(19) Europäisches Patentamt
European Patent Office
Office européen des brevets

(11) Publication number: **0 245 250**
B1

(12) # EUROPEAN PATENT SPECIFICATION

(45) Date of publication of patent specification: **23.05.90**

(51) Int. Cl.[5]: **D 21 F 11/00**, D 21 G 1/00

(21) Application number: **85905975.0**

(22) Date of filing: **06.11.85**

(86) International application number:
PCT/US85/02194

(87) International publication number:
WO 87/02722 07.05.87 Gazette 87/10

(54) **METHOD OF FINISHING PAPER UTILIZING SUBSTRATA THERMAL MOLDING.**

(43) Date of publication of application:
19.11.87 Bulletin 87/47

(45) Publication of the grant of the patent:
23.05.90 Bulletin 90/21

(84) Designated Contracting States:
AT CH DE FR GB IT LI NL SE

(56) References cited:

DE-B-1 259 692	US-A-3 268 354
US-A-3 124 480	US-A-3 442 685
US-A-3 124 481	US-A-3 451 331
US-A-3 124 504	US-A-4 012 543
US-A-3 230 867	US-A-4 277 524
US-A-3 254 593	US-A-4 317 849

DAS PAPIER, vol. 40, no. 10A, October 1986, pages V137-V143, Darmstadt, DE; G. HUNGER: "Derzeitiger Stand und Entwicklungstendenzen der Glättung und Satinage"

Tappi, Volume 60. No. 12, Issued December 1977, Salmen et al., The Influence of Water on the Glass Transition Temperature of Cellulose pgs 137-140

(73) Proprietor: **SCOTT PAPER COMPANY**
Scott Plaza 2
Philadelphia, PA 19113 (US)

(72) Inventor: **VREELAND, Jay, Henry**
Prince's Point
Yarmouth, ME 04096 (US)

(74) Representative: **McCall, John Douglas et al**
W.P. THOMPSON & CO. Coopers Building
Church Street
Liverpool L1 3AB (GB)

(56) References cited:
Tappi, Volume 51, No. 2, Issued February 1968, Brecht et al., Tests Performed with a Gloss Calender, pages 61A-66A

Note: Within nine months from the publication of the mention of the grant of the European patent, any person may give notice to the European Patent Office of opposition to the European patent granted. Notice of opposition shall be filed in a written reasoned statement. It shall not be deemed to have been filed until the opposition fee has been paid. (Art. 99(1) European patent convention).

Courier Press, Leamington Spa, England.

EP 0 245 250 B1

Description

This invention relates generally to the manufacture of paper and in particular to a novel method of finishing printing paper in a manner which improves its properties.

Background Art

High quality printing paper must have a number of physical properties. Two of the most important are a flat and smooth surface to facilitate printing in a press and gloss to produce a more attractive surface, particularly after printing. These properties can be obtained by a variety of techniques, such as coating the paper with pigments and binder and finishing it in one or more pressing operations.

One of the most common finishing operations employed in the manufacture of printing paper is supercalendering, in which paper is passed through a series of nips formed by steel rolls pressed against cotton filled rolls at very high pressures, typically at nip loads between 175 KN/M and 437.5 KN/M (1000 to 2500 pounds per lineal inch). This typically results in nip pressure of 13,780 KN/M^2 to 27,560 KN/M^2 (2000 to 4000 p.s.i.).

Traditional supercalendar stacks are not externally heated, but heat is generated when the cotton filled rolls subjected to the extremely high pressures in the nip flex intermittently with each revolution. The nip temperatures in such supercalenders typically reach levels of about 71°C (160°F). Another important element in producing good results is having a high moisture content in the paper as it passes through the supercalendar. Typically, the moisture content will be 7% to 9%, or higher, of the bone dry fiber weight. Flatness, smoothness and high gloss are obtained in supercalenders because of extreme compression and densification of the sheet. The densification undesirably results in reduced opacity and a blackening effect in overly moist portions.

Supercalendars commonly consist of a large number of rolls (9 to 14), alternating steel and resilient, in order to obtain the desired smoothness and gloss. In order to obtain smoothness on both sides it is necessary to run an even number of rolls and with two resilient rolls (so called "cushion rolls") running together midway in the stack to perform the necessary reversing of the side toward the steel rolls. This action is only partly successful at providing two smooth sides since the first side finished towards the steel is later deformed by the exposure to the resilient rolls.

Because of this shortcoming and the inherent mechanical problem associated with the "cushion roll" nip, many supercalenders operate today with an uneven number of nips and no "cushion roll" nip, which results in only one side being finished against the steel, and while gloss values may be manipulated to be close on the two sides, inevitably one side is noticeably rougher than the other.

Another form of finishing is machine calendering wherein the paper web is passed between two normally unheated steel rolls pressed together at high pressures. This process produces smoothness, but little gloss because of the absence of shear in the nip.

Another common finishing operation is gloss calendering, which uses heated finishing rolls to produce high gloss finishes on coated paper or board without the high pressure of supercalendering. The nip pressures for commercial machines are typically between about 87.5 to 175 KN/M (500 to 1000 pounds per lineal inch) of nip loading. This typically results in nip pressures of 6,890 KN/M^2 to 13,780 KN/M^2 (1000 to 2000 p.s.i.). The lower pressure causes less densification of the paper, and therefore, better opacity, while the high temperature softens the coating and permits better gloss enchancement. However, the finishing effect is limited to the coating and the uppermost surface of the web. Thus, the surface of the sheet is not as smooth and flat as that produced in supercalendering and has generally been applied to coated board rather than high quality papers. As a result, gloss calendered sheets do not print as satisfactorily in a printing press as do supercalendered sheets.

In recent years, many modifications have been made to gloss calendering, machine calendering and supercalendering operations. Some supercalenders have been heated, primarily to improve the uniformity and control of the temperature. Typically, heated supercalenders reach nip temperatures of about 82°C (180°F). Temperatures of some machine calenders or supercalenders have been further increased in an attempt to allow a decrease in pressure to produce the same results. In spite of this modification of supercalendering in the direction of gloss calendering, the fundamental effects of the two processes have remained distinct. Supercalendering uniformly compacts the entire sheet to a high degree, thus flattening the surface fibers and all others, as well as producing gloss on the surface. In contrast, gloss calendering molds, flattens, and glosses the surface of the coating and, in the case of uncoated paper the top surface of the fibrous substrate, but compacts the remainder of the sheet much less than supercalendering.

Examples of gloss calendering are disclosed in U.S. patents Nos. 3,124,504; 3,124,480; 3,124,481; 3,190,212; and 3,254,593. These patents collectively describe apparatus capable of nip temperatures from below the boiling point of water to as high as 232°C (450°F) and nip pressures from 1,722 to 17,220 KN/M^2 (250 to 2500 p.s.i.). No. 3,124,480 describes finishing steps designed to heat the coating on paper to a temperature which temporarily plasticizes at least the surface of the coating in contact with the hot drum. A form of supercalendering in which the rolls are heated to relatively high temperatures is disclosed in U.S. patent Nos. 3,442,685 and 3,451,331. These patents disclose a method and apparatus capable of producing high gloss on coated paper by heating at least one roll of a supercalender stack to a temperature between 82°C to 163°C (180°F and 325°F) to plasticize the coating.

The one parameter which has been found to be the most critical in gloss calendering and supercalendering has been the moisture content of the paper. High moisture improves the smoothing and glossing effects of both the coating and the paper substrate. Many developments in supercalendering and gloss calendering involve techniques for increasing the moisture in the web or at least in some portions of it before finishing.

Unfortunately, moisture is an undesirable control parameter. Small variations in moisture cause large variations in the finished properties of the paper. Also, it is undesirable to have more than about 3.5% to about 4.5% moisture in the finished sheet to avoid uneven reel building and sheet curl from later drying. This amount of moisture is a stable amount, and the sheet will not dry significantly below this level under ambient conditions. To have a finished product with the desired low moisture content and still have the desired high moisture content (e.g. 7% to 9%) to facilitate calendering, many heated calendering operations have increased the drum temperature to dry the moister webs.

Nonuniformity of moisture in the sheet can be even a bigger problem than too much moisture. By nonuniformity, it is meant that the moisture content at once place on the sheet is higher or lower than at other locations across the width of the sheet. The nonuniformity can also exist in the machine direction and the thickness of the sheet. Nonuniformity is most severe when calendering takes place immediately after coating, which is to say when the calender is in line with the coater. If coating is done in a separate operation from calendering, the moisture content of the coated paper has time to equalize throughout the web before calendering.

