



Europäisches Patentamt
European Patent Office
Office européen des brevets



(11) **EP 1 270 755 A1**

(12) **EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION**

(43) Date of publication:
02.01.2003 Bulletin 2003/01

(51) Int Cl.7: **C22C 19/05, C22F 1/10**

(21) Application number: **02014217.0**

(22) Date of filing: **26.06.2002**

(84) Designated Contracting States:
**AT BE CH CY DE DK ES FI FR GB GR IE IT LI LU
MC NL PT SE TR**
Designated Extension States:
AL LT LV MK RO SI

(30) Priority: **28.06.2001 US 894353**
07.06.2002 US 165649

(71) Applicant: **HAYNES INTERNATIONAL, INC.**
Kokomo, Indiana 46904-9013 (US)

(72) Inventors:
• **Pike, Lee M., Jr.**
Kokomo, Indiana 46901 (US)
• **Klarstrom, Dwaine L.**
Kokomo, Indiana 46901 (US)

(74) Representative: **Fuchs Mehler Weiss & Fritzsche**
Patentanwälte
Söhnleinstrasse 8
65201 Wiesbaden (DE)

(54) **Aging treatment for Ni-Cr-Mo alloys**

(57) A single step heat treatment for Ni-Cr-Mo alloys containing from 12% to 19% chromium and from 18% to 23% molybdenum provides higher yield strength, high tensile strength and other mechanical properties comparable to those observed in similar alloys age-hardened according to current practices. This treatment is

done over a total time of at least 4 hours and preferably less than 50 hours. However, the treatment works for only those alloys having alloying elements present in amounts according to an equation here disclosed.

EP 1 270 755 A1

Description**FIELD OF THE INVENTION**

[0001] The invention relates to heat treatment processes for nickel-chromium molybdenum-alloys having a chromium content of from 12 to 19 weight percent.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

[0002] It is well-known that chromium imparts corrosion resistance to nickel base alloys. Therefore, Ni-Cr-Mo alloys and particularly those with chromium content of 15 to 24% have been popular for use in corrosive environments such as encountered in the chemical and petrochemical industries.

[0003] Age-hardening is a process used in the metallurgical industry to give an alloy composition higher strength, as measured by its yield strength, tensile strength, and by notched stress rupture tests typically used in the art. Various applications demand a combination of high tensile strength and low thermal expansion properties. One such application is in the aerospace industry. Another application is seal rings used in land-based gas turbines. A combination of high tensile strength and ductility is also very useful for bolts. Because of the demanding operating conditions and performance parameters for metal products in these applications, various methods of age-hardening have been used. One common technique is to heat the alloy to a selected high temperature, hold the alloy at that temperature for a period of time and then cool the alloy to room temperature. For some alloy compositions, the alloy may be heated to one temperature, cooled, heated again to a second temperature and cooled. Examples of these processes are disclosed in United States Patent No. 3,871,928. The temperatures and time periods used to age harden an alloy depend upon the composition of the alloy. For all age-hardenable commercial alloys there are established times and temperatures used that have become standard in the industry because they are known to produce the desired properties. For Ni-Cr-Mo alloys having high chromium content, that is chromium greater than 12%, the general view in the art is that heat treatment beyond the initial annealing in an effort to improve mechanical properties would be impractical due to the lengthy required times (hundreds to thousands of hours) and such treatments simply have not been done.

[0004] Solid-solution strengthened nickel-chromium-molybdenum (Ni-Cr-Mo) alloys and nickel-molybdenum (Ni-Mo) alloys are widely utilized for commercial applications in the chemical industry, for example. Generally, considered to be single phase materials, discounting the presence of minor carbide phases, alloys such as these are not usually considered responsive to heat treatment, and are therefore used in the annealed condition. There are exceptions, where some particular alloys do exhibit a commercially exploitable age hardening response. However, in these instances the age-hardening response observed is attributable to other elements, such as niobium, aluminum and titanium being present in the alloy composition. The exception to this is HAYNES® 242™ alloy which will be discussed later. The fact that Ni-Cr-Mo and Ni-Mo alloys are not commercially age-hardenable does not mean that they do not exhibit any metallurgical response to thermal exposure at intermediate temperatures. Actually, alloys of this type can exhibit complex secondary phase reactions when exposed in the temperature range from about 538°C to 871°C. Unfortunately, the phases which form can often be deleterious to both alloy ductility and other aspects of service performance. This is particularly observed with Ni-Mo alloys containing about 25 to 30 % molybdenum. In such materials, exposure at temperatures from about 538°C to 871°C can result in the rapid formation of embrittling Ni_3Mo or Ni_4Mo phases in the microstructure. This can be a problem for both component manufacturing and for component performance.

