(11) EP 0 342 917 B2

## (12)

# **NEW EUROPEAN PATENT SPECIFICATION**

(45) Date of publication and mention of the opposition decision: **25.10.2006 Bulletin 2006/43** 

C11D 1/02<sup>(2006.01)</sup> C11D 1/83<sup>(2006.01)</sup>

(51) Int Cl.:

C11D 1/14 (2006.01)

(45) Mention of the grant of the patent: 22.03.2000 Bulletin 2000/12

(21) Application number: 89304919.7

(22) Date of filing: 16.05.1989

(54) Detergent composition

Detergenszusammensetzung

Composition détergente

(84) Designated Contracting States: CH DE ES FR GB IT LI NL SE

(30) Priority: 17.05.1988 GB 8811672

(43) Date of publication of application: 23.11.1989 Bulletin 1989/47

(73) Proprietors:

 UNILEVER PLC London EC4P 4BQ (GB)
Designated Contracting States: GB

UNILEVER N.V.
3013 AL Rotterdam (NL)
Designated Contracting States:
CH DE ES FR IT LI NL SE

(72) Inventors:

 Hull, Michael Helsby Warrington WA6 9PS (GB)

 Giles, Dennis Wirral Merseyside L63 OEN (GB)

Wirral Merseyside L63 0EH (GB)

· Scowen, Reginald Vear

 Smith, Bryan Cecil NL-3055 KL Rotterdam (NL)

(74) Representative: Elliott, Peter William et al Unilever Patent Group Colworth House Sharnbrook Bedford, MK44 1LQ (GB)

(56) References cited:

EP-B- 0 085 448 DE-A- 2 354 118 FR-A- 1 582 765 GB-A- 1 399 966 US-A- 3 598 747 US-B- 3 676 340

- DATABASE WPIL Week 7329, Derwent Publications Ltd., London, GB; AN 73-41306U & JP-B-48 023 643 (NIKS CO.) 16 July 1973
- Data Sheet "ELFAN KT 550" by Akzo Chemie, July 1983
- Römpps Chemie-Lexikon, 7th ed., 1977, pp. 3870, 3872
- Ullmanns Encyklopädie der technischen Chemie, 4th ed., vol. 24(1983), pp. 108-110

## **Description**

20

30

35

40

45

50

55

**[0001]** This invention relates to a detergent composition, in particular to a detergent composition for washing fabrics. **[0002]** Fabric washing compositions contain, as an essential ingredient, a surfactant system whose role is to assist in the removal of soil from the fabric and its suspension in the wash liquor. Suitable detergent active materials fall into a number of classes, including anionic, nonionic and cationic materials and marketed products contain materials selected from one or more of these classes.

**[0003]** The most widely used anionic detergent active materials are the alkyl benzene sulphonates and these provide satisfactory results especially at high temperatures. There has been a desire to find alternative anionic surfactants for use in circumstances when alkyl benzene sulphonates are undesirable, but generally speaking the performance of other anionic detergent active materials is unsatisfactory.

**[0004]** Among such alternative anionic surfactants are the primary alcohol sulphates (PAS) otherwise known as alkyl sulphates. The PAS derived from tallow fat has been recommended for use. Thus, GB-A-1399966 (The Procter & Gamble Company) discloses a detergent composition in which the surfactant system is a mixture of sodium tallow alkyl sulphate and a nonionic detergent active material. However, tallow PAS suffers from the disadvantage that its performance at low temperatures is poor. With the trend towards lower wash temperatures this becomes a serious disadvantage.

[0005] Other PAS's have been proposed in the art. Thus, for example, GB-A-1399966 referred to above, also discloses the possibility of using the PAS derived from coconut oil. We have appreciated that under some circumstances coconut PAS can perform better than tallow PAS at low temperatures, but under such circumstances its performance at higher temperatures is significantly poorer than the tallow derived material. Thus, while the consumer expects a single product to perform satisfactorily both at high and low temperatures, the replacement of tallow PAS by coconut PAS is not an attractive option.

[0006] Compositions containing a  $C_{12-14}$  alkyl alcohol sulphate, tallow alcohol sulphate, nonionic surfactant and detergency builder are disclosed in EP-A-0 085 448.