The above cited patent No. 3,124,504 is primarily concerned with very moist webs (up to 35% or 50% moisture) and includes the concept of drying the web while finishing it. Very high temperatures are employed for drying, but temperatures above the boiling point of water are said to be needed only if the web is wetter than 5% to 8% of the bone dry weight. The web moisture content is also noted as being an important element in the process disclosed in above cited patent Nos. 3,442,685 and 3,451,331. The patents teach that it is best for the paper to have about 7% moisture content, and moisture can be added before the supercalender to improve the finishing effects. The addition of moisture before finishing is also described in above cited patent No. 3,124,481 to manufacture glazed uncoated paper. U.S. Patent No. 2,214,641 also moistens the surface of the web before finishing. In U.S. Patent No. 4,012,543, gloss calendering is undertaken immediately after coating before too much of the moisture is lost from the coating. In this disclosure, finishing is carried out at a web moisture content of 9% to 10% of the bone dry weight. In contrast, U.S. Patent No. 3,268,354, takes special steps to dry the surface of the coating, but to maintain a wet interface between the coating and the fibrous web before gloss calendering. The web in this disclosure has a moisture content of at least 15% at the interface.

Disclosure of the Invention

The present invention is a new process which permits the manufacture of paper with supercalender smoothness and gloss without the above noted disadvantages of supercalendering.

The invention is a process for producing gloss and smoothness on the surface of a paper web, comprising the steps of:

A. providing a finishing apparatus comprising a smooth metal finishing drum and a resilient backing roll pressed against the drum at a force of up to 700 KN/M (4000 pounds per lineal inch) to form a nip with pressure against the paper web of at least 13,780 KN/M^2 (2000 p.s.i.);

B. advancing a web of papermaking fibers having a moisture content in the fibers of from 3% to 7% of the bone dry weight of the fibers through the nip at a speed which results in the web dwelling in the nip from 0.3 milliseconds to 12 milliseconds; and

C. simultaneously with step B, heating the drum to a surface temperature having a value no less than 20°C below the value determined by the following formula:

$$Ts = [Ti \times .357t^{-.479} - 234.2e^{-.131m}]/[.357t^{-.479} - 1]$$ where:

Ts = surface temperature of the heated drum, in °C;
Ti = the initial temperature of the web just prior to entering the nip, in °C;
t = dwell time of the web in the nip, in milliseconds;
e = the base of the natural logarithm; and
m = moisture content of the fibers in the web in weight percent of the bone dry fiber weight.

Much of the prior art discloses broad operating conditions in which some of the conditions of the present invention fall, but fail to teach the special requirements for low moisture paper and are far too broad in their disclosures for one to appreciate the present critical operating range. They all either calender at a temperature and/or pressure below the present invention, calender the web too wet, or teach a very broad temperature range which might accidentally include the present range.

The invention is believed to owe its success to one phenomenon believed to be unappreciated before this invention and to another phenomenon just beginning to be appreciated. With respect to the first, it has been discovered that an unexpected increment of gloss and smoothness can be obtained in a critical temperature range and that increment is much greater at nip pressures above 13,780 KN/M^2 (2000 psi). With respect to the second, cellulosic fibers, such as papermaking fibers, appear to exhibit thermoplastic

properties and in particular appear to have a glass transition temperature ("Tg") above which the fibers become much more flexible and moldable when subjected to pressing forces. The Tg of cellulose in paper is greatly dependent upon the moisture content of the paper and is very low for papers as moist as those traditionally supercalendered. However, this very property which facilitates supercalendering also results in the undesirable ultra sensitivity to moisture variations and the undesirable ultra densification through the entire thickness of the web.

Although some of the prior art relating to gloss calendering recognized the effects of temperature on moldability of the coating and the surface fibers of uncoated paper, none recognized the existence of a critical strata beneath the surface of the fibers which must be molded flat to obtain the flatness and smoothness of supercalendering.

The invention, which can be described as substrata thermal molding, is based upon molding the critical substrate of the web into a flat strata permitting the surface of the fibrous web and any coating to be flattened, smoothed and glossed to the degree obtainable by supercalendering. This strata is the foundation for the surface, and molding below this level is not critical to obtaining supercalender flatness. Thus the molding of the entire thickness of the sheet as in supercalendering is unnecessary, provides little advantage, and results in the previously noted disadvantages.

The present invention does not require a web as moist as those generally subjected to supercalendering and gloss calendering. The present invention performs satisfactorily on a web having a moisture content less than 7% of the bone dry weight of the fibers and even less than 6% or 5%. Surprisingly, the invention works satisfactorily at even lower moisture contents, even as low as 3%. Consequently, finished products can be easily produced at desirable moisture levels without having to dry them in the finishing process. In addition, the ability to finish the web at lower moisture contents permits drying down the web immediately before finishing at a low level where moisture content is substantially uniform throughout the web, preferably with no variation greater than 0.5% from the average. Thus, the invention is particularly valuable where coating and finishing are done continuously in line with each other. It is even more valuable when coating and finishing are done continuously in line with the papermaking machine.

The principal shortcoming of the prior art hot calendering of coated paper was that it only molded the coating with little effect on the fibrous substrate. Consequently, while high gloss could be obtained, the very flat smooth surface of supercalendering was not obtainable. With uncoated paper, the prior art molded only the surface fibers to coalesce or seal the surface of the sheet. The effect needed to reach the critical substrata, which is believed necessary to flatten the web, was not appreciated. Adding confusion to these teachings was a failure to understand the role of moisture and temperature in molding the sheet. For example, much of the prior art teaches that temperatures below the scope of the present invention will suffice at low moisture, but higher temperatures are needed at higher moistures to dry the sheet.

In a preferred embodiment of the present invention, the finishing apparatus includes a second resilient backing roll pressed against the drum preferably within the same pressure range as the first to form a second nip. The web is advanced through the second nip after the first nip within a short period of time, less than 4 seconds, to provide a great advantage, uniquely valuable to this invention and explained as follows. The key to the invention is to heat a critical substrata of the web to its Tg. Obviously, this requires a drum surface temperature hotter than the Tg. At the same time, the Tg increases with reduction in moisture. Thus, conflicting goals exist in selecting the drum temperature. If the temperature is too low, the heating time required, which is limited to dwell time in the nip, will be too long and cause too much loss in web moisture, as well as a tendency to raise the temperature of the entire web to the same temperature. If the temperature is too high, the web must be sped through the nip too fast to provide the dwell time needed as well as perhaps being beyond commercially feasible machine speeds.

As set forth in the above description of the invention, there is a drum temperature range wherein the invention works satisfactorily. However, the use of two nips on one drum will permit the drum temperature to be lower and the invention to work more satisfactorily. The web is heated quickly in the first nip to a relatively high temperature on its surface which is in contact with the drum, but the temperature on the opposite side will increase little, if any. Immediately upon leaving the first nip, the temperature of the web through its thickness tends to equalize, while of course losing some heat to the air from both surfaces. As a result, the entire web, and most importantly the critical substrata, has a temperature raised above its previously temperature, but below its Tg, when it enters the second nip. In the second nip the same type of temperature gradient that existed in the first nip is established, but with the interior temperature of the web higher than before. Thus, the critical portion of the web can be brought to the critical temperature using a lower drum temperature or faster process speed than needed with only a single nip. Of course, the additional pressing time provided by two nips will result in surface improvements also.

In the preferred form of the invention, the web will be passed through the nip or nips without contacting the heated drum except in the nips for the reasons stated above. However, there may be cases where it is desirable and not too disadvantageous to have some additional drum contact. In those cases, it will be preferable to limit the contact to less than 20% of the drum circumference.

Brief Description of the Drawings

Fig. 1 illustrates schematically an apparatus suitable for practicing the present invention;

Fig. 2 is a graph illustrating the gloss and smoothness values for the uncoated paper finished at various temperatures in Example 1;

Fig. 3 is a graph illustrating the gloss and smoothness values for the coated paper finished at various temperatures in Example 2;

Fig. 4 is a graph illustrating the gloss and smoothness values for the coated paper finished at various temperatures in Example 3;

Fig. 5 is a graph showing the dynamic Tg of cellulose fibers for various moisture contents;

Fig. 6 illustrates schematically the temperature gradient into the thickness of the paper in a nip of the apparatus illustrated in Fig. 1;

Fig. 7 is a graph showing the temperature gradient into the thickness of the web for various dwell times of the web in the nip;

Fig. 8 is a graph showing the drum surface temperature required for the invention for various moisture contents and various dwell times; and

Fig. 9 is a graph illustrating the gloss values for the coated paper finished at various temperatures and pressures in Example 4.

Best Mode for carrying out the Invention

The following definitions are provided to better understand these terms in this specification and claims.