[0005] For lower molybdenum, higher chromium, content Ni-Cr-Mo alloys with about 16 % molybdenum and 16 % chromium weight percent content, the occurrence of these particular intermetallic phases is not usually observed after short term thermal exposures. With longer term exposure at temperatures from about 538°C to 694°C, there is a distinctly different metallurgical response. After about 500 to 1000 hours the presence of the phase $\text{Ni}_2(\text{Mo,Cr})$ is evidenced in the microstructure. A long-range-ordered phase, with structure similar to that of Pt_2Mo , the $\text{Ni}_2(\text{Mo,Cr})$ phase serves to significantly increase the strength of these materials without a severe loss of ductility. The one major drawback is the prolonged aging time required to produce this phase.

[0006] There are several United States patents that disclose Ni-Cr-Mo alloys. United States Patent No. 4,818,486 discloses a low thermal expansion nickel based alloy that contains 5% to 12% chromium and 10% to 30% molybdenum. The patent teaches that the aging times typically required to obtain desired hardness without deleterious phases being formed is well over 1000 hours at temperatures of 649°C to 816°C for most Ni-Mo-Cr alloys. However, the aging time to harden the alloy composition disclosed in the '486 patent is as little as 24 hours at 649°C. The alloy of this patent has been marketed under the trademarks 242 alloy and HAYNES 242 alloy. HAYNES 242 alloy has been sold for applications requiring high tensile strength and a low coefficient of thermal expansion. Other beneficial properties of the 242 alloy include good thermal stability, good low cycle fatigue resistance, and excellent containment capabilities due to its tensile strength and ductility. HAYNES 242 alloy consists of about 8 % (weight percent) chromium, about 20-30 % molybdenum, about 0.35 % to up to about 0.5 % aluminum, up to 0.03 % carbon, up to about 0.8 % manganese,

up to about 0.8 % silicon, up to about 2 % iron, up to about 1 % cobalt, up to about 0.006 % boron, and the balance weight percent being nickel.

[0007] There is a need for a shorter commercially exploitable age hardening process for Ni-Mo-Cr alloys with higher Cr levels (>12% Cr) than found in U.S. Patent No. 4,818,486 that avoids formation of deleterious Ni₃Mo and Ni₄Mo phase, as well as muphase occurrence.

[0008] Another Ni-Cr-Mo alloy is disclosed in United States Patent No. 5,019,184 to Crum et al. That alloy contains 19% to 23% chromium and 14 to 17.5% molybdenum. The patent discloses homogenization heat treatment at temperatures ranging from 1149°C to 1260°C for periods of from 5 to 50 hours. The purpose of the treatment is to produce a corrosion resistant alloy having a desired microstructure rather than to strengthen the alloy. No tensile strength data is given for any of these samples disclosed in the patent. The alloy of this patent has been commercialized under the designation INCONEL® alloy 686.

[0009] Yet another corrosion resistant Ni-Cr-Mo alloy is disclosed in United States Patent No. 4,906,437 to Heubner et al. This alloy contains 22% to 24% chromium and 15% to 16.5% molybdenum. There is no disclosure of any heat treatment or age hardening of this alloy. The alloy disclosed in this patent has been commercialized under the designation VDM NICROFER 923 h Mo or Alloy 59.

[0010] A high yield strength Ni-Cr-Mo alloy is disclosed in United States Patent No. 4,129,464 to Matthews et al. This alloy contains 13% to 18% chromium and 13% to 18% molybdenum. The patent says that the alloy could be aged using a single step aging treatment of at least 50 hours at 480°C to 593°C, but all examples are aged 168 hours or more. The statement that at least 50 hours is required was an extrapolation of the results obtained from a 168 hours aging treatment. The patent reports data for three alloys numbered 1, 2 and 3. Alloy 1 is commercially available under the trademark HASTELLOY® C-276 alloy. Alloy 2 is commercially available as HASTELLOY C-4 alloy. Alloy 3 is commercially available as HASTELLOY S alloy.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

[0011] We provide a single-step age hardening process for certain nickel-chromium-molybdenum alloys containing from 12% to 19% chromium and from 18% to 23 % molybdenum that results in higher yield strength, high tensile strength and comparable other mechanical properties as those observed with the current age-hardening process used in the art, such properties being measured by yield strength, tensile strength, and tensile ductility tests at room temperature. This process works only for those alloys in which the other alloying elements are present in amounts so that the composition has a P value that is within the range of 31.2 to 35.9 where P is defined by the equation:

$$P = 2.46 \text{ Al} + 0.19 \text{ Co} + 0.83 \text{ Cr} - 0.16 \text{ Cu} + 0.39 \text{ Fe} + 0.59 \text{ Mn} \\ + 1.0 \text{ Mo} + 0.81 \text{ Zr} + 2.15 \text{ Si} + 1.06 \text{ V} + 0.39 \text{ W} + 0.68 \text{ Nb} \\ + 0.52 \text{ Hf} + 0.45 \text{ Ta} + 1.35 \text{ Ti}$$

[0012] The alloys are aged at about 593°C to 718°C for at least 4 and preferably 48 hours and then air cooled. When so treated the alloys will have tensile properties suitable for use in applications requiring high tensile strength and ductility. By using this relatively short single step aging process high chromium content nickel based alloys having a combination of high yield strength and ductility properties can be made at lower cost than other alloys having such properties. Consequently, the present alloy is a more affordable alloy for applications requiring such properties.

