberflächenaktive Substanzen mit hohem HLB-Wert sind, deren polare Köpfe mit der Oberfläche der teilchenförmigen Materialen wechselwirken können.

9. Verfahren nach Anspruch 1, wobei diese Sammler Thiole für Sulfidminerale und Metalle sind.

10. Verfahren nach Anspruch 1, wobei diese Sammler Kohlenwasserstofföle sind und das teilchenförmige Material Kohle oder eine andere natürlich hydrophobe Substanz ist.

11. Verfahren nach einem der vorstehenden Ansprüche, wobei ein Elektrolyt oder eine Mischung von Elektrolyten, ausgewählt aus Salzen von monovalenten, divalenten und trivalenten Kationen und Anionen, nach dem anfänglichen Hydrophobierungsschritt (i) und vor Schritt (ii) zugegeben wird.

12. Verfahren nach Anspruch 11, wobei diese Elektrolyte die Salze von Aluminiumionen sind.

13. Verfahren nach Anspruch 11 oder 12, wobei die Reagenzien, die in Schritt (i) und Schritt (ii) verwendet werden, und dieser Elektrolyt oder diese Mischung von Elektrolyten in einem einzigen Schritt zugegeben werden können.

14. Verfahren nach einem der Ansprüche 1 bis 10, wobei das geeignete mechanische Verfahren zur Entwässerung ein Filtrationsprozess ist, in welchem der Filterkuchen geeigneten vibrierenden Mitteln ausgesetzt wird, so dass ein höherer Grad an Feuchtigkeitsreduzierung bei einer gegebenen Kuchendicke erreicht wird.

15. Verfahren nach Anspruch 14, wobei die geeigneten vibrierenden Mittel Ultraschall-, mechanische und akustische Mittel umfassen.

16. Verfahren nach einem der Ansprüche 1 bis 10, wobei das geeignete mechanische Verfahren zur Entwässerung ein Filtrationsprozess ist, in welchem ein geeignetes Reagenz zur Verringerung der Oberflächenspannung zu dem Filterkuchen in Form von feinem Nebel oder Spray gegeben wird, so dass ein höherer Grad an Feuchtigkeitsreduktion bei einer gegebenen Kuchendicke erreicht wird.

17. Verfahren nach Anspruch 16, wobei das geeignete Mittel zur Verringerung der Oberflächenspannung aus kurzkettigen Alkoholen, leichten Kohlenwasserstoffölen und oberflächenaktiven Substanzen ausgewählt ist.

18. Verfahren nach einem der Ansprüche 11 bis 13, wobei das geeignete mechanische Verfahren zur Entwässerung ein Filtrationsprozess ist, in welchem ein geeignetes Reagenz zur Verringerung der Oberflächenspannung zu dem Filterkuchen in Form von feinem Nebel oder Spray gegeben wird und gleichzeitig der Filterkuchen geeigneten vibrierenden Mitteln ausgesetzt wird, so dass eine wesentliche Feuchtigkeitsreduktion bei hohen Kuchendicken unter Verwendung minimaler Mengen von Reagenzien erreicht wird.

Revendications

1. Procédé de déshydratation d'une pâte de matériau particulaire fin inférieure à 2 mm de diamètre, ledit traitement comprenant les étapes consistant à :

i) rendre le matériau particulaire fin modérément hydrophobe, de sorte que son angle de contact de l'eau soit augmenté à une valeur considérablement inférieure à 90° en utilisant des tensioactifs et collecteurs appropriés dans une étape d'hydrophobisation initiale,
ii) ajouter un tensioactif non-ionique ayant un numéro d'équilibre hydrophile-lipophile (HLB) inférieur à 15 et dissous dans un solvant approprié ou un mélange de solvants.
iii) agiter la pâte pour permettre aux molécules de tensioactifs d'adsorber sur la surface du matériau modérément hydrophobe, de sorte que son hydrophobie soit accrue et l'angle de contact soit augmenté proche de 90° ou plus, et ensuite
iv) soumettre la pâte conditionnée contenant le matériau particulaire, dont l'angle de contact de l'eau a été accru, à un procédé mécanique adapté de déshydratation.

