

①⑫ **EUROPEAN PATENT SPECIFICATION**

- ④⑤ Date of publication of the patent specification: **24.10.90** ⑤① Int. Cl.⁵: **B02C 23/04**
- ②① Application number: **87302255.2**
- ②② Date of filing: **17.03.87**

⑤④ **Safety systems for coal pulverizing mills.**

③⑩ Priority: **29.04.86 US 857700**

④③ Date of publication of application: **04.11.87 Bulletin 87/45**

④⑤ Publication of the grant of the patent: **24.10.90 Bulletin 90/43**

⑧④ Designated Contracting States: **DE ES FR GB IT**

⑤⑥ References cited:
EP-A- 0 132 974
US-A- 2 799 848
US-A- 3 237 634
US-A- 4 031 747

POWER, vol. 127, no. 7, July 1983, pages 95-97, Concord, New Hampshire, US; **P. BAUR**: "Coal users: mill-fire-monitoring technology is out of the woods" **ZKG INTERNATIONAL (ZEMENT-KALK-GIPS)**, vol. 37, no. 4, April 1984, pages 163-180, Bauverlag GmbH, Wiesbaden, DE; **B. THIER**: "Sicherer Betrieb von Kohlenmahanlagen"; **F. SCHNEIDER**: "Sicherheitstechnische betriebserfahrungen in Kohlenmahanlagen und ihre Konsequenzen"; **E. SCHERRER**: "Neuere Erkenntnisse über die Sicherheitstechnik beim Umgang mit Braunkohlenstaub"

⑦③ Proprietor: **INTERNATIONAL CONTROL AUTOMATION FINANCE S.A., 16 Rue des Bains, Ville de Luxembourg(LU)**

⑦② Inventor: **Jewett, Scotty Young, 5839 Alberta Drive, Lyndhurst Ohio 44124(US)**
Inventor: **Robertson, John W., Jr., 11940 Summers, Chesterland Ohio 44026(US)**
Inventor: **Woolbert, Gordon Davies, 6858 Burgundy Avenue NW, North Canton Ohio 44720(US)**

⑦④ Representative: **Cotter, Ivan John et al, D. YOUNG & CO. 10 Staple Inn, London WC1V 7RD(GB)**

Note: Within nine months from the publication of the mention of the grant of the European patent, any person may give notice to the European Patent Office of opposition to the European patent granted. Notice of opposition shall be filed in a written reasoned statement. It shall not be deemed to have been filed until the opposition fee has been paid (Art. 99(1) European patent convention).

EP 0 244 074 B1

Description

This invention relates to safety systems for coal pulverizing mills.

Coal usage has increased in the United States of America for a variety of reasons, particularly those of an economic nature. The utility industry is burning far more coal today than it did ten years ago. With the increased demand for coal, the use of younger, more volatile coals like subbituminous and lignite, has increased. Consequently, the potential for spontaneous combustion causing serious fires and explosions during handling, grinding and pulverizing steps has increased.

Several methods which have been given considerable attention for detecting impending pulverizing mill fires are based on measuring temperature, gas flow velocity and carbon monoxide. Single and multiple point temperature monitoring techniques have been used for a number of years to warn of an over-temperature condition in the mill. This approach, however, provides information too late to stop a fire from spreading. The gas flow velocity monitor approach has potential, but the relationships between gas flow, temperature and pressure are not sufficiently understood to be effective as a warning system. The increase in the carbon monoxide level in the pulverizing mill has been recently given the most attention in research and practice and is a way of detecting pulverizing mill fires.

A number of commercial devices utilizing infrared absorption techniques are available for monitoring carbon monoxide levels in the pulverizing mill. This method is based upon the principle that when coal starts to oxidize, i.e. in the early stages of combustion, carbon monoxide is produced. Being able to detect this carbon monoxide at very low levels, e.g., 25 to 50 ppm, permits the mill operator to take precautionary measures to prevent a major fire or an explosion in the mill.