[0007] An objective of the present invention is to provide a surfactant system based on PAS and which is able to give a good combination of performance levels at a range of temperatures.

**[0008]** We have found that this can be achieved with a PAS which has a wider spread of alkyl chain lengths than is the case when either tallow alone or coconut alone is the source of the alkyl chains. Use of a PAS derived from a mixture of sources can perform better than tallow PAS at low temperatures and better than coconut PAS at higher temperatures. Under some circumstances there is better performance than with either tallow or coconut PAS. Even though these may give better performance at certain temperatures, use of PAS with a wider spread of alkyl chain lengths can give good performance over a wider temperature range.

**[0009]** The spread of chain lengths may be characterised by the presence of alkyl chains of twelve and eighteen carbon atoms in amounts or a ratio which does not occur when the alkyl chains come from a single natural source.

[0010] Thus, according to this invention there is provided a detergent composition for washing fabrics, which composition contains

(i) from 4% to 30% by weight of a surfactant system comprising an anionic surfactant and also a nonionic surfactant where the weight ratio between the anionic surfactant and the nonionic surfactant lies between 10:1 and 1:2, and (ii) detergency builder characterised in that the anionic surfactant comprises at least 80% by weight of alkyl sulphate of mixed alkyl chain length such that at least 15% by weight of the alkyl chains present in the alkyl sulphate are  $C_{12}$  chains, at least 25% by weight of said alkyl chains are  $C_{18}$  chains and the weight ratio of  $C_{12}$  alkyl chains to  $C_{18}$  alkyl chains is in the range 2:1 to 1:5.

**[0011]** For comparison in a coconut feedstock the percentages of  $C_{12}$  and  $C_{18}$  alkyl are typically 53% and 12%, amounting to a ratio over 3:1 (and indeed over 4:1) while in a tallow feedstock the alkyl chains can be 70%  $C_{18}$  alkyl with  $C_{12}$  almost entirely absent.

**[0012]** As stated, the alkyl sulphate of mixed chain lengths is at least 80% of the anionic surfactant present. Of course it may constitute the whole of the anionic surfactant present.

**[0013]** Alkyl sulphates are also known as sulphated fatty alcohol salts. The alkyl sulphates, which are particularly envisaged for this invention, are normally produced from natural alcohols, such as those produced by reducing the glycerides of naturally occurring fats and oils.

[0014] Natural alcohols rich in  $C_{12}$  alkyl chains include those derived from babassu, coconut, ouri-coury and palm kernel while alcohols rich in  $C_{18}$  chains include those derived from beef tallow, kapok, olive, peanut, sesame, tall oil and teaseed

**[0015]** Natural alcohols may be hardened, in which case unsaturated alkyl chains will be absent. However PAS from unhardened materials, such as unhardened tallow alcohol, may be employed so that unsaturated chains are present. C<sub>18</sub> unsaturated chains will normally be oleyl but further unsaturation may be present e.g. linoleic chains may be present

**[0016]** In addition to the  $C_{12}$  and  $C_{18}$  chains in the PAS materials useful in the present invention, other alkyl and unsaturated chains may be present. These chains will normally have between 8 and 18 carbon atoms. Materials which contain more than a small amount, i.e. more than 2%, of chains containing more than 18 carbon atoms or less than 8 carbon atoms are less preferred.

**[0017]** From the point of view of ready availability, it is preferred to use a PAS derived from a mixture of tallow and coconut in the weight ratio of from 3:1 to 1:3, most preferably from 2:1 to 1:2.

**[0018]** It is preferred to use the water-soluble salts of these anionic surfactants, specifically the alkali metal (sodium or potassium) salts thereof.

[0019] Compositions according to the invention contain from 4% to 30% by weight of the surfactant system.

**[0020]** The compositions of the invention also contain a nonionic surfactant. We have found it to be of advantage if such a nonionic surfactant has an HLB of less than 10.5, although there is benefit even if the nonionic surfactant has an HLB greater than this.