DESCRIPTION OF THE FIGURES

[0013]

Figure 1 is a graph of the alloys tested based upon the P value and chromium content of the alloy.

Figure 2 is a graph of the alloys tested based upon the P value and molybdenum content of the alloy.

DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

[0014] We provide a single-step aging treatment for Ni-Cr-Mo alloys containing from 12% to 19% chromium to produce an alloy for applications requiring corrosion resistance, high tensile strength and excellent tensile ductility. This process involves age hardening the alloy at about 593°C to about 718°C for at least 4 hours and then cooling the alloy to room temperature. We have found, however, that this process provides acceptable mechanical properties for only

EP 1 270 755 A1

those alloys that contain alloying elements in amounts that provides a P value of from 31.2 to 35.9, P being defined as:

$$\begin{aligned} P = & 2.46 \text{ Al} + 0.19 \text{ Co} + 0.83 \text{ Cr} - 0.16 \text{ Cu} + 0.39 \text{ Fe} + 0.59 \text{ Mn} \\ & + 1.0 \text{ Mo} + 0.81 \text{ Zr} + 2.15 \text{ Si} + 1.06 \text{ V} + 0.39 \text{ W} + 0.68 \text{ Nb} \\ & + 0.52 \text{ Hf} + 0.45 \text{ Ta} + 1.35 \text{ Ti} \end{aligned}$$

[0015] We tested 13 nickel base test alloys and 3 Ni-Cr-Mo commercial alloys whose compositions are set forth in Table 1. The commercial alloys were HASTELLOY S sheet, HASTELLOY C-276 sheet, and HASTELLOY C-4 sheet and plate. The thickness of each sheet was 3.2 mm and the plate was 9.5 mm thick. The designation "n.m." in Table 1 indicates that the presence of an element was not measured. Table 1 also reports the P value for each alloy.

[0016] The chromium content of the test alloys ranged from 11.56% for alloy 6 to 22.28% for alloy 10. Molybdenum ranged from 14.73% in alloy 10 to 23.89% in alloy 13. All of the alloys contained similar amounts of aluminum, cobalt, iron, and manganese. Tungsten was present within a range of 0.13% to 0.34%. The alloys also contained small amounts of boron, carbon, cerium, copper, magnesium, phosphorus, sulfur, silicon, and vanadium. The test alloys were annealed after hot rolling to 12.7 mm plate at annealing temperatures in the range of 1038°C to 1093°C for thirty minutes and water quenched. The commercial alloys were cut from sheets or plate available from the manufacturer. All of the test alloys were treated with a single-step aging treatment in which they were aged at 649°C for 48 hours. Then they were air cooled to room temperature. The commercial alloys HASTELLOY S, HASTELLOY C-276 and HASTELLOY C-4 alloys, were aged at 593°C for 50 hours. Then they were air cooled to room temperature. This 50 hour treatment corresponds to the treatment proposed for those alloys by Matthews et al. in United States Patent No. 4,129,464.