A small pocket of oxidizing coal can become a major fire through escalation or ignition. If escalation occurs, the oxidation process intensifies as the quality of coal involved and temperature increase. Larger quantities of carbon monoxide are produced as the process escalates until a runaway condition is reached which results in a fire. This small quantity of oxidizing coal also represents an ignition source which, combined with the other elements within the mill, can result in a major fire or explosion. In this case, the quantity of carbon monoxide does not need to escalate prior to the fire or explosion since the small pocket of oxidizing coal is only an ignition source. From the foregoing, it is apparent that detection methods based upon carbon monoxide alone are useful only after oxidation has started and do not give the operator a good indication of potentially explosive conditions within the pulverizing mill. Other factors, such as the level of oxygen and combustible gases in the pulverizing mill, must be considered when evaluating the possibility of a fire or an explosion within the pulverizing mill.

European Patent Application EP-A 0 132 974 discloses a safety system for a coal pulverizer in which measurements of the net oxygen and carbon

monoxide contents are taken, and alarm and/or automatic pulverizer inerting operations are initiated in response to the measurements.

According to the invention there is provided a safety system for a coal pulverizing mill, the system comprising:

5 means for determining the combined level of carbon monoxide and other combustible gases in the coal pulverizing mill and establishing a signal indicative thereof;

10 means for comparing the signal from the determining means with a predetermined setpoint signal indicative of a potentially hazardous combined level of carbon monoxide and other combustible gases in the coal pulverizing mill and establishing a control signal therefrom; and

15 alarm means responsive to the control signal to indicate a fire in the coal pulverizing mill in advance of any oxygen measurement indicative of such a fire.

20 The present invention can solve or at least alleviate the aforementioned problems associated with the prior art inasmuch as it is not dependent upon measuring temperature, gas flow velocity or only carbon monoxide for determining the existence of a potentially dangerous condition within the pulverizing mill. On the contrary, a measurement of oxygen and/or an aggregate measurement of not only carbon monoxide but all combustible gases in the mill and/or a determination of the rate of change of such aggregate measurement is/are made and utilized for controlling the operation of the mill and/or warning the operator of a potentially dangerous mill condition.

25 A preferred embodiment of the invention described in detail hereinbelow incorporates a single point analyzer which is mounted directly to the pulverizing mill to provide continuous measurements of both the oxygen content and the carbon monoxide equivalent (CO_e) level of the pulverizing mill atmosphere. The measurement of the carbon monoxide equivalent (CO_e) level includes not only the level of carbon monoxide in the pulverizing mill, but also the other combustible gases, such as hydrogen, methane, ethane, etc., in the mill. The oxygen portion of the analyzer uses a sensor operating at a temperature at which any combustible volatile material will combine with the oxygen in the sample that is extracted from the pulverizing mill. The sensor will then respond to the free or uncombined oxygen remaining. 30 The resulting measurement, referred to as the net oxygen (O₂) level, is then compared with various predetermined setpoints and correlated with the carbon monoxide equivalent (CO_e) level, which is similarly compared with various predetermined setpoints, to determine if a potentially dangerous condition exists within the pulverizing mill. Thus, measurements of both the net oxygen (O₂) level and the carbon monoxide equivalent (CO_e) level in the pulverizing mill atmosphere are used to determine the onset of conditions within the mill which might lead to a fire or explosion in same. The preferred embodiment thus provides an improved safety control system for detecting and controlling impending hazardous conditions in a coal pulverizing mill. 35 40 45 50 55 60 65

The invention will now be further described by way of illustrative and non-limiting example, with reference to the accompanying drawings, in which:

Figure 1 is a schematic drawing of a safety control system embodying the present invention;

Figure 2 is a schematic drawing of a monitoring and control logic assembly of the safety control system illustrated in Figure 1;

Figure 3 is a graph of the relationship of the carbon monoxide equivalent (CO_e) level in a coal pulverizing mill, and the various combustible components which make up the CO_e level, versus coal temperature;

Figure 4 is a graph of the relationship of the carbon monoxide equivalent (CO_e) level and the net oxygen (O₂) level in a pulverizing mill versus time and illustrates changes that take place in these levels when a fire occurs in the mill;

Figure 5 is a graph of the relationship of the carbon monoxide equivalent (CO_e) level and the net oxygen (O₂) level in a coal pulverizing mill versus time and illustrates changes in these levels when a smoldering fire exists in the mill but ignition does not occur; and

Figure 6 is a graph of the net oxygen (O₂) level versus carbon monoxide equivalent (CO_e) level in a pulverizing mill and illustrates the manner in which mill operating conditions depend upon the foregoing levels.