**[0021]** Suitable nonionic surfactants which may be used are the reaction products of compounds having a hydrophobic group and a reactive hydrogen atom, for example aliphatic alcohols, acids, amides or alkyl phenols with alkylene oxides, especially ethylene oxide either alone or with propylene oxide. Specific nonionic detergent compounds are alkyl ( $C_6$  -  $C_{22}$ ) phenols-ethylene oxide condensates, the condensation products of aliphatic ( $C_8$  -  $C_{18}$ ) primary or secondary linear or branched alcohols with ethylene oxide, and products made by condensation of ethylene oxide with the reaction products of propylene oxide and ethylenediamine.

**[0022]** When alkylene oxide adducts of fatty materials are used as the nonionic surfactants, the number of alkylene oxide groups per molecule has a considerable effect upon the HLB of the nonionic surfactant. The chain length and nature of the fatty material is also influential, and thus the preferred number of alkylene oxide groups per molecule depends upon the nature and chain length of the fatty material.

[0023] The weight ratio between the anionic surfactant and the nonionic surfactant lies between 10:1 and 1:2.

20

30

35

40

45

50

55

**[0024]** The surfactant system may include other surfactant materials in addition to the specified alkyl sulphate and the above mentioned nonionic materials. These other surfactant materials may be selected from other anionic detergent active materials, zwitterionic or amphoteric detergent active materials or mixtures thereof.

**[0025]** The level of any such further surfactant materials will not exceed 50% of the composition. It is preferably not more than 40% of the total amount of surfactant in the composition, and may perhaps be not over 5% by weight of the whole composition.

[0026] The other anionic detergent active materials which may be present may be the usual water-soluble alkali metal salts of organic sulphonates having alkyl radicals containing from 8 to 22 carbon atoms, the term alkyl being used to include the alkyl portion of higher acyl radicals. Examples of suitable synthetic anionic detergent compounds are sodium and potassium alkyl ( $C_9$  -  $C_{20}$ ) benzene sulphonates, particularly sodium linear secondary alkyl ( $C_{10}$  -  $C_{15}$ ) benzene sulphonates; sodium alkyl glyceryl ether sulphates, especially those ethers of the higher alcohols derived from tallow or coconut oil and synthetic alcohols derived from petroleum; sodium coconut oil fatty monoglyceride sulphates and sulphonates; sodium and potassium salts of sulphuric acid esters of higher ( $C_8$  to  $C_{18}$ ) fatty alcohol-ethylene oxide reaction products; the reaction products of fatty acids such as coconut fatty acids esterified with isethionic acid and neutralised with sodium hydroxide; sodium and potassium salts of fatty acid amides of methyl taurine; alkane monosulphonates such as those derived by reacting alpha-olefins ( $C_8$ - $C_{20}$ ) with sodium bisulphite and those derived from reacting paraffins with SO<sub>2</sub> and Cl<sub>2</sub> and then hydrolysing with a base to produce a random sulphate. A further anionic detergent active which may be included is soap, especially soap with a high proportion of unsaturated acyl chains.

[0027] The compositions of the invention also contain a detergency builder material; this may be any material capable of reducing the level of free calcium ions in the wash liquor and will preferably provide the compositions with other beneficial properties such as the generation of an alkaline pH and the suspension of soil removed from the fabric. The amount of builder material in a composition of this invention may in particular be from 15% to 60% by weight of the composition.

**[0028]** Examples of phosphorus-containing inorganic detergency builders, when present, include the water-soluble salts, especially alkali metal pyrophosphates, orthophosphates, metaphosphates, polyphosphates and phosphonates. Specific examples of inorganic phosphate builders include sodium and potassium tripolyphosphates, orthophosphates and hexametaphosphates.

**[0029]** Examples of non-phosphorus-containing inorganic detergency builders, when present, include water-soluble alkali metal carbonates, bicarbonates, silicates and crystalline and amorphous aluminosilicates. Specific examples include sodium carbonate (with or without calcite seeds), potassium carbonate (with or without calcite seeds), sodium and potassium bicarbonates and silicates.

**[0030]** Examples of organic detergency builders, when present, include the alkali metal, ammonium and substituted ammonium polyacetates, carboxylates, polycarboxylates, polyacetyl carboxylates and polyhydroxysulphonates. Specific examples include sodium, potassium, lithium, ammonium and substituted ammonium salts of ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid, nitrilotriacetic acid, oxydisuccinic acid, mellitic acid, benzene polycarboxylic adds and citric acid.