2. Procédé selon la revendication 1, dans lequel le matériau particulaire fin à déshydrater est un matériau dont la surface est devenue moins hydrophobe du fait du vieillissement ou de l'oxydation superficielle.

3. Procédé selon la revendication 1, dans lequel le matériau particulaire fin comprend des minéraux, du charbon, du plastique, des métaux, des poudres métalliques, des cendres volantes, et des matériaux biologiques.

4. Procédé selon l'une quelconque des revendications précédentes, dans lequel ledit procédé mécanique adapté de déshydratation comprend la filtration sous vide, la filtration sous pression, la filtration centrifuge, et la centrifugation.

5. Procédé selon l'une quelconque des revendications précédentes, dans lequel le tensioactif non-ionique est choisi parmi les acides gras, les esters gras, les esters de phosphate, les polymères hydrophobes, les éthers, les dérivés de glycol, les dérivés de sarcosine, les tensioactifs à base de silicium et des polymères, des dérivés de sorbitane, des esters et des dérivés de saccharose et de glucose, des dérivés à base de lanoline, des esters de glycérol, des esters gras éthoxylés, des amines et amides éthoxylés, des alcools linéaires éthoxylés, des triglycérides éthoxylés, des huiles végétales éthoxylées, et des acides gras éthoxylés.

6. Procédé selon la revendication 5, dans lequel le tensioactif non-ionique est mélangé à une huile végétale, de poisson ou animale contenant des triacylglycérols pour obtenir une amélioration synergique de la déshydratation du matériau particulaire fin.

7. Procédé selon l'une quelconque des revendications précédentes, dans lequel lesdits solvants appropriés comprennent des huiles hydrocarbures légères et des alcools à chaîne courte.

8. Procédé selon la revendication 1, dans lequel lesdits tensioactifs appropriés sont des tensioactifs HLB élevés dont les têtes polaires peuvent interagir avec la surface des matériaux particulaires.

9. Procédé selon la revendication 1 dans lequel lesdits collecteurs sont des thiols pour des minéraux de sulfure et des

métaux.

10. Procédé selon la revendication 1, dans lequel lesdits collecteurs sont des huiles d'hydrocarbure et le matériau particulaire est le charbon ou une autre substance hydrophobe naturelle.

11. Procédé selon l'une quelconque des revendications précédentes, dans lequel un électrolyte ou un mélange d'électrolytes choisis parmi des sels de cations et anions monovalents, divalents et trivalents est ajouté après l'étape d'hydrophobisation initiale (i) et avant l'étape (ii).

12. Procédé selon la revendication 11 dans lequel lesdits électrolytes sont les sels d'ions aluminium.

13. Procédé selon la revendication 11 ou 12, dans lequel les réactifs utilisés dans l'étape (i) et l'étape (ii) et ledit électrolyte ou le mélange d'électrolytes peuvent être ajoutés en une seule étape.

14. Procédé selon l'une quelconque des revendications 1 à 10, dans lequel le procédé mécanique adapté de déshydratation est un procédé de filtration dans lequel le gâteau de filtration est soumis à un système vibratoire approprié, de façon à atteindre un degré supérieur de réduction d'humidité à une épaisseur donnée de gâteau.

15. Procédé selon la revendication 14 dans lequel le système vibratoire approprié comprend des systèmes à ultrasons, mécaniques et acoustiques.

16. Procédé selon l'une quelconque des revendications 1 à 10, dans lequel le procédé mécanique adapté de déshydratation est un procédé de filtration, dans lequel un réactif de réduction de tension de surface adapté est ajouté au gâteau de filtration sous la forme d'un brouillard fin ou d'une vaporisation, de sorte qu'un degré supérieur de réduction d'humidité soit atteint à une épaisseur de gâteau donnée.