Referring now to the drawings, Figure 1 is a schematic drawing of a safety control system 10 according to a preferred embodiment of the present invention. As such, the control system 10 can be integrated in a facility's control system designed to monitor the performance of and detect impending fire or explosions in industrial coal pulverizing mills by monitoring the net oxygen (O₂) level and the carbon monoxide equivalent (CO_e) level of the combustible components in the pulverizing mill atmosphere. The measurement of the carbon monoxide equivalent (CO_e) level of the combustible components includes not only carbon monoxide but also other combustibles components such as hydrogen, methane, ethane and other higher hydrocarbon components. The combined measurement of the CO_e and net O₂ levels in the pulverizing mill atmosphere is used to indicate the oxidation rate of the coal to prevent spontaneous combustion within the mill. In addition, the measurement of the net O₂ level, when combined with other measurements, can provide the basis for overall mill performance calculations and the quality of the pulverized coal.

As shown in Figure 1, a CO_e/O₂ sample probe 12 is usually placed in a coal pulverizing mill 14 outlet zone. A sample gas is drawn through the probe 12 which is provided with a high temperature filter 16. The filter 16 is required to maintain trouble-free operation of the control system 10 by minimizing the amount of particulate matter drawn into the analyzer. A filter 16 which can be used for this application is of a type described in U.S. Patent No. US-A 4 286 472.

The air sample drawn from the coal pulverizer is then analyzed for percent by volume of oxygen (O₂) content and the carbon monoxide equivalent (CO_e) concentration of combustible components in ppm (parts per million) via a known oxygen and CO_e gas analyzer 18 designed to operate in a harsh power plant environment and having autocalibration capabilities. Electrical signals corresponding to the net oxygen (O₂) level i.e., the level of the free or uncombined oxygen within the sample remaining after the combustible volatile materials therein have combined with the oxygen in the sample, and the carbon monoxide equivalent (CO_e) level are transmitted respectively to a monitoring and control logic assembly 20 located in a central control room via lines 22 and 24. The net O₂ and CO_e levels are displayed and/or recorded on a strip-chart recorder 26. If the net O₂ level falls below a predetermined rise level, the system 10 actuates audible and visible alarms 28, 30, respectively, to alert the operator who, in turn, may manually take corrective action to inert the pulverizing mill 14 or permit the system 10 to continue until it initiates an automatic inert mode of operation to bring the pulverizing mill 14 operating parameters back under control.

Referring now to Figure 2, the monitoring and control logic assembly 20 utilizes both the net oxygen (O₂) measurement provided by the analyzer 18 along line 22 as well as the carbon monoxide equivalent (CO_e) measurement provided along line 24 from the analyzer 18 to actuate the alarms 28, 30, respectively at a predetermined net oxygen (O₂) level and at a predetermined carbon monoxide equivalent (CO_e) rise level. In addition, when the net oxygen (O₂) level and/or the absolute carbon monoxide equivalent (CO_e) level exceed certain critical limits, automatic inerting of the pulverizing mill 14 is undertaken by controlling the opening of a valve 32 which permits some inertia media, such as carbon dioxide or steam, to flow along a line 34 into the pulverizing mill 14.

As for the alarm functions, the net oxygen (O₂) measurement from line 22 is transmitted along a line 36 to a difference station 38 having a setpoint set at a predetermined net oxygen control point provided along a line 40. The difference station 38 compares the actual net oxygen (O₂) measurement provided by the analyzer 18 with the setpoint net oxygen level and provides an error signal along a line 42 which is one input to an AND gate 44. The other input to the AND gate 44 is provided by a constant negative signal from a predetermined source along a line 46. Thus, as long as the net oxygen (O₂) level provided to the difference station 38 is greater than setpoint net oxygen level, a positive error signal will be transmitted along line 42 to the AND gate 44 which will then fail to provide any control signal along a line 48, thus failing to actuate the alarm 28. As soon as the net oxygen (O₂) level drops below the setpoint net oxygen level, the error signal transmitted along line 42 will become negative and, in combination with the constant negative signal provided on line 46, results in the conduction of the AND gate 44 causing a control signal to be transmitted

along line 48 to the alarm 28 actuating same and providing an indication of potential problems with respect to the atmosphere in the pulverizing mill 14.