**[0031]** It is preferred that the compositions according to the invention be alkaline, that is at the concentration of 1 g/l in distilled water at 25°C the pH should be at least 8, preferably at least 10. To this end the compositions may include a water-soluble alkaline salt. This saft may be a detergency builder or a non-building alkaline material.

[0032] Apart from the ingredients already mentioned, a number of optional ingredients may also be present

**[0033]** Examples of other ingredients which may be present in the composition include fabric softening agents such as fatty amines, fabric softening clay materials, lather boosters such as alkanolamides, particularly the monoethanolamides derived from palm kernel fatty acids and coconut fatty acids, lather depressants, oxygen-releasing bleaching agents such as sodium perborate and sodium percarbonate, peracid bleach precursors, chlorine-releasing bleaching agents such as trichloroisocyanuric acid, inorganic salts such as sodium sulphate, and, usually present in very minor amounts, fluorescent agents, perfumes including deodorant perfumes, enzymes such as proteases, cellulases, amylases and lipase, germicides and colourants.

**[0034]** The detergent compositions according to the invention may be prepared by a number of different methods according to their physical form. In the case of granular products they may be prepared by dry-mixing or coagglomeration. A preferred physical form is a granule incorporating a detergency builder salt and this is most conveniently manufactured by spray-drying at least part of the composition. In this process a slurry is prepared containing the heat-insensitive components of the composition such as the surfactant system, builder material and filler salt. The slurry is spray-dried to form base powder granules with which any solid heat-sensitive ingredients may be mixed, such ingredients including bleaches and enzymes. The specified nonionic surfactants can be liquified by melting or solvent dissolution and sprayed onto the base powder granules, rather than including them in the slurry for spray-drying. The invention will now be described in more detail in the following non-limiting examples.

## EXAMPLES 1 TO 6

5

20

25

30

35

40

**[0035]** Wash liquors were prepared in water having a hardness of 25° FH (equivalent to a free calcium ion concentration of  $2.5 \times 10^{-3}$  molar). The wash liquor contained the equivalent of 6 g/l of a composition containing (by weight):

Specified anionic surfactant	9%
Specified nonionic surfactant	4%
Sodium tripolyphosphate	23%
Sodium carbonate	6%
Sodium alkaline silicate	5.5%
Sodium sulphate	30.8%
Sodium chloride	2.93%
Water	balance

**[0036]** The sodium chloride was included as being equivalent in ionic strength to 5% sodium perborate monohydrate which would be present in practice. The bleach is left out of these experiments in order to avoid confusion between detergency and bleaching effect in the interpretation of the results.

**[0037]** The wash liquors were used to wash a fabric load at liquor to cloth ratio of 50:1. The load included a number of polyester monitors to which had previously been applied an amount of C<sup>14</sup> tagged triolein. Measurement of the level of tagged triolein after washing, using standard radiotracer techniques, gives an indication of the degree of detergency, i.e. soil removal, obtained.

[0038] The wash time was 20 minutes with an agitation of 70 rpm. Washes were isothermal at temperatures as specified below.

**[0039]** The anionic surfactants used were as set out in the following table:

Chain Length Distribution (%)						
Surfactant <c<sub>8 C<sub>12</sub> C<sub>18</sub> <c<sub>18 Origin</c<sub></c<sub>						
ELFAN 280 <sup>1</sup>	0	53	12	0	Coconut	
ELFAN KT550 <sup>1</sup>	0	27	38	0	Coco/tallow mix	
SULFOPON T55 <sup>2</sup>	0	0	70	0	Tallow	

1 - ex Akzo ELFAN is a Registered Trade Mark

2 - ex Henkel SULPHOPON is a Registered Trade Mark.

