

⑫

EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION

⑰ Application number: **83306750.7**

⑤① Int. Cl.³: **H 01 F 1/04, H 01 F 1/08**

⑱ Date of filing: **07.11.83**

③① Priority: **23.02.83 US 468903**

⑦① Applicant: **COLT INDUSTRIES OPERATING CORP., P.O. Box 88 Parkway West & Route 60, Pittsburgh Pennsylvania 15230 (US)**

④③ Date of publication of application: **05.09.84**
Bulletin 84/36

⑦② Inventor: **Narasimhan, Kalatur Sabdam, 131 Mayberry Drive, Monroeville Pennsylvania 15146 (US)**

⑧④ Designated Contracting States: **AT BE CH DE FR GB IT LI LU NL SE**

⑦④ Representative: **Shedder, Brian N. et al, ERIC POTTER & CLARKSON 5 Market Way Broad Street, Reading Berkshire, RG1 2BN (GB)**

⑤④ **Permanent magnet alloy.**

⑤⑦ A permanent magnet of R_2Co_{17} type crystal structure consisting of, in percent by weight, at least one rare earth element within the range of 24 to 28, cobalt within the range of 48 to 53, copper within the range of 2 to 4.9, iron within the range of 18 to 30 and zirconium within the range of 1.7 to 3.0. By substituting zirconium for a portion of copper an optimum combination of coercive force and residual magnetization (saturation induction) may be achieved.

EP 0 117 340 A1

PERMANENT MAGNET ALLOY

The present invention relates to permanent magnets of R_2Co_{17} type crystal structure.

It is known in the production of rare earth-cobalt permanent magnets (R-Co) that iron may be used to replace a significant portion of the cobalt when zirconium is added to the composition. It is also known that additions of copper may be made to compositions of this type. However, with a copper addition the residual magnetization (saturation induction) is decreased. Likewise as iron is increased there is a corresponding reduction in coercive force.

It is accordingly a primary object of the present invention to provide a permanent magnet alloy containing at least one rare earth element, preferably samarium, cobalt, iron and copper wherein an optimum combination of coercive force and residual magnetization is achieved.

A more specific object of the invention is to provide a permanent magnet alloy of this type wherein copper is reduced and replaced by a zirconium addition, whereby an optimum combination of coercive force and residual magnetization may be achieved.

The present invention provides a permanent magnet of R_2Co_{17} type crystal structure consisting of, in percent by weight, at least one rare earth element within the range of 24 to 28, cobalt within the range of 48 to 53, copper within the range of 2 to 4.9 to less than 5, iron within the range of 18 to 30 and zirconium within the range of 1.7 to 3.0.

Broadly in the practice of the invention the permanent magnet is of an alloy of the general formula R_2Co_{17} wherein R is at least one rare earth component, preferably samarium, and the Co component is cobalt. The alloy, in weight percent, consists of at least one rare earth element, preferably samarium, within the range of 24 to 28, cobalt within the range of 48 to 53, copper within the range of 2 to 4.9, iron within the range of 18

to 30 and zirconium within the range 1.7 to 3.0. By maintaining copper at an amount less than 5% and adding zirconium within the above stated range, the adverse affect of copper with regard to residual magnetization is eliminated and thus an optimum combination of coercive
05 force and residual magnetization is achieved.

As specific examples of the practice of the invention the following alloy compositions were employed:

10	<u>Alloy</u>	<u>Weight, Percent</u>				
		<u>Sm</u>	<u>Co</u>	<u>Cu</u>	<u>Fe</u>	<u>Zr</u>
	A	25.6	49.9	3.8	18.6	1.7
	B	25.1	48.7	3.9	19.7	2.6
	Commercial Alloy	26.6	50.4	5.9	14.7	2.4

15 The alloys were produced by induction melting and casting, whereupon they were then crushed and ball milled to a particle size within the range of 5 to 10 microns. The powder was then oriented in a magnetic field and
20 samples thereof were both pressed by a pulsating magnetic field in combination with hot isostatic pressing and also by die pressing in a transverse magnetic field. Thereafter, the magnets were heat treated at 1200°C for 1 hour, cooled for 2 hours to 1150°C and held at this
25 temperature for 5 hours, quenched, and then heated to 850°C and aged for 17 hours, cooled for 13 hours to 400°C, held at 400°C for 1 hour to 10 hours, and then quenched.

30

35

Hysteresis loops were measured on these magnets and the results are set forth in TABLE I.

TABLE I

EFFECT OF MAGNETIZING FIELD ON THE REMANENCE*
AND INTRINSIC COERCIVE FORCE ON ALLOY B

	Magnetizing Field Strength	B	H _{ci}
	Oe	G ^r	Oe
10	3,000	4,000	5,400
	6,500	8,500	8,200
	10,600	9,700	9,300
	15,000	10,400	10,500
	~60,000	10,800	10,700

*Max value for saturation B_s = 11,300G
measured at 16 kOe.

For the above alloy so processed TABLE II shows a comparison between ball milled powder and jet milled powder on the magnet properties of transversed die pressed blocks.

TABLE II

COMPARATIVE EVALUATION OF BALL MILLED
AND JET MILLED POWDER ON ALLOY B AND COMMERCIAL ALLOY*

	B _r	H _{ci}	Alloy
	G	Oe	
Ball Milled Powder	9,900	8,600	B
Jet Milled Powder	10,600	10,100	B
Ball Milled Powder	9,600	9,000	Commercial
Jet Milled Powder	10,250	9,300	Commercial

*Commercial alloy with 26.6 Sm, 50.4 Co,
14.7 Fe, 5.9 Cu, 2.4 Zr

TABLE III shows that cold isostatic pressing produces higher remanence than the transverse die pressed blocks.