Alternatively, the signal representative of the measured carbon monoxide equivalent (CO_e) level which is transmitted along line 24 may also provide an actuation of the alternative alarm 30. The measured carbon monoxide equivalent (CO_e) level signal is transmitted to a derivative action controller 50 which is sensitive to any variations in the carbon monoxide equivalent (CO_e) level and provides an output signal along a line 52 indicative of the slope or rate of change of the carbon monoxide equivalent (CO_e) level in the pulverizing mill 14. The output of the derivative action controller 50 is transmitted along line 52 to a difference station 54 having a predetermined setpoint provided along a line 56 representative of a rate of change of the carbon monoxide equivalent (CO_e) level which would indicate coal ignition in the pulverizing mill 14. The output of the difference station 54 is transmitted along a line 58 to an AND gate 60 having a second input of a constant positive value provided along a line 62. In operation, the rate of change of the carbon monoxide equivalent (CO_e) level normally stays below the setpoint applied to the difference station 54 resulting in a negative output signal from this station 54 along line 58. Whenever the actual rate of change of the carbon monoxide equivalent (CO_e) level in the pulverizing mill exceeds the setpoint provided along line 56 to this difference station 54, the signal transmitted along line 58 becomes positive, causing the AND gate 60 to conduct resulting in the transmission of a control signal along a line 64 to the alarm 30 actuating same to indicate the existence of a potentially dangerous condition in the pulverizing mill 14.

The foregoing alarms 28 and 30, when actuated, warn the operator of a potentially dangerous condition in the pulverizing mill 14. These alarms should indicate to the operator that close monitoring of the pulverizing mill 14 is required and generally one alarm will be actuated, possibly followed by a second alarm. Since the inerting of the pulverizing mill 14 may shock the pulverizer, such inerting is left to the discretion of the operator. There are, however, certain conditions beyond which inerting of the pulverizing mill 14 is mandatory and must be automatically initiated. To provide for such automatic inerting of the pulverizing mill 14, the control system 10 again utilizes both the net oxygen (O_2) measurements and the carbon monoxide equivalent (CO_e) measurements provided via lines 22 and 24, respectively.

Automatic inerting of the pulverizing mill 14 is actuated by a difference station 66 which has a net oxygen level setpoint provided to it along a line 68. The net oxygen level setpoint provided to the difference station 66 is significantly lower than the setpoint level provided to the difference station 38. Thus, during normal operation of the pulverizing mill 14, the net oxygen (O_2) level measured and transmitted to the difference station 66 will exceed the setpoint applied thereto and the error signal produced

by the difference station 66 will be a positive signal which is transmitted along a line 70 to an AND gate 72. The other input of the AND gate 72 is provided by a constant negative signal along a line 74. Thus, during normal operation of the pulverizing mill 14, the inputs to the AND gate 72 will be positive and negative, resulting in no control signal being transmitted from the AND gate 72 along a line 76. Whenever the net oxygen (O_2) level within the pulverizing mill 14 falls below the setpoint level applied to the difference station 66, the output of this station 66 becomes negative, providing two negative inputs to the AND gate 72 resulting in the transmission of a control signal along line 76 to a switching circuit 78. The switching circuit 78 is a normally open circuit, preventing the signal from a controller 80 from reaching the control valve 32. When a control signal is present along line 76, the switching circuit 78 changes to a closed circuit condition, which results in the controller 80 being responsible for the operation of the valve 32.