50

45

**[0040]** In Examples 1 to 6 the nonionic surfactant was SYNPERONIC A7 (ex ICI) which is principally  $C_{13}/C_{15}$  alcohol ethoxylated with an average of 7 moles of ethylene oxide. This nonionic surfactant has an HLB of 11.7. SYNPERONIC is a Registered Trade Mark

[0041] The results obtained were as follows:

Example No	Anionic	Wash Temperature	% Soil Removal
1	ELFAN 280	30°C	24.9
2	ELFAN KT550	30°C	30.0
3	SULFOPON T55	30°C	24.9
4	ELFAN 280	60°C	30.5
5	ELFAN KT550	60°C	36.3
6	SULFOPON T55	60°C	46.7

**[0042]** From these results it is apparent that at 60°C ELFAN KT550 outperforms the coconut only derived PAS, ELFAN 280. At the lower temperature of 30°C, ELFAN KT550 outperforms both the coconut only and the tallow derived materials.

## EXAMPLES 7 TO 12

**[0043]** Examples 1 to 6 were repeated, except that the nonionic surfactant was a mixture of 1 part SYNPERONIC A7 with 3 parts of SYNPERONIC A3, which is a similar material in which the alcohol is ethoxylated with an average of 3 moles ethylene oxide per molecule. This nonionic surfactant mixture has an HLB of approximately 9.0. **[0044]** The results were as follows:

Example No	Anionic	Wash Temperature	% Soil Removal
7	ELFAN 280	30°C	39.0
8	ELFAN KT550	30°C	38.7
9	SULFOPON T55	30°C	30.4
10	ELFAN 280	60°C	44.6
11	ELFAN KT550	60°C	49.8
12	SULFOPON T55	60°C	54.4

**[0045]** From these results it is apparent, as with Examples 1 to 6, that at 60°C ELFAN KT550 outperforms the coconut only derived PAS, ELFAN 280. At the lower temperature, ELFAN KT550 outperforms the tallow only derived PAS, SULFOPON T55, while not being significantly different in performance to the coconut only derived material.

**[0046]** A comparison of the results in Examples 7 to 12 with those in Examples 1 to 6 demonstrates the preference for utilising a nonionic surfactant with a lower HLB.

# EXAMPLES 13 TO 24

**[0047]** Examples 1 to 3 were repeated but the levels and proportions of the surfactants were varied. The variations in composition and the results are set out in the list below in which "A3" and "A7" denote SYNPERONIC A3 and SYNPERONIC A7. The wash temperature was 30°C in each instance, as with Examples 1 to 3.

Example No	Anionic	Nonionic	% Soil Removal
13	12% ELFAN 280	1.33% A7 + 4% A3	42.9
14	12% ELFAN KT550	1.33% A7 + 4% A3	43.7
15	12% SULFOPON T55	1.33% A7 + 4% A3	34.5
16	9% ELFAN 280	2% A7 + 3% A3	37.3
17	9% ELFAN KT550	2% A7 + 3% A3	36.8
18	9% SULFOPON T55	2% A7 + 3% A3	32.3
19	9% ELFAN 280	3% A7 + 3% A3	35.2
20	9% ELFAN KT550	3% A7 + 3% A3	37.2

5

25

20

5

10

15

30

40

35

50

45

(continued)

Example No	Anionic	Nonionic	% Soil Removal
21	9% SULFOPON T55	3% A7 + 3% A3	33.0
22	9% ELFAN 280	4% A7 + 3% A3	34.3
23	9% ELFAN KT550	4% A7 + 3% A3	36.1
24	9% SULFOPON T55	4% A7 + 3% A3	33.2

[0048] It will be seen that in every case, the ELFAN KT550 outperformed the all tallow SULFOPON T55 and came close to or surpassed the all coconut ELFAN 280. In every case, this amounts to a soil removal which is better than the average of the figures for the all coconut and all tallow derived materials.

# EXAMPLES 25 TO 31

5

15

20

25

30

40

45

50

55

**[0049]** Wash liquors were prepared in water of  $30^{\circ}$ FH (equivalent to a free calcium ion concentration of  $3.0 \times 10^{-3}$  molar). The wash liquor contained the equivalent of 6g/l of a composition containing (by weight):

Specified surfactant	13%
Zeolite	24%
Polyacrylate	4%
Sodium sulphate	20.6%
Sodium carbonate	12%
Water	balance

**[0050]** Each wash liquor composition was employed to launder several test cloths in a Tergotometer, in a heat-up cycle to 60°C. After laundering the increase in reflectance of the test cloths was measured.