Table 1
Composition of Samples Tested

Composition																			
Alloy	Al	B	C	Ce	Co	Cr	Cu	Fe	Mg	Mn	Mo	Nb	Ni	P (Phos.)	S	Si	V	W	"P value"
1	0.15	0.002	0.003	0.008	0.05	12.79	0.04	1.11	<0.002	0.33	21.58	n.m.	63.68	<0.004	0.001	<0.01	0.01	0.19	33.3
2	0.15	0.002	0.002	0.007	0.04	15.26	0.01	1.13	<0.002	0.34	19.92	n.m.	62.83	<0.004	0.002	<0.01	0.02	0.34	33.8
3	0.12	0.003	0.006	0.008	0.05	14.99	0.03	1.05	<0.002	0.32	18.78	n.m.	64.55	0.002	0.001	0.01	<0.01	0.15	32.2
4	0.12	0.005	0.002	<0.005	0.08	17.36	0.03	1.08	0.003	0.32	17.21	n.m.	63.44	0.003	0.001	0.02	<0.01	0.14	32.7
5	0.17	0.003	0.002	<0.005	0.06	19.88	0.02	1.05	<0.002	0.32	15.40	n.m.	63.23	0.005	0.001	0.03	<0.01	0.14	33.1
6	0.15	<0.002	0.003	0.007	0.05	11.56	0.06	1.17	0.003	0.34	19.75	n.m.	66.37	<0.004	0.001	0.15	0.02	0.15	30.8
7	0.14	0.002	0.004	0.005	0.06	16.57	0.04	1.08	0.004	0.31	15.60	n.m.	65.99	<0.002	0.003	0.07	0.02	0.15	30.6
8	0.16	0.002	0.004	0.009	0.06	12.58	0.04	1.17	0.003	0.30	22.48	n.m.	62.46	<0.004	<0.001	0.15	0.02	0.22	34.4
9	0.13	0.002	0.003	0.004	0.06	17.53	0.04	1.11	0.002	0.31	18.63	n.m.	61.84	<0.004	0.003	0.11	0.02	0.15	34.5
10	0.17	0.002	0.006	0.005	0.06	22.28	0.01	1.17	0.002	0.30	14.73	n.m.	61.22	0.005	0.001	0.16	0.02	0.18	34.7
11	0.14	<0.002	0.007	0.004	0.05	19.92	0.01	0.98	<0.002	0.29	17.38	0.03	60.98	<0.002	0.001	0.05	0.03	0.13	35.1
12	0.13	<0.002	0.007	0.005	0.06	17.92	0.01	1.04	0.002	0.27	20.08	0.03	60.24	0.005	0.001	0.04	0.03	0.14	36.1
13	0.14	<0.002	0.006	0.006	0.06	13.45	<0.01	1.00	0.002	0.27	23.89	0.03	61.41	0.002	0.001	0.04	0.03	0.15	36.2
Has-telloy S	0.21	0.010	0.010	Ti<0.01	0.05	14.92	<0.01	0.42	0.01	0.55	14.48	n.m.	Bal.	0.011	<0.002	0.36	n.m.	<0.1	28.7
C-276	0.23	<0.002	0.003	Ti<0.01	1.53	15.55	0.07	5.99	0.02	0.50	15.41	<0.05	Bal.	0.007	0.001	0.04	0.15	3.98	33.6
C-4 plate	0.34	<0.002	0.002	Ti 0.23	<0.1	15.54	0.02	1.01	0.05	0.18	15.41	<0.05	Bal.	<0.005	<0.002	0.04	0.02	<0.1	30.1
C-4 sheet	0.34	<0.002	0.003	Ti 0.21	<0.1	15.57	0.03	0.84	0.02	0.18	15.24	n.m.	Bal.	0.013	<0.002	0.04	0.02	<0.1	29.9

[0017] All of the samples were tested to determine their tensile properties. The tests determined yield strength, ultimate tensile strength, and percent elongation by following the standard ASTM E-8 test procedures for such alloys. The results of those tests are reported in Table 2

TABLE 2

Room Temperature Tensile Properties					
Alloy	0.2%Yield Strength		Ultimate Tensile Strength		Percent Elongation
	MPa	ksi	MPa	ksi	
A	816	118.3	1306	189.4	40.1

EP 1 270 755 A1

TABLE 2 (continued)

Room Temperature Tensile Properties					
Alloy	0.2%Yield Strength		Ultimate Tensile Strength		Percent Elongation
	MPa	ksi	MPa	ksi	
B	823	119.3	1300	188.5	40.7
C	723	104.8	1229	178.3	43.4
D	709	102.9	1222	177.3	43.5
E	690	100.0	1198	173.7	44.1
F	508	73.7	962	139.5	47.6
G	324	47.0	738	107.1	61.8
H	359	52.0	841	122.0	65.1
I	341	49.5	806	116.9	64.3
J	304	44.1	743	107.8	64.1
K	822	119.2	1338	194.0	41.1
L	659	95.6	1170	169.7	47.9
M	663	96.1	1166	169.1	45.8
N	644	93.4	1158	168.0	47.3
O	629	91.2	1145	166.1	47.3
P	343	49.8	761	110.4	60.7
Q	747	108.4	1227	177.9	34.5
R	809	117.4	1305	189.3	32.4
S	962	139.5	1473	213.6	28.0
T	409	59.3	825	119.7	57.8
HASTELLOY S	465	67.5	918	133.1	47.1
C-276	369	53.5	803	116.4	56.7
C-4	497	72.1	947	137.4	47.6
Alloy 59	594	86.2	1066	154.6	47.3
INCONEL alloy 686	682	98.9	1169	169.6	45.0

[0018] To be acceptable an alloy must have elongation values greater than 40 percent and yield strengths greater than 500 MPa. Alloys 1, 2, 3, 8 and 9 all had acceptable properties. Alloys 12 and 13 did not possess enough tensile ductility as measured by the percent elongation. Alloys 4, 5, 6, 7, 10 and 11 did not possess a high enough yield strength. Since the chromium content and molybdenum content of alloy 12 is within the range of chromium content and molybdenum content of the acceptable alloys it is clear that neither chromium content nor molybdenum content is the sole predictor of acceptable tensile properties in this class of alloys. We concluded that it is the interaction of nearly all of the alloying elements that is the predictor of such properties. Indeed, we discovered that when the alloy has a P value in the range of 31.2 to 35.9, chromium in the range of 12% to 19% and molybdenum in the range of 18% to 23% were acceptable tensile properties achieved with this aging process.