One input to the controller 80 is the actual net oxygen (O_2) level in the pulverizing mill 14 and is provided by a line 82, which is connected to line 22. The setpoint for the controller 80 is provided along a line 84 from some setpoint station and the level of this setpoint is typically between the setpoint levels for difference stations 66 and 38. Thus, when the switching circuit 78 is actuated by a control signal from the AND gate 72, indicating that the net oxygen (O_2) level within the pulverizing mill 14 has fallen below the setpoint level to the difference station 66, the controller 80 will open valve 32 causing an inerting atmosphere, such as carbon dioxide, to be delivered to the pulverizing mill 14 until a somewhat normal net oxygen level is reached close to the setpoint level for the controller 80. Typically, the setpoint level for the controller 80 is kept somewhat lower than normal atmosphere to minimize the shock to the pulverizer 14 due to the inerting process. When the net oxygen (O_2) level in the pulverizing mill 14 reaches the setpoint level for the controller 80, the switching circuit 78 can then be reset to its normally open condition by a reset signal along a line 86 from either a manual source or an automatic source tied to some parameter indicative of the establishment of normal operating conditions within the pulverizing mill 14.

The actuation of the automatic inerting means is also alternatively done upon the sensing of a predetermined absolute carbon monoxide equivalent (CO_e) level in the pulverizing mill 14. The carbon monoxide equivalent (CO_e) signal normally provided on line 24 is tapped by a line 88 to provide one input to a difference station 90. The setpoint of the difference station 90 is provided along a line 92 from a setpoint station and the level of this setpoint is typically set at the maximum carbon monoxide equivalent (CO_e) level which can be tolerated in the pulverizing mill 14. Thus, as long as the carbon monoxide equivalent (CO_e) level stays below the setpoint for the difference station 90, a positive error signal will be transmitted by the difference station 90 along a line 94 to an AND gate 96. The other input to the AND gate 96

is a constant negative signal provided along a line 98. Thus, during normal operation of the pulverizing mill 14, opposite polarity signals are applied to the inputs to the AND gate 96, preventing the transmission of any control signal along a line 100 from the AND gate 96. Whenever the absolute carbon monoxide equivalent (CO_e) level exceeds the setpoint level applied to the difference station 90, the error signal transmitted to the AND gate 96 become negative, causing the conduction of the AND gate 96 and the establishment of a control signal along line 100 to the switching circuit 78. As was previously described with respect to the net oxygen (O₂) level control, the foregoing causes the switching circuit 78 to be conductive, turning control of the valve 32 over to the controller 80. In this manner, automatic inerting of the pulverizer 14 will occur until a reset signal is established along line 86, causing the switching circuit 78 to again become non-conductive and causing the valve to switch back to its normally closed position.

Oxygen, fuel and an ignition source must be present in the pulverizing mill in order for a fire or explosion to occur. The grinding of the coal in the pulverizing mill releases hydrogen, methane, ethane and other combustible hydrocarbons. Carbon monoxide is present only in very low levels during the grinding process unless the oxidation process has commenced. Once the oxidation process has commenced and the coal temperature rises, all of the foregoing combustible gases will evolve and can be utilized as an indicator of a potentially dangerous condition. Figure 3 shows the general relationship of the resulting carbon monoxide equivalent (CO_e) level in the pulverizing mill to the various combustible gaseous components which comprise same versus increasing coal temperature. As shown in Figure 3, measuring the aggregate of all these gaseous components produces a response that is significantly more pronounced than that based only upon carbon monoxide and eliminates the limitations resulting from relying on only one gas, viz., carbon monoxide.

It has been found that most pulverizing mill fires are preceded by a significant increase in the carbon monoxide equivalent (CO_e) level in the mill. This increase appears to be caused by the oxidation of a small pocket of coal within the bowl or underbowl area. Investigations have shown that such pockets of oxidizing coal can exist for a long period of time within the mill and have the potential of igniting a runaway fire at any time. Such pockets cannot be detected by using previous methods of detection but can be detected through the use of the present system, as shown in Figure 4. Figure 4 illustrates a fire that was preceded by elevated carbon monoxide equivalent (CO_e) levels indicating the presence of smoldering coal in the pulverizing mill. Approximately ten minutes after the start-up of the pulverizing mill the carbon monoxide equivalent (CO_e) level increased to 250 ppm; thirty minutes after the increase in the carbon monoxide equivalent (CO_e) level, the oxygen (O₂) level spiked down to 5% and the mill temperature went out of control indicating the presence of a

fire within the mill. The fire was quickly extinguished by increasing the coal feed. However, observation of sparks from the underbowl section verified that a fire had occurred and that coal was still smoldering in the mill. The carbon monoxide equivalent (CO_e) level then gradually decreased to approximately 35 ppm over the next seven hours. This indicated that the smoldering coal gradually burned itself out. However, the potential for a second fire during this period was indicated by the high carbon monoxide equivalent (CO_e) level.