Parker Print-Surf — a quantitative measurement commonly used in the papermaking field for the printing roughness and porosity of paper made by sensing the leakage of air at low pressure between the surface of the sample and the measuring sensing head. The lower the value, the smoother the paper. Parker Print-Surf can be measured with several different pressures of the dam against the paper being measured. In the present specification and claims, all were measured with a pressure of 10 Kg/cm^2. Supercalendered coated woodfree paper will typically have a Parker Print-Surf of less than 1.4 and less than 1.0 for very high quality. Gloss calendered coated woodfree paper will typically have a Parker Print-Surf of between 1.2 and 2.0.

75° Hunter Gloss — a well-recognized quantitative measurement of the amount of light specularly reflected at an angle 75° from a line at a right angle to the plane of the paper. Glossy grades of coated papers typically have a gloss of from 50 to 90. Above 70 is considered as very high gloss.

The present invention can be carried out on an apparatus like that illustrated in Fig. 1. A paper web 1 is advanced through the first nip formed by smooth surface finishing drum 2 and resilient backing roll 3, around guide rolls 4, and through a second nip formed by drum 2 and a second resilient backing roll 5 pressed against drum 2. Thereafter, if desired for finishing the other side of the web, the web 1 is advanced to a second smooth surface finishing drum with a pair of nips formed by resilient backing rolls similar to the first unit (not illustrated for simplicity). The finished web is then wound onto reel 6. Variations in the process can be carried out by omitting or bypassing the second nip on each drum and/or finishing on one side only, in which case the second drum is bypassed or omitted.

The web 1 supplied to the finishing apparatus can come directly from a papermaking machine 7 and/or coater 8, if the paper is to be coated. In the alternative, the web 1 can be supplied from a roll of previously manufactured paper which may or may not have already been coated. The papermaking machine and coater are illustrated only as blocks since they can be provided by any conventional apparatus well known in the art.

The finishing apparatus employed in the invention can be provided by any of the many disclosed in the previously described prior art relating to gloss calendering if they are designed or can be adapted to operate at the temperature, pressure and speed conditions of the invention. Accordingly, little description of the apparatus will be given herein except to emphasize the importance of choosing a finishing drum which can be heated to the temperatures required by the invention and has a smooth metal surface and choosing a resilient backing roll which is yieldable but will have sufficient hardness at operating temperatures to provide a nip force between 35 and 700 KN/M (200 and 4000 pounds per lineal inch) of nip, which could require pressures as high as 6000 KN/M^2 (8,700 p.s.i.) at the extreme end of the range. The actual pressure to which the paper web is subjected in the nip will depend upon the force applied and the width of the nip. Resilient backing rolls flatten somewhat at the nip and will preferably have a nip width of from 1.27 to 2.54 cm (0.05 inch to 1.00 inch) for the present invention. Nip widths shorter than 1.27 cm and longer than 2.54 cm could be usable with the invention. Howver, widths shorter than about .635 cm will likely require undesirably slow machine speeds and nip widths wider than 2.54 cm will likely require backing rolls of undesirably large diameter and/or softness. It is preferable for the backing roll surface to have a P. & J. hardness of about 4 or harder at operating temperatures to develop the desired nip width and pressure. To maintain this hardness may require internal cooling of the roll, since the typical resilient roll materials become soft very quickly at elevated temperatures. An example of a roll which can perform satisfactorily in the invention is disclosed in U.S. Patent No. 3,617,445.

The following examples illustrate the invention.

Example 1

An uncoated and uncalendered bodystock of a mixture of Northern hardwood and softwood fibers

produced in a Kraft pulping process was unwound from a roll and passed through an apparatus similar to that illustrated in Fig. 1. The web had been mineral filled and sized to have 10% ash content by weight, and the web weighed 93.3 g/m^2 (63 pounds per ream of 3300 ft^2). The finishing apparatus was operated with only one nip at a force of 175 KN/M (1000 pounds per lineal inch) and a nip width of .47 cm (.185 in). The temperature of the web was about 26.7°C (80°F) just before entering the nip. The moisture content of the web was measured to be 4.8% of the bone dry weight of the fibers.

The web was passed through the finishing apparatus of 1.02 m/s (200 feet/min), resulting in a dwell time in the nip of 4.5 milliseconds. The temperature of the drum was adjusted throughout the test from a surface temperature of 82.2°C (180°F) to 171.1°C (340°F), and samples of the finished product were taken at various intervals. The samples were tested for 75° Hunter gloss values and Parker Print-Surf values, which were plotted against drum surface temperature in Fig. 2.

Example 2

A bodystock like that of Example 1 was coated on one side with a conventional pigment binder coating having a weight of 14.8 g/m^2 (10 pounds per ream of 3300 ft^2), dried and passed through the same apparatus and same procedure as Example 1, except the finishing drum surface temperature was adjusted from 25.6°C (78°F) to 190.6°C (375°F). The coater was in line with the finishing apparatus. The moisture content of the coated web was about 3.9% of the bone dry weight of fibers. The temperature of the web was about 48.9°C (120°F) just before entering the nip. Samples were taken for different temperature intervals and tested for 75° Hunter gloss values and Parker Print-Surf values, which were plotted against drum surface temperature in Fig. 3.

Because the data was a little scattered due to the small number of readings taken on each sample involved, a ratio between gloss and Parker Print-Surf was determined (which was constant) and an on-machine produced gloss curve (which measured a large number of samples) was used to produce the gloss curve and to determine the proper curve within the Parker Print-Surf points.

Example 3

A bodystock like that of Examples 1 and 2 was coated on both sides with coatings of the same type and amount as in Example 2 and passed through a finishing apparatus in line with the coater and similar to that employed for Examples 1 and 2, but with two finishing drums. Each of the drums had two resilient backing rolls forming a pair of nips. One side of the paper was finished against one drum and the other side against the other drum. The nip pressure for the first drum was varied during the test from 263 KN/M (1500 pounds per lineal inch) to 333 KN/M (1900 pounds per lineal inch). The nip pressure on the second drum was held at 333 KN/M (1900 pounds per lineal inch) and its drum surface temperature at 162.8°C (325°F) throughout the test. One of the resilient backing rolls on the first drum was removed during part of the test. The moisture content of the web was about 4.7% just prior to the first drum and about 0.5% less at the second drum. (The decrease was due to evaporation of moisture from the heated web surface between drums.) The web was passed through the nips at 8.89 m/s (1750 feet per minute). The nip widths were about 2.21 cm (.87 in), resulting in a nip dwell time of about 1.5 milliseconds. The temperature of the web was about 71.1°C (160°F) just before entering the first nip. Samples of the product produced were taken at the following conditions for the first side and first finishing drum.

Sample No.	No. of Nips	Pressure	Temperature
1	2	333	121°C
2	2	333	147.8°C
3	2	263	147.8°C
4	1	263	147.8°C
5	1	263	135°C
6	1	263	121°C
7	2	263	121°C

The samples were tested on the first side for 75° Hunter gloss and Parker Print-Surf values, which were plotted against temperature in Fig. 4. The gloss values for the second side on the same samples were very constant (71.7, 72.5, 71.9, 71.5, 71.6, 71.7, 71.8), as were the values for Parker Print-Surf (.95, .95, .97, .995, .96, .95, .88). This shows the ability to control the surface properties of one side independently from those of the other, in contrast to supercalendering. This is believed to be possible because temperature and not pressure is the predominant factor, and the high surface temperature of the drum does not transfer through the web to the other surface of the web.

Example 4

An uncoated and uncalendered bodystock of a mixture of Southern hardwood and softwood fibers produced in a kraft pulping process was prepared for this example. The web was mineral filled and sized to have an ash content of about 10% by weight. The web weighed about 79.9 g/m^2 (54 pounds per ream of 3300 ft.^{2}). The web was coated on one side with a conventional pigment binder coating having a weight of 12 g/m^2 (8.1 pounds per ream of 3300 ft.^{2}), dried and passed through an apparatus similar to that illustrated in Figure 1. The apparatus was operated with both finishing nips. Operating runs were made at four different nip loads: 78.8 KN/M (450 pounds per linear inch), 122.5 KN/M (700 pli), 157.5 KN/M (900 pli) and 262.5 KN/M (1500 pli). These loads produced nip widths of .816 cm (.321 in), .912 cm (.359 in), 99 cm (.391 in) and 1.27 cm (.50 in) respectively, and average nip pressures of 9,500 KN/M^2 (1400 psi), 13,500 KN/M^2 (1950 psi), 16,000 KN/M^2 (2300 psi), and 20,500 KN/M^2 (3000 psi), respectively.