[0019] Figure 1 is a graph of the tested alloys based upon the P value of the alloy and the chromium content. Each alloy that had acceptable tensile properties is plotted with a dot. An X is used to plot those alloys whose tensile properties were not acceptable after the alloy was subjected to the two-step aging treatment. A box has been drawn around the acceptable alloys. It is readily apparent from Figure 1 that the acceptable alloys have a chromium content of 12% to 19% and a P value within the range of 31.2 to 35.9.

[0020] Figure 2 is a graph similar to Figure 1 but plots the P value of the alloy against the molybdenum content. As shown in Figure 2, the acceptable alloys contain from 18% to 23% molybdenum. The fact that Alloy 4 did not pass the

tensile property requirements even though its chromium content is within the desired range indicates that molybdenum content is also critical. Alloy 4 had only 17% molybdenum.

[0021] Having identified the compositions of alloys that could be successfully age-hardened at 649°C to 48 hours and air cooled we then looked to see if the alloys disclosed by Matthews et al. in United States Patent No. 4,192,464 would have acceptable properties when treated at 593°C for 50 hours. That patent at column 4, lines 4-5 contains a statement that the data obtained and there reported for longer treatments suggests that aging for about 50 hours at 593°C will be effective. Test samples were cut from commercially available HASTELLOY S sheet, HASTELLOY C-276 sheet and HASTELLOY C-4 sheet and HASTELLOY C-4 plate. The thickness of the sheets was 3.2 mm and the plate was 9.5 mm thick. The composition of these alloys is in Table 1. These samples were aged at 593°C for 50 hours and then air cooled. The aged samples were then tested to determine their tensile strength properties using standard ASTM E-8 test procedures. The results of those tensile tests are reported in Table 3.

TABLE 3

Room Temperature Tensile Properties for Commercial Alloys (Aged 593°C (1100°F)/50hr/AC)					
Alloy	0.2% Yield Strength		Ultimate Tensile Strength		Percent Elongation
	(MPa)	(ksi)	(MPa)	(ksi)	
HASTELLOY S	455	66.0	923	133.8	46.8
C-276	472	68.5	858	124.5	55.2
C-4 plate	401	58.1	865	125.5	55.2
C-4 sheet	652	94.5	1058	153.4	43.9

All of the samples had acceptable tensile strength and elongation. The yield strength of HASTELLOY S sheet, C-276 sheet and C-4 plate samples were below 500 MPa and consequently were unacceptable. The C-4 sheet had acceptable yield strength and unlike the C-4 plate was acceptable. The difference in yield strength between the C-4 sheet and the C-4 plate is likely attributable to some unknown phenomenon, possibly a surface phenomenon, that gives thin specimens higher yield strength than thicker samples. Whatever the reason for the difference, the test data indicates that, contrary to Matthews' suggestion, a 50 hour aging treatment at 593°C will not produce acceptable results for all Ni-Cr-Mo alloys. Indeed, it only worked for a thin sample of a single alloy. The present process works for all forms of alloys meeting the chromium, molybdenum and P value specified here. All of the three commercial alloys had less than 18% molybdenum. Furthermore, C-4 alloy and HASTELLOY S alloy had P values below 31.2. As our data demonstrates a single step aging treatment as short as 48 hours provides acceptable tensile properties for all forms of only those Ni-Cr-Mo alloys having 12% to 19% chromium, 18% to 23% molybdenum and a P value of from 31.2 to 35.9.

[0022] Those skilled in the art will recognize that while chromium and molybdenum must be present within the ranges encompassed by the test specimens, other alloying elements are not so limited. Indeed, those elements could be present in amounts within the ranges set forth in the UNS descriptions for commercially available Ni-Cr-Mo alloys which include those tested here and alloys such as C-2000® alloy, C-22® alloy, SM 2060 Mo alloy and MAT-21 alloy. More specifically there could be up to 0.5% aluminum, 0.015% boron, 0.02% carbon, 2.5% cobalt, 2.0% copper, 3.0% iron, 1.5% manganese, 1.25% niobium, 0.04% phosphorus, 0.03 % sulfur, 0.75% silicon, 2.2% tantalum, 0.7% titanium, 0.35% vanadium and 4.5% tungsten and 0.1% of a rare earth element.