An example of a smoldering fire which did not ignite the pulverizing mill is shown in Figure 5. As shown in Figure 5, approximately one-half hour after start-up of the mill, the carbon monoxide equivalent (CO_e) level increased from 35 ppm to 225 ppm. The carbon monoxide equivalent (CO_e) level remained at this high level and the net oxygen (O₂) level fell slightly from 17.75% to 16.75%. The carbon monoxide equivalent (CO_e) and net oxygen (O₂) levels then returned to their normal levels. Investigation of the pulverizing mill revealed a small quantity of coal smoldering in the mill for thirty minutes. The quantity of smoldering coal was not large enough to ignite the mill.

From the foregoing it is apparent that monitoring the carbon monoxide equivalent (CO_e) level in the pulverizing mill provides a significantly improved method for the early detection of a potentially dangerous condition in the mill so that the necessary corrective measures can be taken to avert a fire or explosion in same. Such early detection is not possible with the detection methods previously available.

In summary, Figure 6 illustrates the general relationship of the carbon monoxide equivalent (CO_e) level, net oxygen (O₂) level, and pulverizer mill condition. The normal operating band shows a general relationship between carbon monoxide equivalent (CO_e) level, net oxygen (O₂) level, and the type of coal used. As the percent volatile material in the coal increases, so does the expected carbon monoxide (CO_e) equivalent level. As the percent moisture increases, the net oxygen (O₂) level will decrease due to resulting higher moisture levels in the pulverizing mill gases. Rises in the carbon monoxide equivalent (CO_e) level combined with a constant or dropping net oxygen (O₂) level indicates a smoldering condition with a potential for a pulverizer mill fire. Conversely, increasing carbon monoxide equivalent (CO_e) level indicates that the pulverizing mill is in a potentially explosive condition. From the foregoing, the value of measuring and determining the carbon monoxide equivalent (CO_e) level, in conjunction with the net oxygen (O₂) level, is apparent in determining the onset of a potentially dangerous condition in the pulverizing mill.

Claims

1. A safety system for a coal pulverizing mill, the system comprising:
means (18) for determining the combined level of carbon monoxide and other combustible gases in the

coal pulverizing mill (14) and establishing a signal (24) indicative thereof;

means (90) for comparing the signal from the determining means (18) with a predetermined setpoint signal (92) indicative of a potentially hazardous combined level of carbon monoxide and other combustible gases in the coal pulverizing mill (14) and establishing a control signal (100) therefrom; and alarm means (30) responsive to the control signal (100) to indicate a fire in the coal pulverizing mill (14) in advance of any oxygen measurement indicative of such a fire.

2. A safety system according to claim 1, wherein the determining means comprises means (18) for measuring the combined level of carbon monoxide and other gases in the coal pulverizing mill (14) and a derivative action controller (50) connected to the measuring means (18) to provide a signal (52) indicative of the rate of change of the combined level of carbon monoxide and other combustible gases in the coal pulverizing mill (14).

3. A safety system according to claim 1 or claim 2, including means (18) for measuring the net oxygen level in the coal pulverizing mill and establishing a signal (22) indicative thereof, and means (38) for comparing the signal (22) from the net oxygen level measuring means (18) with a predetermined setpoint signal (40) indicative of a potentially hazardous net oxygen level in the coal pulverizing mill (14) and establishing a second control signal (48) therefrom, the alarm means (28) being responsive also to the second control signal (48).