17. Procédé selon la revendication 16, dans lequel l'agent de réduction de tension de surface adapté est choisi parmi des alcools à chaîne courte, des huiles hydrocarbures légères, et des tensioactifs.

18. Procédé selon l'une quelconque des revendications 11 à 13, dans lequel le procédé mécanique adapté de déshydratation est un procédé de filtration, dans lequel un réactif de réduction de tension de surface adapté est ajouté au gâteau de filtration sous la forme de brouillard fin ou de vaporisation et, en même temps, le gâteau de filtration est soumis à un système de vibration approprié, de sorte qu'une réduction sensible d'humidité soit atteinte à des épaisseurs de gâteau élevées en utilisant des quantités minimes de réactifs.

REFERENCES CITED IN THE DESCRIPTION

This list of references cited by the applicant is for the reader's convenience only. It does not form part of the European patent document. Even though great care has been taken in compiling the references, errors or omissions cannot be excluded and the EPO disclaims all liability in this regard.

Patent documents cited in the description

- US 5346630 A **[0005]**
- US 5670056 A **[0009] [0010] [0042] [0046] [0049]**
- US 4447344 A **[0010]**
- US 4410431 A **[0010]**
- US 2864765 A **[0011]**
- US 4156649 A **[0011]**
- US 4191655 A **[0011]**
- US 5048199 A **[0012]**
- US 4039466 A **[0012]**
- US 5215669 A **[0012]**
- US 5167831 A **[0012]**

- US 5011612 A **[0012]**
- US 4206063 A **[0013]**
- US 4207186 A **[0013]**
- US 4210531 A **[0014]**
- US 5256169 A **[0014]**
- US 5405554 A **[0014]**
- US 5379902 A **[0014]**
- US 4969928 A **[0014]**
- US 4770766 A **[0015]**
- US 5458786 A **[0016]**
- US 5587786 A **[0016]**

Non-patent literature cited in the description

- *Filtration and Separation,* March 1977, 159-163 **[0005]**
- **Meenan.** Proceedings of the Industrial Practice of Fine Coal Processing. Society of Mining Engineers, 1988, 223-229 **[0007]**
- **Groppo ; Parekh.** *Coal Preparation,* 1996, vol. 17, 103-116 **[0008]**
- **Smith.** *Transitions of AIME,* 1963, vol. 226, 427-433 **[0028]**
- **Yoon ; Ravishankar.** *J. Colloid and Interface Science,* 1996, vol. 179, 391 **[0028]**
- **Brooks ; Bethel.** Zeta Potential, Contact Angle and the Use of Amines in the Chemical Dewatering of Fine-Floated Coal. *Powder Technology,* 1984, vol. 40, 207-214 **[0071]**
- **Groppo, J.G. ; Parekh, B.K.** Surface Chemical Control of Ultra-Fine Coal to Improve Dewatering. *Coal Preparation,* 1996, vol. 17, 103-116 **[0071]**

- Fine Coal Dewatering Equipment. **Meenan, G.F.** Proceedings of the Industrial Practice of Fine Coal Processing. Society of Mining Engineers, Inc, 1988, 223-229 **[0071]**
- **Singh, B.P.** The Influence of Surface Phenomena on the Dewatering of Fine Clean Coal. *Filtration and Separation,* March 1977, 159-163 **[0071]**
- **Smith, R.W.** Coadsorption of Dodecylamine Ion and Molecule on Quartz. *Transactions of Americal Institute of Mining Engineers,* 1963, vol. 226, 427-433 **[0071]**
- **Yoon ; Ravishankar.** Long-Range Hydrophobic Forces between Mica Surfaces in Dodecylammonium Chloride Solutions in the presence of Dodecanol. *J. Colloid and Interface Science,* 1996, vol. 179, 391-402 **[0071]**