[0023] Having now defined the alloys that can benefit from this age hardening process we considered what time and temperature range would be acceptable. A series of aging treatments was given to Alloy 2 and Alloy 8. After the aging treatments were performed the hardness was measured to determine whether the samples had age hardened. The results are shown in Tables 4 and 5.

Table 4

The Effect of Different Aging Treatments on the Hardness of Alloy 2		
Temp	Time (h)	Hardness (Rc)
Unaged	---	< 20.0
593°C/1100°F	48	20.6
649°C/1200°F	24	31.3
649°C/1200°F	48	36.1

EP 1 270 755 A1

Table 4 (continued)

The Effect of Different Aging Treatments on the Hardness of Alloy 2		
Temp	Time (h)	Hardness (Rc)
677°C/1250°F	48	< 20.0
704°C/1300°F	48	< 20.0

Table 5

The Effect of Different Aging Treatments on the Hardness of Alloy 8		
Temp	Time (h)	Hardness (Rc)
Unaged	---	< 20
593°C/1100°F	48	20.1
649°C/1200°F	48	34.3
677°C/1250°F	2	< 20
677°C/1250°F	4	27.1
677°C/1250°F	8	39.9
677°C/1250°F	12	34.6
677°C/1250°F	16	35.0
677°C/1250°F	48	35.8
704°C/1300°F	8	< 20
704°C/1300°F	12	< 20
704°C/1300°F	16	33.1
704°C/1300°F	48	35.3
718°C/1325°F	48	28.6
732°C/1350°F	48	< 20
760°C/1400°F	48	< 20

[0024] A sample was determined to have age hardened if it had a Rockwell C (Rc) hardness value of more than 20.0. A sample in the unaged condition confirmed that the material started out with a hardness of less than 20.0. All samples given aging treatments at 649°C for about 24 to 48 hours were found to strongly age harden. The samples aged at 593°C for 48 hours just barely hardened. The samples of Alloy 2 aged at 677°C and 704°C for 48 hours did not harden. However, samples of Alloy 8 did age harden when treated at 677°C and 704°C for 48 hours. Indeed, Alloy 8 age hardened when treated at 677°C for times ranging from 4 hours to 48 hours. At 704°C age hardening did not occur in Alloy 8 at 8 or 12 hours, but did occur when the treatment time was 16 and 48 hours. Furthermore, Alloy 8 age hardened when treated at 718°C for 48 hours. The difference between the responses of Alloys 2 and 8 to heat treatment times and temperatures can be attributed to the fact that Alloy 8 has higher molybdenum and lower chromium than Alloy 2. The test results indicate that the alloy should be age hardened for at least about 4 hours at a temperature ranging from about 593°C to about 718°C. Even though the longest aging time used in our tests was 48 hours longer aging times could be used. However, we prefer that the age-hardening treatment here disclosed be done in a total time of less than 100 hours and preferably less than 50 hours. Indeed we prefer to complete the process in 48 hours. By using heat treatments totaling less than 100 hours, and preferably not greater than 50 hours, one can produce lower cost, high chromium, Ni-Cr-Mo alloys that have desirable tensile properties. While the process here disclosed may also work when total aging times exceed 100 hours, the energy costs associated with such treatments make the process less desirable and commercially impractical.

[0025] This process represents a significant advancement. Prior to the present invention Ni-Cr-Mo alloys having greater than 12% chromium were not produced in the age hardened condition since the required aging times were considered to be too great. Because of the energy costs associated with such long treatments the estimated cost of a

higher chromium, age-hardened alloy was considered too high and no such alloys are in commercial existence. The single-step age-hardening treatment here disclosed will produce lower cost, high chromium, Ni-Cr-Mo alloys that have desirable tensile properties.

[0026] Although we have described certain present preferred embodiments of our alloy and method of producing that alloy, it should be distinctly understood that our invention is not limited thereto but may be variously embodied within the scope of the following claims.

Claims

1. A nickel- chromium-molybdenum alloy comprised of:

from 12% to 19% chromium;
from 18% to 23% molybdenum;
up to 3% iron;
at least one alloying element selected from the group consisting of aluminum, boron, carbon, cobalt, copper, hafnium, iron, manganese, niobium, silicon, tantalum, tungsten, vanadium and zirconium; and
a balance of nickel plus impurities;

wherein the alloy has a P value of from 31.2 to 35.9, P being defined as:

$$P = 2.46 \text{ Al} + 0.19 \text{ Co} + 0.83 \text{ Cr} - 0.16 \text{ Cu} + 0.39 \text{ Fe} + 0.59 \text{ Mn} \\ + 1.0 \text{ Mo} + 0.81 \text{ Zr} + 2.15 \text{ Si} + 1.06 \text{ V} + 0.39 \text{ W} + 0.68 \text{ Nb} \\ + 0.52 \text{ Hf} + 0.45 \text{ Ta} + 1.35 \text{ Ti}$$

and the alloy is age hardened at about 593°C to about 718°C for at least 4 hours then cooled to room temperature.