The coater was in line with the finishing apparatus. After coating, the web was dried and it entered the finishing apparatus at a moisture content of about 4.0% of the bone dry weight of the fibers and with a web temperature of about 60°C (140°F) just before entering the first nip. The web was passed through the finishing apparatus at a speed of 2.73 m/s (500 feet/min.), resulting in nip dwell times of 3.21, 3.59, 3.91, and 5.0 milliseconds for the aforementioned pressures. At each of these pressures, the temperature of the drum surface was allowed to drop from a starting surface temperature of 177°C (350°F) to a temperature of 110°C (230°F) at the finish while taking on-machine measurements for 75° Hunter gloss values. The 75° Hunter gloss values for each nip load were plotted against drum surface temperature in Fig. 9. It should be noted that off-machine measurements of actual samples indicated that gloss values were several points higher than the on-machine measurements, but the latter measurements were plotted because of the advantage of much greater number of samples.

Referring to Fig. 2, the curve is shown in two portions, the left covering temperature ranges up to about 110°C (230°F) and the right from about 104.4°C (220°F) up. On the left, one can see that gloss and Parker Print-Surf increase at a steady rate with increasing temperature up to about 104.4°C (220°F). This is believed to be the effects from molding and coalescing the surface of the web and is what one would expect from the prior art.

On the right side of Fig. 2 is illustrated the unexpected results of the invention. That is, at a specific temperature, about 110°C (230°F) in this case, there is a sudden rapid improvement in Parker Print-Surf for increasing temperatures. There is also a similar increase in gloss, and this is believed to be due to the interrelationship of flatness to gloss. This additional increment of gloss and flatness was unexpected, but once discovered is believed to be due to the portion of the web beneath the surface, or the subsurface strata, being heated to its glass transition temperature and suddenly softening and becoming moldable to allow the surface to be flattened to a greater degree than before. The advantages provided by the thermal moldability of the subsurface strata continue only up to about 148.8°C (300°F), after which there is no improvement in gloss or flatness for the next 16.7°C (30°F).

Fig. 3 displays a similar phenomenon to Fig. 2. On the left side one can see the Parker Print-Surf and gloss increase at a steady rate with increasing temperature up to about 93.3°C (200°F), after which there appears to be no further increase with increasing temperature. This flattening of the curve is believed to be due to the behaviour of coating being thermally molded and is believed to be what one would expect from the prior art. This may also explain why gloss calendering, which is more temperature controlled than supercalendering, was thought to have limited ability to improve Parker Print-Surf values. On the right of Fig. 3 is illustrated the results of the invention. At about 126.7°C (260°F) there is a rapid improvement in gloss and flatness for the next 36.8°C (65°F). This result is totally unexpected.

A study was undertaken to attempt to better explain the results of the invention and to determine if the temperature at which this phenomenon occurs can be predicted for various conditions. The study starts with the belief that a substrata of the fibers in a fibrous web can be heated to the Tg of the fibers to flatten the surface of the web. The invention proves that this can be done at commercially feasible speeds and at a moisture content which is more desirable than those previously necessary. To determine this temperature a number of factors are involved. First, the Tg must be adjusted for the dynamic conditions involved in high speed finishing (i.e., between 2.54 and 25.4 M/S or 500 and 5000 feet per minute). This means in effect that the flexibility or moldability of the fibers is not only dependent upon their temperature, but upon the rate at which they are compressed. They in effect have an apparent glass transition temperature which is based upon dynamic conditions and will be higher than the static Tg. (Unless otherwise stated, reference to "Tg" hereafter will refer to the apparent glass transition temperature at dynamic conditions.) In addition, the dynamic heat transfer conditions must be met to raise the temperature of the critical substrata of the web to its Tg while in the nip.

Moisture plays a major role in determining the Tg of the fibers, and the present invention surprisingly is capable of producing supercalender quality at much lower moisture levels than those employed in supercalendering. The same phenomenon which facilitates flattening of the critical substrata in the present invention causes the entire thickness of the web in supercalendering to be molded at a temperature above its Tg. The reason is that the high moisture content of paper employed in supercalendering, can result in a Tg low enough to be reached throughout the web by the temperature conditions of supercalendering, even when unheated.

Some moisture will be lost between nips in a multinip apparatus, due to evaporation of the moisture

while travelling between nips. At the low moisture levels of this invention, that amount is about 0.25% to 0.5% per nip (e.g. from 5% to 4.75% or 4.50%). However, that amount will cause a need for a significant increase in temperature in subsequent nips. Preferably, the first drum temperature in a two drum apparatus will be set for the moisture content at the second nip. If there are two drums, the second drum temperature will preferably be higher than the first to accommodate the lower moisture content of the web resulting from heating at the first drum. Since satisfaction of any needed drum surface temperature for any one nip will provide some of the advantages of the invention, this invention includes a process wherein one or more of the nip conditions do not satisfy the temperature requirements.

Fig. 5 illustrates Tg values for cellulose fibers at various moisture levels. The curve was derived from the experimental work of N. L. Salmen & E. L. Beck (The Influence of Water on the Glass Transition Temperature of Cellulose, TAPPI Journal, Dec. 1977, Vol. 60, No. 12) and (Glass Transitions of Wood Components Hold Implications for Molding and Pumping Processes, TAPPI Journal, July 1982, Vol. 65, No. 7, pp. 107—110). The curve was adjusted for the dynamic conditions in a finishing nip. That is, the Tg values have been increased over those derived by Salmen & Beck by about 12°C., since the yieldability of any polymer-like material will become less for any given temperature if the force is applied over a shorter time span. The result is that the Tg of the material appears to be higher at dynamic conditions than for static conditions. To make this adjustment, the Williams-Landel-Ferry equation was employed. The very large increase in Tg for small reductions in moisture content in the range of the invention, 3% to 7%, should be noted.

When practicing the preferred forms of this invention, the web dwells in the nip very briefly, due to short nip widths and fast operating speeds. For example consider nips widths of .635 to 2.54 cm ($\frac{1}{4}$" to 1") and machine speeds of 2.54 to 25.4 M/S (500 to 5000 feet per minute). The web dwell time in the nip will be from 0.3 to 12 milliseconds. At these short dwell times, the heat from the drum does not penetrate very far into the web.

Fig. 6 illustrates the temperature gradient into a web at 1.5 milliseconds of dwell time (corresponding to a nip width of 1.32 cm and a machine speed of 8.9 M/S). For this illustration, the drum surface temperature is 138°C, the web temperature prior to entering the nip is 71°C, and the backing roll surface temperature is 71°C. The gradient in the web was determined by the formula:

$$\frac{T(x,t) - To}{Ti - To} = \text{erf}\ \frac{X}{2\sqrt{at}}$$

where:

T(x,t) = temperature in °C at distance X into the web and at time t;
To = surface temperature in °C of the drum;
Ti = initial temperature in °C of the web entering the nip;
X = distance in feet into the web;
a = .005 ft^2/hr;
t = time in the nip in hrs.

Fig. 7 illustrates the temperature gradient into the thickness of the web for various nip dwell times. In this illustration the drum surface temperature is 137.8°C (280°F) and the paper temperature just prior to reaching the nip is 71°C. The approximate location of the critical substrata is believed to be about .0076 mm (0.3 mils) deep and is illustrated by the cross-hatched portion. It can be seen that the temperature of the critical substrata will depend upon dwell time and surface temperature. Whether or not the critical substrata temperature is as high as its Tg will depend in part upon its moisture content. Thus, for the conditions illustrated in Fig. 7, the critical temperature will be reached for moisture contents from 5% to 7.5%, depending upon the dwell time chosen.

It should be noted here that the exact location of the critical substrata is not known. The above noted location of .0076 mm (0.3 mils) into the web is an estimate based upon typical roughness of paper, it being necessary to heat fibers down into the valleys of the web. However, it is not critical that this assumption be correct, as will be explained later.

Fig. 8, further illustrates the effects of dwell time, moisture content and surface temperature of the drum in raising the critical substrata to its Tg. The curves illustrated in Fig. 8 assume the same .0076 mm (0.3 mils) of depth for the critical substrata as in Fig. 7 and a web temperature of 71°C just prior to entering the nip. This temperature is not uncommon where finishing takes place immediately after coating and drying. It is expected that the webs may be at other temperatures from ambient to about 93.3°C (200°F), in which case the curves would vary somewhat.

The drum surface temperature needed for a web entering the nip can be determined by the formula:

$$Ts = [Ti \times .357t^{-.479} - Tg]/[.357t^{-.479} - 1]$$

where:

Ts = surface temperature of the heated drum, in °C;
Ti = the initial temperature of the paper entering the nip, in °C;
t = dwell time of the web in the nip, in milliseconds;

Tg = the dynamic glass transition temperature of the web at the moisture conditions existing in the nip, in °C.