4. A safety system according to claim 3, including means (66) for comparing the signal (22) from the net oxygen level measuring means (18) with a predetermined setpoint signal (68) indicative of a potentially hazardous net oxygen level in the coal pulverizing mill (14) and establishing a third control signal (76) therefrom, and inerting means (34, 32, 80) responsive to the third control signal (76) to inert the coal pulverizing mill (14).

5. A safety system according to claim 4, wherein the inerting means (34, 32, 80) comprises a source (34) of inerting atmosphere for inerting the coal pulverizing mill (14), valve means (32) for controlling the source (34) of inerting atmosphere, and controller means (80) responsive to the third control signal (76) for controlling the valve means.

6. A safety system according to claim 5, including switching means (78) connected between the controller means (80) and the valve means (32) and responsive to the third control signal (76) to allow control of the valve means (32) by the controller means (80).

Patentansprüche

1. Sicherheitssystem für eine Feinmühle für Kohle mit:

einer Einrichtung (18) zum Bestimmen des kombinierten Gehaltes von Kohlenmonoxid und anderen brennbaren Gasen in der Kohlefeinmühle (14) und zum Bereitstellen eines Signals (24), welches jenen anzeigt,

einer Einrichtung (90) zum Vergleichen des Signals

von der Bestimmungseinrichtung (18) mit einem vorbestimmten Setzwertsignal (92), welches einen potentiell gefährlichen Summenwert von Kohlenmonoxid und anderen brennbaren Gasen in der Kohlefeinmühle (14) anzeigt und welche davon ein Steuersignal (100) ableitet, und

einer Alarmeinrichtung (30), welche auf das Kontrollsignal (100) anspricht, um ein Feuer in der Kohlefeinmühle (14) anzuzeigen, bevor irgendeine Sauerstoffmessung ein solches Feuer anzeigt.

2. Sicherheitssystem nach Anspruch 1, wobei die Bestimmungseinrichtung eine Einrichtung (18) zum Messen des Summenwertes (kombinierten Gehaltes) von Kohlenmonoxid und anderen Gasen in der Kohlefeinmühle (14) aufweist sowie eine Vorhaltwirkungssteuerung (50) (Differentialsteuerung) aufweist, welche mit der Meßeinrichtung (18) verbunden ist, um ein Signal (52) bereitzustellen, welches eine Anzeige für die Änderungsrate des Summenwertes aus Kohlenmonoxid und anderen brennbaren Gasen in der Kohlefeinmühle (14) ist.

3. Sicherheitssystem nach Anspruch 1 oder 2, einschließlich einer Einrichtung (18) zum Messen des Nettosauerstoffwertes in der Kohlefeinmühle und zum Bereitstellen eines Signals (22), welches eine Anzeige hierfür ist, sowie einschließlich einer Einrichtung (38) zum Vergleichen des Signals (22) der Meßeinrichtung (18) für den Nettosauerstoffwert mit einem vorbestimmten Grenzwert- bzw. Setzwertsignal (40), das eine Anzeige für einen potentiell gefährlichen Nettosauerstoffwert in der Kohlefeinmühle (14) liefert, und zum Ableiten eines zweiten Steuersignals (48) hieraus, wobei die Alarmeinrichtung (28) auch auf das zweite Steuersignal (48) anspricht.

4. Sicherheitssystem nach Anspruch 3, einschließlich einer Einrichtung (66) zum Vergleichen des Signales (22) aus der Meßeinrichtung (18) für den Nettosauerstoffwert mit einem vorbestimmten Setzwertsignal (68) (Einstellwertsignal), welches eine Anzeige für einen potentiell gefährlichen Nettosauerstoffwert in der Kohlefeinmühle (14) liefert, und zum Ableiten eines dritten Steuersignales (76) hieraus, sowie einschließlich einer Inertisierungseinrichtung (34, 32, 80), welche auf das dritte Steuersignal (76) anspricht, um die Kohlefeinmühle (14) inert zu machen.