2. The alloy of claim 1 wherein the alloying elements consist of:

up to about 0.5% aluminum;
up to 0.02% carbon;
up to about 1.5% manganese;
up to about 2.5% cobalt;
up to about 4.5% tungsten; and
up to about 0.015% boron.

3. The alloy of claim 1 also comprising:

up to about 0.1% of a rare earth element;
up to about 2.0% copper;
up to about 1.25% niobium
up to about 0.04% phosphorus;
up to about 0.75% silicon;
up to about 0.03% sulfur;
up to about 2.2% tantalum;
up to about 0.7% titanium; and
up to about 0.035% vanadium.

4. The alloy of claim 1 also comprising at least one of hafnium and tantalum.

5. The alloy of claim 1 wherein the alloy is comprised of:

from 12% to 19% chromium;
from 18% to 23% molybdenum;
from 0.12% to 0.2% aluminum;

from 0.002% to 0.006% carbon;
 from 0.30% to 0.34% manganese;
 from 1.0% to 1.7% iron;
 from 0.05% to 0.8% cobalt;
 from 0.10% to 0.34% tungsten; and
 from 0.002% to 0.005% boron.

6. The alloy of claim 5 also comprising:

from 0.005% to 0.009% cerium;
 from 0.01% to 0.06% copper;
 from 0.001% to 0.004% magnesium;
 from 0.002 to 0.005% phosphorus;
 from 0.001% to 0.004% sulfur; and
 from 0.01% to 0.02% vanadium.

7. The alloy of any previous claim wherein the alloy is age hardened in not more than 50 hours.

8. The alloy of any previous claim wherein the alloy is age hardened at about 649°C for 48 hours.

9. A method for treating an alloy having a composition comprised of from 12% to 19% chromium, from 18% to 23% molybdenum, up to about 0.5% aluminum, up to about 0.015% boron, up to 0.02% carbon, up to about 2.5% cobalt, up to about 3% iron up to about 1.5% manganese, up to about 1.25% niobium, up to about 0.75% silicon, up to about 2.2% tantalum, up to about 0.7% titanium, up to about 4.5% tungsten, and the balance nickel plus impurities, wherein the alloy has a P value of from 31.2 to 35.9, P being defined as:

$$P = 2.46 \text{ Al} + 0.19 \text{ Co} + 0.83 \text{ Cr} - 0.16 \text{ Cu} + 0.39 \text{ Fe} + 0.59 \text{ Mn} \\ + 1.0 \text{ Mo} + 0.81 \text{ Zr} + 2.15 \text{ Si} + 1.06 \text{ V} + 0.39 \text{ W} + 0.68 \text{ Nb} \\ + 0.52 \text{ Hf} + 0.45 \text{ Ta} + 1.35 \text{ Ti}$$

the method comprised of:

age hardening the alloy at about 593°C to about 718°C for at least 4 hours; and
 cooling the alloy to room temperature.


10. The alloy of claim 9 wherein the alloy is age hardened in not more than 50 hours.

11. The method of claim 9 wherein the alloy is age hardened at about 649°C for 48 hours.

12. The method of claim 9 wherein the alloy is age hardened in not more than 24 hours.

FIGURE 1

Ni-Cr-Mo Based Alloys - Aged @649°C/48 h/AC
(1200°F/48 h/AC)




$$P = 2.64 \text{ Al} + 0.19 \text{ Co} + 0.83 \text{ Cr} - 0.16 \text{ Cu} + 0.39 \text{ Fe} + 0.59 \text{ Mn} + 1.0 \text{ Mo} + 0.81 \text{ Zr} \\ + 2.15 \text{ Si} + 1.06 \text{ V} + 0.39 \text{ W} + 0.68 \text{ Nb} + 0.52 \text{ Hf} + 0.45 \text{ Ta} + 1.35 \text{ Ti}$$

Box Limits: $12 < \text{Cr} < 19$ and $31.2 < P < 35.9$

FIGURE 2

Ni-Cr-Mo Based Alloys - Aged @649°C/48 h/AC
(1200°F/48 h/AC)



$$P = 2.64 \text{ Al} + 0.19 \text{ Co} + 0.83 \text{ Cr} - 0.16 \text{ Cu} + 0.39 \text{ Fe} + 0.59 \text{ Mn} + 1.0 \text{ Mo} + 0.81 \text{ Zr} \\ + 2.15 \text{ Si} + 1.06 \text{ V} + 0.39 \text{ W} + 0.68 \text{ Nb} + 0.52 \text{ Hf} + 0.45 \text{ Ta} + 1.35 \text{ Ti}$$