The Tg can be determined from the curve in Fig. 5. A formula which very closely approximates that curve is the following:

$$Tg = 234.2 \times e^{-.131m}$$

where:

Tg = glass transition temperature under the dynamic and moisture conditions existing in the nip in °C;
e = the base of the natural logarithm;
m = moisture content of the fibers in web in % of the bone dry weight of the fibers.

The following is a guide for determining the drum surface temperature Ts, in °C required for the present invention for various moisture contents, initial web temperatures and dwell times.

Ti = 26.7°C (80°F)

Dwell	Moisture Content				
Time(ms)	7%	6%	5%	4%	3%
.5	160	187.2	217.2	251	288.9
1.0	132.2	153.3	177.5	204.2	233.9
2.5	114.3	132.2	152.1	174.2	198.9
5	107.1	123.6	141.8	162.2	184.9
10	102.7	118.1	135.4	154.7	176.2
15	100.8	116	132.8	151.6	172.6

Ti = 48.9°C (120°F)

Dwell	Moisture Content				
Time(ms)	7%	6%	5%	4%	3%
.5	137.9	165.1	195.6	229.1	266.7
1.0	119.3	140.8	164.8	191.7	221.1
2.5	107.4	125.3	145.2	167.4	192.1
5	102.7	119.1	137.4	157.7	180.4
10	99.7	115.2	132.4	151.7	173.2
15	98.4	113.6	130.4	149.2	170.2

Ti = 71.1°C (160°F)

Dwell	Moisture Content				
Time(ms)	7%	6%	5%	4%	3%
.5	115.5	142.8	172.8	206.1	243.3
1.0	106.7	127.8	152.2	178.3	208.3
2.5	100.6	118.3	138.2	160.3	185
5	98.2	114.6	132.8	153.3	176.1
10	96.7	112.1	129.4	148.9	170
15	96.1	111.1	128.1	146.7	167.8

Ti = 93.3°C (200°F)

Dwell Time(ms)	Moisture Content 7%	6%	5%	4%	3%
.5	93.9	121.1	151.4	185.1	222.8
1.0	93.8	115.3	139.2	165.9	195.6
2.5	93.7	111.6	131.5	153.7	178.3
5	93.7	110.1	128.4	148.8	171.4
10	93.65	109.2	126.4	145.7	167.1
15	93.64	108.8	125.7	144.4	165.3

Based upon the above formula developed, a needed drum surface temperature (Ts) can be determined for each of the Examples. For Example 1, where moisture content was 4.8%, nip dwell time was 4.5 milliseconds, and the initial web temperature was about 26.7°C, the Ts value is about 147.8°C (298°F). Looking at Fig. 2, this value, illustrated by the line identified as Ts, can be seen to be at the top of the temperature range where the unexpected rise in gloss and flatness occur. The advantages of the invention actually begin about 40°C (70°F) lower.

For Example 2, where moisture content was about 3.9%, nip dwell time was 4.5 milliseconds, and initial web temperature was about 48.9°C (120°F), the Ts value is about 161.7°C (323°F). Looking at Fig. 3, this value, illustrated by the line identified as Ts. can be seen to be at the top of the temperature range where the unexpected rise in gloss and flatness occur also. The advantages of the invention actually begin about 40°C (70°F) lower. This is considered good correlation with the results for Fig. 2.

Example 3 produced too little data to produce the full curves of the other examples, but the temperature settings in that test were chosen in accordance with the above formula with the intent to show the inflection of gloss and flatness near the unexpected rise. Moisture content of 4.7%, nip dwell times of 1.5 milliseconds, and initial web temperature of 71.1°C (160°F) result in a calculated Ts value of about 153.9°C (309°F). Fig. 4 shows by the line identified as Ts where this point is located on the gloss and flatness curves. This part of the curve appears to correspond to the end of the unexpected rise, this being consistent with the results from Examples 1 and 2 and the formula.

There are components involved in the formula which can only be estimated. The location of the critical substrata is one already identified. Another is the exact value of the nip dwell time. The formula assumes that heating of the web occurs through the entire nip, but the greatest molding pressure only occurs in the center of the nip. Thus, the temperature reached upon exiting the nip is not as meaningful as that reached at some point between the center and the end. Determining what portion of the nip that should be used in the formula is difficult and not necessary. Also, the meaning of reaching the Tg of the fibers needs further explanation. The softening of polymeric materials is a second order transition and occurs over a range of temperature rather than sharply as in a first order transition, such as in the melting of ice. The breadth of the range is also a function of the molecular weight distribution with a wider distribution giving a wider range. The same softening may occur prior to reaching the temperature where the maximum effects are noted. None of these components need to be known precisely to develop a useful formula, because the formula need only be compared to the test results in the examples and a correction made to determine the starting and ending point of the unexpected rise in gloss and flatness. It is not known nor important to know which component or components have been estimated incorrectly, if any. The empirically determined adjustment corrects them and provides a formula suitable for determining the invention for all conditions contemplated by the invention. The good correlation between the examples is evidence of this.

Fig. 4 also includes in dotted lines the results of samples 3 and 7 of Example 3. They are located, as expected, slightly higher due to increased pressure effect of 2 nips, but in a nonimproving relationship to each other with increase in temperature. This is believed to be for the reason stated earlier, that two nips in rapid succession are equivalent to higher drum temperature. Thus, if the solid curves were extended into higher temperatures in the manner predicted by Fig. 2, they would be flat. The single point represents the higher pressure of sample 2.

Fig. 9 illustrates the unexpected large benefits of the invention when the process is carried out at nip pressures above 13,780 KN/M^2 (2000 psi). The calculated Ts is shown for each nip pressure at approximately 142°C—147°C, with each being different because of the different dwell times (due to larger nip widths with increasing pressure). Each curve starts approximately 20°C below its Ts, and shows the much more rapid gloss improvement which occurs at nip pressures above 13,780 KN/M^2 (2000 psi) with increasing temperature over the critical temperature range (Ts-20 to Ts). Specifically, gloss only improves about 2 points when the nip pressure is under 13,780 KN/M^2 (2000 psi), while it improves about 5 points

when the nip pressure is over 13,780 KN/M^2 (2000 psi). Moreover, the improvement at the higher pressures is at the higher gloss range where a point of improvement is harder to obtain.

Sufficient data was collected from Example 4 to produce full curves similar to that illustrated in Fig. 2. Only that portion near the temperature region of Ts-20 to Ts is shown in Fig. 9 to highlight the more greater gloss gain in that critical temperature region. On either side of the region the curves have slopes for all pressure ranges similar to Fig. 2.

Although the temperature benefits of the invention begin at a temperature about 40°C below the calculated Ts, the drum surface should be heated to no less than 20°C below the Ts to provide the pressure benefits of the invention. It is even more preferable that the drum be heated to no less than the calculated Ts to obtain all the temperature benefits of the invention. There is no well defined critical upper limit, but for economy and other obvious reasons it is preferable that the Ts not be exceeded by more than about 25°C, particularly for coated paper.

It is also desirable to limit the depth of the web heated to its Tg to only the critical substrata. The reason is that all portions pressed which are hotter than the Tg will be excessively densified, in the manner of supercalendering, with the accompanying undesirable loss in thickness and opacity. To obtain supercalender quality on the surface, only the critical substrata need be so densified and any additional flatness obtained by heating further into the web will be costly. The greater drum temperature, slower process speed, and/or greatr sheet moisture needed to accomplish this reduce process efficiency, may require more expensive equipment and greater energy costs and can have the disadvantages of supercalendering.

Referring again to Fig. 2, another rapid rise in gloss and smoothness on uncoated paper begins to occur at drum surface temperatures beyond about 160°C (320°F), about 17°C (30°F) above Ts. This discovery is believed to be an invention in itself. It is believed to be thermal molding of another, deeper substrata, perhaps providing a discrete benefit from the first because of the discrete properties of the fibers in the web. The data generated in Example 4 showed that the same effect can be found in coated paper. Although operating in the range of this additional benefit has the disadvantages mentioned above, it may be valuable to do so when exceptionally high smoothness is desired.

A further surprising and unexpected benefit was obtained from the invention. If one were to theorize the ideal finishing operation to produce glossy paper with the very smooth flat surfaces of supercalender quality, it would be necessary to closely evaluate the control parameters of pressure, temperature, moisture content, and dwell time in the nip. The one most controllable is pressure, because it can be changed precisely and instantaneously. The least controllable is moisture content, since it can be changed only slowly and is often difficult to maintain uniformly. Thus, the ideal process would be one in which large property changes result from small pressure changes and small property changes result from large moisture changes.

The present invention provides control parameters which provide the ideal controls described above and also supercalender quality. These advantages cannot be obtained with supercalendering because its range for control parameters cause pressure to be the least effective control and moisture the most.