[0051] The test cloths employed had various stains as follows:

EMPA-104: Stain of Indian ink and olive oil.

WFK-10C: Stain predominantly wool fat, with minor amounts of kaolin, carbon black and iron oxide.

PC-9: Stain of ground nut oil, Indian ink and iron oxide.

[0052] The specified surfactant(s) consisted of some or all of hardened tallow alcohol sulphate (TAS) and/or coconut alcohol sulphate (CAS) and mixtures with nonionic surfactant (NI) which was SYNPERONIC A3, i.e. C<sub>13</sub>/C<sub>15</sub> fatty alcohol ethoxylated with an average of 3 moles of ethylene oxide per molecule.

[0053] Results were as follows:

Example No	Surfactant(s)		Increa	se in Refl	ectance	
				WFK-10C	PC-9	EMPA-104
*25		100% TAS	0% CAS	17.1	18.9	21.5
*26		60% TAS	40% CAS	21.8	19.3	24.3
*27		40% TAS	60% CAS	23.7	19.7	22.2
*28		0% TAS	100% CAS	18.4	17.9	20.6
*29	20% NI	80% TAS	0% CAS	20.0	19.8	17.9
30	20% NI	40% TAS	40% CAS	24.6	21.0	20.3
*31	20% NI	0% TAS	80% CAS	19.2	18.9	16.9
* not within the scope of the invention						

**[0054]** It will be seen that in each case the mixture of hardened tallow based and coconut based surfactants gave better detergency than either of them used alone.

# EXAMPLES 32 AND 33

**[0055]** Wash liquors were prepared in water having a hardness of 25° FH. The wash liquors contained the equivalent of 6 g/l of a composition containing (by weight):

Ingredient	% by weight
Specified anionic	9
SYNPERONIC A7	1
SYNPERONIC A3	3
Zeolite	26.6
Sodium sulphate	19.5
Sodium carbonate	14.0
Sodium silicate	1.3
Sodium chloride	3.0
Moisture	balance to 100%

**[0056]** For Example 32 the anionic surfactant was ELFAN KT550. For Example 33 the anionic surfactant was PAS derived from myristyl alcohol (i.e. C<sub>14</sub> alcohol). The wash liquors were tested as in Examples 1 to 6, although a different grade of polyester was used for the test cloths.

[0057] Soil removal results were:

Example 32 (ELFAN KT550)	28.1%
Example 33 (C <sub>14</sub> PAS)	26.0%

## **EXAMPLE 34**

[0058] The following formulation was prepared by spray drying a slurry of some of the ingredients to form a base powder and then subsequently spraying on some of the nonionic surfactant and post dosing the remaining ingredients.

Ingredients	Parts b	y weight
Base powder		
Anionic (see below)	9.0	
SYNPERONIC A7	1.0	
Zeolite	26.6	
Sodium sulphate	19.5	
Sodium carbonate	2.0	
Moisture and miscellaneous	<u>13.7</u>	<u>71.8</u>
Spray-on		
SYNPERONIC A3	<u>1.0</u>	<u>1.0</u>
Post-dose		
Sodium silicate	1.3	
Sodium perborate monohydrate	8.0	
SYNPERONIC A3	2.0	
TAED granules	2.8	
Antifoam	3.0	
Enzyme	0.6	
Perfume	0.3	
Sodium carbonate	<u>12.0</u>	<u>30.0</u>

#### (continued)

Post-dose	
Total	102.8

5

**[0059]** This composition was used to wash a variety of stained fabrics under a variety of conditions, results being assessed by measuring the reflectance of treated monitors. The anionic surfactants used were tallow PAS, coconut PAS and a 50/50 mixture of the two.

10

15

**[0060]** The benefits observed in previous Examples were again observed in this case also. Thus the 50/50 mixture generally gave better performance than the coconut PAS alone, while on a number of stains (notably dirty motor oil, and make-up on white cotton) the mixture out-performed both alternatives.