TABLE III

05 COMPARISON OF TRANSVERSE DIE BLOCKS AND
 ISOSTATICALLY PRESSED SAMPLES

	B_r G	H_{ci} Oe	Alloy
Transverse Die Pressing	10,600	10,100	B
10 Isostatic Pressing	10,800+	10,700	B
Transverse Pressing	10,250	9,300	Commercial
Isostatic Pressing	10,550	7,900	Commercial

15 TABLE IV shows the effect of heat treatment on the
 magnetic properties of the tested magnets.

TABLE IV

	B_r G	H_c Oe	H_{ci} Oe	BH_{max} MGoe
20 <u>ALLOY</u>				
A	10,000	7,300	10,200	22.4
A	10,950	7,900	11,450	27.5
B	10,950	8,350	17,950	24.0

25 An alloy of the composition 26.0 samarium, 49.0
cobalt, 3.9 copper, 19.2 iron, 2.5 zirconium, closely
similar in composition to Alloy B, was jet milled and die
pressed with the applied field in the same direction as
the pressing direction. These magnets were solution
treated over a temperature range of 1080 to 1180°C for
30 five hours and aged at different temperatures as
indicated in TABLES V to VII.

TABLE V

MAGNETIC PROPERTIES OF THE ALLOY
 AGED AT 850°C - 17 HRS $\xrightarrow{13 \text{ HRS COOL}}$
400°C - 2 HRS

Solution				
05	Treat			
	Temperature	B _r	H _c	H _{ci}
	°C	G	Oe	Oe
	1180	9,500	7,570	17,320
	1160	9,750	7,080	14,450
10	1120	9,670	5,800	11,450
				BH _{max} MG0e
				18.5
				19.3
				15.3

TABLE VI

MAGNETIC PROPERTIES OF THE ALLOY
 AGED AT 820°C - 17 HRS $\xrightarrow{13 \text{ HRS COOL}}$
400°C - 2 HRS

Solution				
	Treat			
	Temperature	B _r	H _c	H _{ci}
	°C	G	Oe	Oe
20	1180	10,170	7,850	14,150
	1160	9,575	6,630	12,500
	1140	9,500	6,600	11,600
	1120	9,800	5,800	7,500
				BH _{max} MG0e
				21
				18
				16.4
				17.5

TABLE VII

MAGNETIC PROPERTIES OF THE ALLOY
 AGED AT 780°C - 17 HRS $\xrightarrow{13 \text{ HRS COOL}}$
400°C - 2 HRS

Solution				
30	Treat			
	Temperature	B _r	H _c	H _{ci}
	°C	G	Oe	Oe
	1180	10,000	4,100	5,000
	1160	9,650	3,630	4,250
35	1140	9,350	3,100	3,800
	1120	8,500	2,350	2,650
				BH _{max} MG0e
				16.2
				13.2
				10.2
				-

This same alloy composition was jet milled and die pressed with the applied field perpendicular to the pressing direction. These magnets were solution heat treated at 1180 or 1150°C and aged at 850°C. The magnetic properties obtained are shown in TABLE VIII.

05

TABLE VIII

MAGNETIC PROPERTIES OF TRANSVERSE PRESSED MAGNETS
SOLUTION TREATED AT TWO DIFFERENT TEMPERATURES

Solution				
Treat				
Temperature	B_r	H_c	H_{ci}	BH_{max}
<u>°C</u>	<u>G</u>	<u>Oe</u>	<u>Oe</u>	<u>MGOe</u>
1180	10,600	8,100	14,200	24.3
1150	10,550	7,300	12,320	23.0

15

As may be seen from these specific examples, the desired combination of coercive force and residual magnetization may be obtained by continuous cooling after the aging treatment.

20

25

CLAIMS:

05 1. A permanent magnet of R_2Co_{17} type crystal structure characterised in consisting of, in percent by weight, at least one rare earth element within the range of 24 to 28, cobalt within the range of 48 to 53, copper within the range of 2 to 4.9 to less than 5, iron within the range of 18 to 30 and zirconium within the range of 1.7 to 3.0.

10 2. A permanent magnet according to claim 1, characterised in that R is samarium.

3. A permanent magnet according to claims 1 and 2, characterised in that said magnet is aged and thereafter continuously cooled prior to quenching.

15



DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT			EP 83306750.7
Category	Citation of document with indication, where appropriate, of relevant passages	Relevant to claim	CLASSIFICATION OF THE APPLICATION (Int. Cl. 3)
X	GB - A - 2 089 371 (KABUSHIKI) * Page 2, line 9 - page 6, line 14; fig. 2,4,6,7 * --	1-3	H 01 F 1/04 H 01 F 1/08
Y	US - A - 4 284 440 (TOKUNAGA) * Column 4, line 39 - column 5, line 13; fig. 2,3 * --	1-3	
Y	US - A - 4 322 257 (MENTH) * Column 5, line 19 - column 6, line 22; fig. 1,2 * --	1-3	
A	CH - A5 - 607 253 (KAWASAKI) * Claim I; column 2, line 63 - column 8, line 67; fig. 1,2 * ----	1,2	
			TECHNICAL FIELDS SEARCHED (Int. Cl. 3)
			C 22 C 19/00 C 22 C 38/00 H 01 F 1/00 H 01 F 41/00
The present search report has been drawn up for all claims			
Place of search VIENNA		Date of completion of the search 08-05-1984	Examiner PIRKER
<p>CATEGORY OF CITED DOCUMENTS</p> <p>X : particularly relevant if taken alone Y : particularly relevant if combined with another document of the same category A : technological background O : non-written disclosure P : intermediate document</p> <p>T : theory or principle underlying the invention E : earlier patent document, but published on, or after the filing date D : document cited in the application L : document cited for other reasons</p> <p>& : member of the same patent family, corresponding document</p>			