The temperature effects of the invention are believed applicable for almost any pressure applied in the nip. That is, it is expected that the effects of increasing pressure will follow their known curve, except of course, the results will be significantly better. However, to obtain the greatest value from the invention, the pressures will preferably be over 13,780 KN/M^2 (2000 pounds per square inch). It is at these pressures that supercalender and better quality can be obtained, and it is at these pressures where the temperature effects of the invention are greatly increased.

It should be noted that nip pressure determination can be complex. Accurate nip loads (unit force per unit roll length) are easy to determine by merely dividing the easily measured force applied to the total resilient press roll by the easily measured nip length. However, the nip width is more difficult to measure. A widely accepted formula which is believed to provide a satisfactory approximation of nip width for many common installations is the Hertzian equation set forth by Narayan V. Deshpande, in Calculation of Nip Width, Penetration and Pressure for Contact Between Cylinders With Elastomeric Covering, TAPPI October 1978, Vol. 61, No. 10, pp. 115—118.

The formula is:

$$C_H = [4FR\,(1-\delta^2)/(\pi E)]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

where:

CH = one-half the nip width;

F = force per unit length of the nip

R = the equivalent radius determined by the radii of the heated drum (R_1) and the resilient roll (R_2) [$R = R_1/R_2/(R_1+R_2)$]

δ = the Poisson ratio for the resilient roll cover (0.5 for the type of covers used in this invention); and

E = Young modulus for the resilient cover of the roll.

The Young modulus will depend upon the hardness of the resilient roll cover. For example, roll covers having a P. & J. hardness of 4—5 at operating temperature will have a modulus of about 517,000 KN/M^2 (75,000 psi). The modulus changes significantly with temperature changes in the roll cover.

The following is a guide for determining average nip pressures in KN/M^2 × 1000 for various common

nip loads and roll diameters (expressed in terms of equivalent radius, R, in CM (inch)) and where the modulus of the roll cover is one of the following:

Modulus of Roll Cover

Designation	KN/M² × 1000	PSI × 1000
A	3,447	500
B	1,379	200
C	689	100
D	517	75
E	345	50
F	172	25

Nip Load

	43.75 KN/M (250 PLI)					87.5 KN/M (500 PLI)				
E	R					R				
	10(4)	15(6)	20(8)	25(10)	30(12)	10(4)	15(6)	20(8)	25(10)	30(12)
A	20	16	14	12	11	28	23	20	18	16
B	12	10	9	8	7	18	14	12	11	10
C	9	7	6	6	5	12	10	9	8	7
D	8	6	5	5	4	11	9	8	7	6
E	6	5	4	4	4	9	7	6	6	5
F	4	4	3	3	3	6	5	4	4	4

Nip Load

	131.25 KN/M (750 PLI)					175 KN/M (1000 PLI)				
E	R					R				
	10(4)	15(6)	20(8)	25(10)	30(12)	10(4)	15(6)	20(8)	25(10)	30(12)
A	33	27	23	21	19	39	32	28	25	23
B	21	17	15	13	12	25	20	18	16	14
C	15	12	10	9	9	18	14	12	11	10
D	13	10	9	8	7	15	12	11	10	9
E	10	9	7	7	6	12	10	9	8	7
F	7	6	5	5	4	9	7	6	6	5

	Nip Load									
	262.5 KN/M (1500 PLI)					350 KN/M (2000 PLI)				
E	R					R				
	10(4)	15(6)	20(8)	25(10)	30(12)	10(4)	15(6)	20(8)	25(10)	30(12)
A	47	38	33	30	27	56	46	39	35	32
B	30	34	21	19	17	35	29	25	22	20
C	21	17	15	13	12	25	20	18	16	14
D	18	15	13	11	10	22	18	15	14	12
E	15	12	10	9	9	18	14	12	11	10
F	10	9	7	7	6	12	10	9	8	7

Although the most valuable use for the invention is to produce supercalender quality coated paper, the principles of the invention are believed to be applicable to any type of web papermaking fibers, whether coated to uncoated, groundwood or woodfree. The invention is valuable for woodfree papers (which will be defined herein as having at least 80% of its papermaking fibers provided by chemical pulp), and groundwood papers (which will be defined herein as having at least 50% of its papermaking fiber provided by groundwood pulp) and those in between, which will comprise from 50% to 80% chemical pulp fibers and from 20% to 50% groundwood fibers. Any of these may be coated. Coatings for woodfree sheets preferably will be in an amount of at least 7.5 g/m^2 and those for the other sheets preferably will be in an amount of at least 4.5 g/m^2. The invention is believed to be applicable to all conventional basis weights, including the heavy weight board products. The invention is capable of producing, at least with the coated woodfree sheets, gloss higher than 50 and even 70, and Parker Print-Surfs better than 1.4 and even better than 1.0.

Although the invention is believed to provide similar advantages to all papermaking fibers, groundwood is believed to provide an additional result because of the large amount of lignin in the web. N. L. Salmen has described lignin as having a static Tg at 115°C (239°F) or dynamic Tg of 127°C (260°F) for moisture content of 2.5% and above. (See previously cited Salmen and Beck references and also Thermal Softening of the Components of Paper and its Effects on Mechanical Properties, N. L. Salmen, C.P.P.A. 65th Annual Meeting, Feb., 1979, pp. B11—B17.) This value is equivalent to the Tg for Cellulose at a moisture content of 4.7%. A typical groundwood web would have about 30% lignin, causing a similar but perhaps smaller rise in gloss and smoothness when its Tg was reached as with cellulose. A second and probably larger rise would occur when the Tg of the cellulose was reached, which could be at a higher or lower temperature than the Tg of the lignin, depending upon moisture content. Therefore, the invention is also subjecting a groundwood web (at least 50% groundwood) to a drum surface temperature which is at least as high as that calculated by the formula using a moisture content of 4.7%.

Claims

1. Process for producing gloss and smoothness on the surface of a paper web, comprising the steps of:

A. providing a finishing apparatus comprising a smooth metal finishing drum and a resilient backing roll pressed against the drum at a force up to 700 KN/M (4000 pounds per lineal inch) to form a nip with pressure against the paper of at least 13,780 KN/M^2 (2000 pounds per square inch);

B. advancing a web of papermaking fibers having a moisture content of from 3% to 7% of the bone dry weight of the fibers through the nip at a speed which results in the web dwelling in the nip from 0.3 milliseconds to 12 milliseconds; and

C. simultaneously with step B, heating the drum to a surface temperature having a value no less than 20°C below the Ts value determined by the following formula:

$$Ts = [Ti \times .357t^{-.479} - 234.2e^{-.131m}]/[.357t^{-.479} - 1]$$

where:

Ts = surface temperature of the heated drum in °C;

Ti = the initial temperature of the web just prior to entering the nip, in °C;

t = dwell time of the web in the nip, in milliseconds;

e = the base of the natural logarithm; and

m = moisture content of the fibers in the web in weight percent of the bone dry fiber weight.

2. Process according to claim 1, wherein the moisture content of the web in Step B is below 6% of the bone dry fiber weight.

3. Process according to claim 1, wherein the moisture content of the web in Step B is below 5% of the bone dry fiber weight.

4. Process according to claim 1, wherein the moisture content of the web is substantially uniform throughout the web.

5. Process according to claim 1, wherein the finishing apparatus comprises a second nip formed by a smooth metal finishing drum and a resilient backing roll and through which the web advances within 4 seconds before or after passing through the first nip and with the same side of the web against the drum through both nips.

6. Process according to claim 1, wherein the finishing apparatus comprises an additional nip formed by a second smooth metal finishing drum and a resilient backing roll and through which the web advances with the side of the web against the drum which is opposite from the side against the first drum in the first nip and the temperature of the surface of the drum in the additional nip being determined in the manner in which it is determined for the first nip, making adjustments for a decrease in moisture content between the first and second drum.

7. Process according to claim 1, wherein the web is coated in a continuous in line operation with the finishing steps.

8. Process according to claim 1, wherein the web is formed on a papermaking machine in a continuous operation with the finishing steps.

9. Process according to claim 7, wherein the web is formed on a papermaking machine in a continuous operation with the coating and finishing steps.

10. Process according to claim 1, wherein at least 80% of the papermaking fibers are provided by chemical pulp.

11. Process according to claim 1, wherein at least 50% of the papermaking fibers are provided by groundwood pulp.

12. Process according to claim 1, wherein between 50% and 80% of the papermaking fibers are provided by chemical pulp and between 20% and 50% of the papermaking fibers are provided by groundwood pulp.

13. Process according to claim 11, wherein prior to Step B the web is coated on at least one side with a coating composition comprising paper coating pigments and binder in an amount of at least 4.5 g/m^2 (3 pounds per ream of 3300 square feet), and the at least one side with a coated composition is against the drum when passing through the nip.