Box Limits: $18 < \text{Mo} < 23$ and $31.2 < P < 35.9$



European Patent
Office

EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT

Application Number
EP 02 01 4217

DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT			
Category	Citation of document with indication, where appropriate, of relevant passages	Relevant to claim	CLASSIFICATION OF THE APPLICATION (Int.Cl.7)
A	WO 98 55661 A (HEUBNER ULRICH ;KOEHLER MICHAEL (DE); KRUPP VDM GMBH (DE)) 10 December 1998 (1998-12-10) * claims 1,2; tables 1,9 *	1-6	C22C19/05 C22F1/10
A	EP 0 499 969 A (MITSUBISHI MATERIALS CORP) 26 August 1992 (1992-08-26) * page 4, line 7 - line 16; table 1 *	1-12	
A	US 4 080 201 A (HODGE FRANK G ET AL) 21 March 1978 (1978-03-21) * column 6, line 31 - line 45; table I * * column 7, line 41 - line 47 *	1-12	
A	US 4 245 698 A (BERKOWITZ BARRY J ET AL) 20 January 1981 (1981-01-20) * example B; table IV * * table V *	1-12	
			TECHNICAL FIELDS SEARCHED (Int.Cl.7)
			C22C C22F
The present search report has been drawn up for all claims			
Place of search MUNICH		Date of completion of the search 10 October 2002	Examiner Lilimpakis, E
CATEGORY OF CITED DOCUMENTS X : particularly relevant if taken alone Y : particularly relevant if combined with another document of the same category A : technological background O : non-written disclosure P : intermediate document T : theory or principle underlying the invention E : earlier patent document, but published on, or after the filing date D : document cited in the application L : document cited for other reasons & : member of the same patent family, corresponding document			

EPO FORM 1503 03.82 (P04C01)

**ANNEX TO THE EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT
ON EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION NO.**

EP 02 01 4217

This annex lists the patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned European search report. The members are as contained in the European Patent Office EDP file on
The European Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

10-10-2002

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
WO 9855661	A	10-12-1998	DE 19723491 C1	03-12-1998
			AT 204922 T	15-09-2001
			AU 7915998 A	21-12-1998
			BR 9809950 A	01-08-2000
			CN 1249010 T	29-03-2000
			DE 59801333 D1	04-10-2001
			WO 9855661 A1	10-12-1998
			EP 0991788 A1	12-04-2000
			JP 2000512345 T	19-09-2000
			NO 995960 A	03-12-1999
			PL 337189 A1	14-08-2000
			TR 9902973 T2	21-02-2000
EP 0499969	A	26-08-1992	DE 69202488 D1	22-06-1995
			DE 69202488 T2	23-11-1995
			EP 0499969 A1	26-08-1992
			JP 5117828 A	14-05-1993
			US 5225009 A	06-07-1993
US 4080201	A	21-03-1978	AT 337465 B	11-07-1977
			AT 88474 A	15-10-1976
			AU 6521774 A	07-08-1975
			BE 810690 A1	29-05-1974
			CA 1003666 A1	18-01-1977
			CH 606458 A5	30-11-1978
			CS 210649 B2	29-01-1982
			DE 2405373 A1	08-08-1974
			FR 2216361 A1	30-08-1974
			GB 1454814 A	03-11-1976
			HU 168600 B	28-06-1976
			IN 142127 A1	04-06-1977
			JP 996486 C	30-04-1980
			JP 50047812 A	28-04-1975
			JP 53037814 B	12-10-1978
			LU 69335 A1	17-05-1974
			NL 7401652 A	08-08-1974
			SE 410741 B	29-10-1979
			ZA 7400490 A	27-11-1974
US 4245698	A	20-01-1981	AU 521569 B2	08-04-1982
			AU 4467879 A	06-09-1979
			CA 1144402 A1	12-04-1983
			DE 2907677 A1	06-09-1979
			FR 2418817 A1	28-09-1979
			GB 2015573 A ,B	12-09-1979
			JP 1012826 B	02-03-1989

EPO FORM P0459

For more details about this annex : see Official Journal of the European Patent Office, No. 12/82

**ANNEX TO THE EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT
ON EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION NO.**

EP 02 01 4217

This annex lists the patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned European search report. The members are as contained in the European Patent Office EDP file on
The European Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

10-10-2002

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 4245698 A		JP 1529235 C	15-11-1989
		JP 54127831 A	04-10-1979
		SE 7901827 A	02-09-1979

EPO FORM P0459

For more details about this annex : see Official Journal of the European Patent Office, No. 12/82