## Claims

- 1. A detergent composition for washing fabrics, which composition contains
  - (i) from 4% to 30% by weight of a surfactant system comprising an anionic surfactant and also a nonionic surfactant where the weight ratio between the anionic surfactant and the nonionic surfactant lies between 10: 1 and 1:2, and
  - (ii) detergency builder

25

20

characterised in that the anionic surfactant comprises at least 80% by weight of alkyl sulphate of mixed alkyl chain length such that at least 15% by weight of the alkyl chains present in the alkyl sulphate are  $C_{12}$  chains, at least 25% by weight of said alkyl chains are  $C_{18}$  chains and the weight ratio of  $C_{12}$  alkyl chains to  $C_{18}$  alkyl chains is in the range 2:1 to 1:5.

30

- 2. A detergent composition according to claim 1 in which the alkyl sulphate is a mixture of tallow alkyl sulphate and coconut alkyl sulphate in a weight ratio of 3:1 to 1:3 preferably from 2:1 to 1:2.
- 3. A detergent composition according to claim 1 or claim 2 in which the nonionic surfactant has an HLB of less than 10.5.

# 35

## Patentansprüche

1. Eine Detergenszuammensetzung für das Waschen von Geweben, welche Zusammensetzung enthält

40

- (i) von 4 bis 30 Gewichtsprozent eines Tensid-Systems, enthaltend ein anionisches Tensid und auch ein nichtionisches Tensid, worin das Gewichtsverhältnis zwischen dem anionischen Tensid und dem nichtionischen Tensid zwischen 10:1 und 1:2 liegt, und
- (ii) Waschkraftbuilder

45

**dadurch gekennzeichnet, daß** das anionische Tensid zumindest 80 Gewichtsprozent Alkylsulfat von gemischter Alkylkettenlänge derart enthält, daß zumindest 15 Gewichtsprozent der in dem Alkylsulfat vorhandenen Alkylketten  $C_{12}$ -Ketten sind, zumindest 25 Gewichtsprozent der erwähnten Alkylketten  $C_{18}$ -Ketten sind und das Gewichtsverhältnis von  $C_{12}$ -Alkylketten zu  $C_{18}$ -Alkylketten in dem Bereich von 2:1 bis 1:5 ist.

50

55

- 2. Eine Detergenszusammensetzung nach Anspruch 1, in welcher das Alkylsulfat eine Mischung von Talgalkylsulfat und Kokosnußalkylsulfat in einem Gewichtsverhältnis von 3:1 bis 1:3, bevorzugterweise von 2:1 bis 1:2, ist.
- 3. Eine Detergenszusammensetzung nach Anspruch 1 oder Anspruch 2, in welcher das nichtioniche Tensid einen

HLB-Wert von weniger als 10,5 hat.

# Revendications

1. Composition détergente pour le lavage d'étoffes, cette composition contenant

- (i) de 4 % à 30 % en poids d'un système tensioactif comprenant un agent tensioactif anionique et également un agent tensioactif non ionique, le rapport pondéral entre l'agent tensioactif anionique et l'agent tensioactif non-ionique se situant entre 10/1 et 1/2, et
- (ii) un adjuvant de pouvoir détergent

caractérisé en ce que l'agent tensioactif anionique comprend au moins 80 % en poids de sulfate d'alkyle de longueur de chaînes alkyliques mixte, de telle sorte qu'au moins 15 % en poids des chaînes alkyliques présentes dans le sulfate d'alkyle soient des chaînes en  $C_{12}$ , au moins 25 % en poids desdites chaînes alkyliques soient des chaînes en  $C_{18}$ , et que le rapport pondéral des chaînes alkyliques en  $C_{12}$  aux chaînes alkyliques en  $C_{18}$  soit compris dans l'intervalle allant de 2/1 à 1/5.

2. Composition détergente selon la revendication 1, dans laquelle le sulfate d'alkyle est un mélange de sulfate d'alkyle de suif et de sulfate d'alkyle de noix de coco, dans un rapport pondéral compris entre 3/1 et 1/3, de préférence entre 2/1 et 1/2.

3. Composition détergente selon la revendication 1 ou la revendication 2, dans laquelle l'agent tensioactif non-ionique a un HLB inférieur à 10,5.