14. Process according to claim 10, wherein prior to Step B the web is coated on at least one side with a coating composition comprising paper coating pigments and binder in an amount of at least 7.5 g/m^2 (5 pounds per ream of 3300 square feet), and the at least one side with a coated composition is against the drum when passing through the nip.

15. Process according to claim 6, wherein the steps applied to one side of the web do not significantly affect the gloss and smoothness characteristics imparted to the other side by the steps imparted to the other side.

16. Process according to claim 14, wherein the web produced has a 75° gloss of at least 50 and a Parker Print-Surf value no higher than 1.4 on the at least one side with a coating composition.

17. Process according to claim 16, wherein the web produced has a 75° gloss of at least 70 and a Parker Print-Surf value no higher than 1.0 on the at least one side with a coating composition.

18. Process according to claim 1, wherein the drum surface is heated to a temperature no less than the value determined by the formula set forth in claim 1.

19. Process according to claim 1, wherein the drum surface is heated to a temperature no greater than 25°C higher than the Ts value determined by the formula set forth in claim 1.

20. Process according to claim 1, wherein the drum surface is heated to a temperature no less than 17°C higher than the Ts value determined by the formula set forth in claim 1.

21. Process according to claim 11, wherein the drum surface is heated to a temperature no less than that calculated by the formula set forth in claim 1 using a moisture content of 4.7%.

22. Process according to claim 1, wherein in step B the web does not contact the drum except in the nip or nips.

23. Process according to claim 1, wherein in step B the web does not contact the drum over more than 20% of the drum circumference.

Patentansprüche

1. Verfahren zur Erzeugung von Glanz und Glätte auf der Oberfläche einer Papierbahn, das folgende Schritte umfaßt:

A. Schaffung einer Veredelungsvorrichtung, die eine glatte Veredelungstrommel aus Metall und eine elastische Gegenwalze umfaßt, die mit einem Anpreßdruck von bis zu 700 KN/M (4000 Pfund je linearem Zoll) gegen die Trommel gepreßt wird, um einem Walzenspalt mit einem Druck gegen das Papier von zumindest 13.780 KN/M^2 (2000 Pfund je Quadratzoll) zu bilden.

B. Transport einer Bahn von Papierfasern mit einem Feuchtigkeitsgehalt von 3% bis 7% des völlig trockenen Fasergewichts durch den Walzenspalt bei einer Geschwindigkeit, die die Papierbahn in dem Walzenspalt 0,3 Millisekunden bis 12 Millisekunden verweilen läßt; und

C. Gleichzeitig mit Schritt B, Beheizen der Trommel auf eine Oberflächentemperatur von einem Wert, der nicht weniger als 20°C unter dem Ts-Wert liegt, der durch folgende Formel berechnet wird:

$$Ts = (Ti \times ,357t^{-.479} - 234,2e^{-.131m})/(,357t^{-.479} - 1)$$

wobei:

Ts = Oberflächentemperatur der beheizten Trommel in °C

Ti = die Anfangstemperatur in °C der Papierbahn unmittelbar vor Eintritt in den Walzenspalt

t = Verweildauer, in Millisekunden, der Papierbahn im Walzenspalt

e = die Basis des natürlichen Logarithmus

m = Feuchtigkeitsgehalt der Fasern in der Papierbahn in Gewichtsprozent des völlig trockenen Fasergewichts.

2. Verfahren nach Anspruch 1, wobei der Feuchtigkeitsgehalt der Papierbahn in Schritt B weniger als 6% des völlig trockenen Fasergewichts beträgt.

3. Verfahren nach Anspruch 1, wobei der Feuchtigkeitsgehalt der Papierbahn in Schritt B weniger als 5% des völlig trockenen Fasergewichts beträgt.

4. Verfahren nach Anspruch 1, wobei der Feuchtigkeitsgehalt der Papierbahn im wesentlichen in der gesamten Papierbahn gleichmäßig ist.

5. Verfahren nach Anspruch 1, wobei der Veredelungsvorrichtung eine zweiten Walzenspalt umfaßt, der durch eine glatte Veredelungstrommel aus Metall und eine elastische Gegenwalze gebildet wird und durch den die Papierbahn innerhalb von 4 Sekunden läuft, bevor oder nachdem sie den ersten Walzenspalt durchlaufen hat, und wobei in beiden Walzenspalten dieselbe Seite der Papierbahn gegen die Trommel zeigt.

6. Verfahren nach Anspruch 1, wobei der Veredelungsvorrichtung einen zusätzlichen Walzenspalt umfaßt, der durch eine zweite glatte Veredelungstrommel aus Metall une eine elastische Gegenwalze gebildet wird und durch den die Papierbahn mit der Seite der Papierbahn gegen die Trommel läuft, die jener Seite gegenüberliegt, die im ersten Walzenspalt gegen die Trommel zeigt, und wobei die Temperatur der Trommeloberfläche im zusätzlichen Walzenspalt auf dieselbe Art und Weise berechnet wird, wie für den ersten Walzenspalt, und wobei Einstellungen zur Verringerung des Feuchtigkeitsgehalts zwischen der ersten und zweiten Trommel vorgenommen werden.

7. Verfahren nach Anspruch 1, wobei die Beschichtung der Papierbahn in einem fortlaufenden Arbeitsgang mit den Veredelungsschritten erfolgt.

8. Verfahren nach Anspruch 1, wobei die Bildung der Papierbahn auf einer Papiermaschine in einem fortlaufenden Arbeitsgang mit den Veredelungsschritten erfolgt.

9. Verfahren nach Anspruch 7, wobei die Bildung der Papierbahn auf einer Papiermaschine in einem fortlaufenden Arbeitsgang mit den Beschichtungs- und Veredelungsschritten erfolgt.

10. Verfahren nach Anspruch 1, wobei zumindest 80% der Papierfasern aus chemischem Holzstoff bestehen.

11. Verfahren nach Anspruch 1, wobei zumindest 50% der Papierfasern aus Holzschliff bestehen.

12. Verfahren nach Anspruch 1, wobei 50% bis 80% der Papierfasern aus chemischem Holzstoff und 20% bis 50% der Papierfasern aus Holzschliff bestehen.

13. Verfahren nach Anspruch 11, wobei vor Schritt B die Papierbahn zumindest auf einer Seite mit einer Beschichtungszusammensetzung beschichtet wird, die Papierbeschichtungspigmente und Bindemittel in einer Menge von zumindest 4,5 g/m^2 (3 Pfund je Ries von 3300 Quadratfuß) enthält, und wobei zumindest eine Seite mit einer beschichteten Zusammensetzung gegen die Trommel zeigt, wenn die Papierbahn durch den Walzenspalt läuft.

14. Verfahren nach Anspruch 10, wobei vor Schritt B die Papierbahn zumindest auf einer Seite mit einer Beschichtungszusammensetzung beschichtet wird, die Papierbeschichtungspigmente und Bindemittel in einer Menge von zumindest 7,5 g/m^2 (5 Pfund je Ries von 3300 Quadratfuß) enthält, und wobei zumindest eine Seite mit einer beschichteten Zusammensetzung gegen die Trommel zeigt, wenn die Papierbahn durch den Walzenspalt läuft.

15. Verfahren nach Anspruch 6, wobei die Schritte, die an einer Seite der Papierbahn durchgeführt werden, die Glanz- und Glätteeigenschaften nicht beträchtlich beeinträchtigen, die der anderen Seite durch die Schritte verliehen wurden, die auf dieser anderen Seite durchgeführt wurden.

16. Verfahren nach Anspruch 14, wobei die hergestellte Papierbahn einen 75° Glanz von zumindest 50 und einen Parker Print-Surf Wert von nicht mehr als 1,4 auf zumindest einer Seite mit einer Beschichtungszusammensetzung aufweist.

17. Verfahren nach Anspruch 16, wobei die hergestellte Papierbahn einen 75° Glanz von zumindest 70 und einen Parker Print-Surf Wert von nicht mehr als 1,0 auf zumindest einer Seite mit einer Beschichtungszusammensetzung aufweist.

18. Verfahren nach Anspruch 1, wobei die Trommeloberfläche auf eine Temperatur erwärmt wird, die nicht unter dem Wert liegt, der durch die Formel, die in Anspruch 1 angeführt ist, errechnet wird.

19. Verfahren nach Anspruch 1, wobei die Trommeloberfläche auf eine Temperatur erwärmt wird, die

nicht mehr als 25°C über dem Ts-Wert liegt, der durch die Formel, die in Anspruch 1 angeführt ist, errechnet wird.

20. Verfahren nach Anspruch 1, wobei die Trommeloberfläche auf eine Temperatur erwärmt wird, die nicht weniger als 17°C über dem Ts-Wert liegt, der durch die Formel, die in Anspruch 1 angeführt ist, errechnet wird.