5. Sicherheitssystem nach Anspruch 4, wobei die Inertisierungseinrichtung (34, 32, 80) eine Quelle (34) einer inertisierenden Atmosphäre aufweist, um die Kohlefeinmühle (14) inert zu machen, eine Ventileinrichtung (32) aufweist, um die Quelle (34) der inertisierenden Atmosphäre zu steuern, und Steuerungsmittel (80) aufweist, welche auf das dritte Steuersignal (76) ansprechen, um die Ventileinrichtung zu steuern,

6. Sicherheitssystem nach Anspruch 5, einschließlich einer Schalteinrichtung (78), welche zwischen den Steuerungsmitteln (80) und der Ventileinrichtung (32) angeschlossen ist und auf das dritte Steuersignal (76) anspricht, um die Steuerung der Ventileinrichtung (32) durch die Steuerungsmittel (80) zu ermöglichen.

Revendications

1. Système de sécurité pour broyeur pulvériseur à charbon, le système comprenant:
 - un moyen (18) servant à déterminer le niveau combiné de monoxyde de carbone et autres gaz combustibles dans le broyeur pulvériseur à charbon (14) et l'établissement d'un signal (24) qui en est indicatif; 5
 - un moyen (90) servant à comparer le signal provenant du moyen de détermination (18) avec un signal de valeur de consigne (92) indicatif d'un niveau combiné potentiellement dangereux de monoxyde de carbone et autres gaz combustibles dans le broyeur pulvériseur (14) et à établir un signal de commande (100) qui en provient, et 10
 - un moyen d'alarme (30) réagissant au signal de commande (100) pour indiquer un feu dans le broyeur pulvériseur à charbon (14) en avance de toute mesure d'oxygène indicative d'un tel feu. 15
2. Système de sécurité selon la revendication 1, dans lequel le moyen de détermination comprend un moyen (18) servant à mesurer le niveau combiné de monoxyde de carbone et autres gaz dans le broyeur pulvériseur à charbon (14) et un contrôleur de mode d'action dérivée (50) relié au moyen de mesure (18) pour délivrer un signal (52) indicatif de la vitesse de variation du niveau combiné de monoxyde de carbone et d'autres gaz combustibles dans le broyeur pulvériseur à charbon (14). 20
3. Système de sécurité selon la revendication 1 ou 2, comprenant un moyen (18) servant à mesurer le niveau d'oxygène final dans le broyeur pulvériseur à charbon et à établir un signal (22) qui en est indicatif et un moyen (38) servant à comparer le signal (22) provenant du moyen de mesure de niveau d'oxygène final (18) avec un signal de valeur de consigne prédéterminé (40) indicatif d'un niveau d'oxygène final potentiellement dangereux dans le broyeur pulvériseur à charbon (14) et à établir un deuxième signal de commande (48) qui en provient, le moyen d'alarme (28) étant également sensible au deuxième signal de commande (48). 30
4. Système de sécurité selon la revendication 3, comprenant un moyen (66) servant à comparer le signal (22) provenant du moyen de mesure de niveau d'oxygène final (18) avec un signal de valeur de consigne prédéterminé (68) indicatif d'un niveau d'oxygène final potentiellement dangereux dans le broyeur pulvériseur à charbon (14) et à établir un troisième signal de commande (76) qui en provient et un moyen d'inactivation (34, 32, 80) réagissant au troisième signal de commande (76) pour inactiver le broyeur pulvériseur à charbon (14). 45
5. Système de sécurité selon la revendication 4, dans lequel le moyen d'inactivation (34, 32, 80) comprend une source (34) d'atmosphère d'inactivation pour inactiver le broyeur pulvériseur à charbon (14), un moyen de soupape (32) servant à contrôler la source (34) d'atmosphère d'inactivation et un moyen d'organe de commande (80) réagissant au troisième signal de commande (76) pour commander le moyen de soupape. 55
6. Système de sécurité selon la revendication 5, comprenant un moyen de commutation (78) connecté entre le moyen d'organe de commande (80) et le 60

moyen de soupape (32) et réagissant au troisième signal de commande (76) pour permettre la commande du moyen de soupape (32) par le moyen d'organe de commande (80).




FIG. 1




FIG. 2

CO_e During Initial Stages of a Coal Fire




FIG. 3

Underbowl Mill Fire




FIG. 4

Smoldering Coal in the Mill




FIG. 5

General Relationship of CO_e, O₂ and Mill Condition




FIG. 6