21. Verfahren nach Anspruch 11, wobei die Trommeloberfläche auf eine Temperatur erwärmt wird, die nicht geringer ist als jene, die durch die Formel, die in Anspruch 1 angeführt ist, errechnet wird, bei einem Feuchtigkeitsgehalt von 4,7%.

22. Verfahren nach Anspruch 1, wobei die Papierbahn in Schritt B die Trommel nicht berührt, außer im Walzenspalt oder in den Walzenspalten.

23. Verfahren nach Anspruch 1, wobei in Schritt B die Berührung der Papierbahn mit der Trommel nicht mehr als 20% des Trommelumfangs beträgt.

Revendications

1. Procédé pour produire un brillant et un lissé à la surface d'une bande continue de papier, incluant les étapes consistant à:

A. prévoir un appareil de finition comprenant un cylindre de finition en métal lisse et un cylindre d'appui élastique repoussé contre le tambour avec une force atteignant jusqu'à 700 kN/m (4000 livres par pouce linéaire) de manière à former un interstice dans lequel une pression égale à au moins 13 780 kN/m^2 (2000 livres par pouce carré) est appliquée au papier;

B. faire avancer une bande continue de fibres de fabrication du papier possédant une teneur en humidité comprise entre 3% et 7% du poids des fibres à l'état très sec, à travers l'interstice à une vitesse conduisant à un séjour d'une durée comprise entre 0,3 milliseconde et 12 millisecondes dans l'interstice; et

C. en même temps que la mise en oeuvre de l'étape B, chauffer le tambour jusqu'à une température superficielle possédant une valeur non inférieure à 20°C au-dessous de la valeurs Ts déterminée par la formule suivante:

$$Ts = [Ti \times 0{,}357t^{-0{,}479} - 234{,}2e^{-0{,}131m}]/[{,}357t^{-0{,}479} - 1]$$

Ts = température superficielle du tambour chauffé, en °C;
Ti = température initiale de la bande continue juste avant qu'elle ne pénètre dans l'interstice, en °C;
T = temps de séjour de la bande continue dans l'interstice, en millisecondes;
e = base des logarithmes naturels; et
m = teneur en humidité des fibres dans la bande continue en pour cent du poids des fibres très sèches.

2. Procédé selon la revendication 1, selon lequel la teneur en humidité de la bande continue lors de l'étape B est inférieure à 6% du poids des fibres très sèches.

3. Procédé selon la revendication 1, selon lequel la teneur en humidité de la bande continue lors de l'étape B est inférieure à 5% du poids des fibres très sèches.

4. Procédé selon la revendication 1, selon lequel la teneur en humidité de la bande continue est sensiblement uniforme dans l'ensemble de la bande.

5. Procédé selon la revendication 1, dans lequel l'appareil de finition comprend un second interstice formé entre un tambour de finition métallique lisse et un rouleau d'appui élastique, et dans lequel la bande continue avance, penant 4 secondes avant ou après avoir traversé le premier interstice, la même face de la bande continue étant tourné vers le tambour à l'intérieur des deux interstices.

6. Procédé selon la revendication 1, selon lequel l'appareil de finition comprend un interstice additionnel formé par un second tambour de finition métallique lisse et un rouleau d'appui élastique, et dans lequel la bande continue avance, sa face tournée vers le tambour étant opposée à sa face tournée vers le premier tambour dans le premier interstice, le température de la surface du tambour dans l'interstice additionnel étant déterminée de la manière dont elle est déterminée pour le premier interstice, avec exécution de réglages en vue de réduire la teneur en humidité entre les premier et second tambours.

7. Procédé selon la revendication 1, selon lequel la bande continue est enduite selon un fonctionnement continu en ligne avec les étapes de finition.

8. Procédé selon la revendication 1, dans lequel la bande continue est formée dans une machine de fabrication du papier selon une opération continue avec les étapes de finition.

9. Procédé selon la revendication 7, dans lequel la bande continue est formée dans une machine de fabrication du papier selon une opération continue avec les étapes de couchage et de finition.

10. Procédé selon la revendication 1, selon lequel au moins 80% des fibres de fabrication du papier sont formées par de la pulpe chimique.

11. Procédé selon la revendication 1, selon lequel au moins 50% des fibres de fabrication du papier sont formées par le pâte de bois mécanique.

13. Procédé selon la revendication 11, selon lequel avant l'étape B, on enduit la bande continue sur au moins une face avec une composition de couchage comprenant des pigments de couchage du papier et un liant en une quantité égale à au moins 4,5 g/m^2 (3 livres par rame de 3300 pieds carrés), et au moins une face recouverte de la composition déposée s'applique contre le tambour lorsqu'elle traverse l'interstice.

14. Procédé selon la revendication 10, selon lequel avant l'étape B, on recouvre la bande continue sur au moins une face avec une composition de couchage comprenant des pigments de couchage du papier et un liant en une quantité égale à au moins 7,5 g/m^2 (5 livres par rame de 3300 pieds carrés), et au moins une face recouverte de la composition déposée s'applique contre le tambour lorsqu'elle traverse l'interstice.

15. Procédé selon la revendication 6, selon lequel avant les étapes de traitement appliquées à une phase de la bande continue n'effectent pas de façon importante les caractéristiques de brillant et de lissé imparties à l'autre face au moyen des étapes appliquées à cette autre face.

16. Procédé selon la revendication 14, selon lequel la bande continue produite posséde un brillant à 75° égal à au moins 50 et une valeur Parker Print-Surf non supérieure à 1,4, sur au moins une face portant une composition de couchage.

17. Procédé selon la revendication 16, selon lequel la bande continue produite posséde un brillant à 75° égal à au moins 70 et une valeur Parker Print-Surf non supérieure à 1,0, sur au moins une face portant une composition de couchage.

18. Procédé selon la revendication 1, selon lequel on chauffe la surface du tambour à une température non inférieure à la valeur déterminée par la formule indiquée dans la revendication 1.

19. Procédé selon la revendication 1, selon lequel on chauffe la surface du tambour à une température non supérieure de plus de 25°C à la valeur Ts déterminée par la formule indiquée dans la revendication 1.

20. Procédé selon la revendication 1, selon lequel on chauffe la surface du tambour à une température non inférieure de plus de 17°C à la valeur Ts déterminée par la formule indiquée dans la revendication 1.

21. Procédé selon la revendication 11, selon lequel on chauffe la surface du tambour à une température non inférieure à celle calculée au moyen de la formule indiquée dans la revendication 1 en utilisant une teneur en humidité de 4,7%.

22. Procédé selon la revendication 1, selon lequel lors de l'étape B, la bande continue n'est pas en contact avec le tambour hormis à l'intérieur du ou des interstices.

23. Procédé selon la revendication 1, selon lequel, lors de l'étape B, la bande continue n'est pas en contact avec le tambour sur plus de 20% de la circonférence de ce dernier.



Fig. 1

Fig. 2


30
28
26
24
22
20
18
16
14
12
10
75° GLOSS
T_S
2.5
3.0
3.5
PPS
80
100
100
120
140
160
180
TEMPERATURE, °C

Fig. 3


75
70
65
60
55
75° GLOSS
Ts
.8
1.0
1.2
1.4
PPS
0
50
100
100
150
200
TEMPERATURE, °C


80
76
72
68
64
60
75° GLOSS
Ts
0.2
0.4
0.6
0.8
1.0
1.2
PPS
95
110
125
140
155
TEMPERATURE, °C

Fig. 4

Fig. 5


170
160
150
140
130
120
110
100
90
DYNAMIC T_S TEMPERATURE (°C)
2
4
6
8
MOISTURE (%)

Fig. 6


140
130
120
110
100
90
80
70
TEMPERATURE, °C
0
.025
.050
.075
.100
.125
DEPTH INTO WEB IN MM


137.8 °C SURFACE
127 °C
116 °C
104 °C
88 °C
0
.005
.010
.015
.020
.025
.030
DEPTH INTO WEB (MM)
.2
.4
.6
.8
1.0
2.0
2.8
DWELL TIME (MS)

Fig. 7


250
225
200
175
150
125
100
T_S °C
3%
4%
5%
6%
7%
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15
DWELL TIME (MS)

Fig. 8


68
67
66
65
64
63
62
61
60
59
58
57
56
55
75° HUNTER GLOSS
120
125
130
135
140
145
150
155
SURFACE TEMPERATURE (°C)
Ts
(3000 psi) 20,500 KN / M²
Ts
(2300psi) 16,000 KN / M²
(1950psi) 13,500 KN / M²
Ts
Ts
(1400psi) 9500 KN / M²

Fig. 9