(19) Europäisches Patentamt
European Patent Office
Office européen des brevets

(11) EP 1 280 952 B1

(12) **EUROPEAN PATENT SPECIFICATION**

(45) Date of publication and mention of the grant of the patent:
07.04.2010 Bulletin 2010/14

(21) Application number: **01937211.9**

(22) Date of filing: **03.05.2001**

(51) Int Cl.:
D04H 1/64 *(2006.01)*

(86) International application number:
PCT/US2001/014205

(87) International publication number:
WO 2001/083666 (08.11.2001 Gazette 2001/45)

(54) **WET WIPES COMPRISING ION-SENSITIVE, WATER-DISPERSIBLE POLYMERS AND METHODS OF MAKING SAME**

FEUCHTTÜCHER, DIE IONENEMPFINDLICHE, WASSERDISPERGIERBARE POLYMERE ENTHALTEN UND VERFAHREN ZU DEREN HERSTELLUNG

LINGETTES HUMIDES COMPRENANT DES POLYMERES HYDRODISPERSIBLES, SENSIBLES AUX IONS ET LEUR PROCEDE DE PRODUCTION

(84) Designated Contracting States:
DE ES FR GB IT TR

(30) Priority: **04.05.2000 US 564531**
04.05.2000 US 564268
04.05.2000 US 564780

(43) Date of publication of application:
05.02.2003 Bulletin 2003/06

(73) Proprietor: **KIMBERLY-CLARK WORLDWIDE, INC.**
Neenah, WI 54956 (US)

(72) Inventors:
- **CHANG, Yihua**
  Portland, OR 97229 (US)
- **DELLERMAN, Paige, A.**
  Appleton, WI 54914 (US)
- **LANG, Frederick, J.**
  Neenah, WI 54956 (US)
- **MUMICK, Pavneet, S.**
  Belle Mead, NJ 08502 (US)
- **POMPLUN, William S.**
  West End, NC 27376 (US)
- **CHEN, Franklin, M., C.**
  Appleton, WI 54914 (US)
- **JACKSON, David, M.**
  Roswell, GA 30076 (US)
- **JOHNSON, Eric, D.**
  Larsen, WI 54947 (US)
- **LINDSAY, Jeffrey, D.**
  Appleton, WI 54913 (US)
- **RIVERA, Ligia, A.**
  Appleton, WI 54913 (US)
- **SHAH, Varsha, K.**
  Streamwood, IL 60107 (US)
- **SCHICK, Kim, G.**
  Menash, WI 54952 (US)
- **SCHULTZ, Walter, T.**
  Appleton, WI 54915 (US)
- **WANG, Kenneth, Y.**
  Alpharetta, GA 30005 (US)
- **SOERENS, Dave, A.**
  Neenah, WI 54956 (US)

(74) Representative: **Beacham, Annabel Rose**
Dehns
St Bride's House
10 Salisbury Square
London
EC4Y 8JD (GB)

(56) References cited:
WO-A-00/38751 **WO-A-00/39378**
US-A- 5 281 306 **US-A- 5 312 883**

Note: Within nine months of the publication of the mention of the grant of the European patent in the European Patent Bulletin, any person may give notice to the European Patent Office of opposition to that patent, in accordance with the Implementing Regulations. Notice of opposition shall not be deemed to have been filed until the opposition fee has been paid. (Art. 99(1) European Patent Convention).

EP 1 280 952 B1

Description

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

[0001] The present invention is directed to disposable wet-wipes comprising ion-sensitive, water-dispersible binder compositions.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

[0002] For many years, the problem of disposability has plagued industries which provide disposable items, such as, diapers, wet wipes, incontinent garments and feminine care products. While much headway has been made in addressing this problem, one of the weak links has been the inability to create an economical coherent fibrous web, which will readily dissolve or disintegrate in water, but still have sufficient in-use strength. See, for example, U.K. patent disclosure 2,241,373 and U.S. Pat. No. 4,186,233. Without such a product, the ability of the user to dispose of the product by flushing it down the toilet is greatly reduced, if not eliminated. Furthermore, the ability of the product to disintegrate in a landfill is quite limited because a large portion of the product components, which may well be biodegradable or photo-degradable, are encapsulated in or bound together by plastic which degrades over a long period of time, if at all. Accordingly, if the plastic disintegrated in the presence of water, the internal components could degrade as a result of the rupture of the plastic encapsulation or binding.

[0003] Disposable products, such as diapers, feminine care products and adult incontinent care products may be made to be disposed by flushing down toilets. Usually such products comprise a body side liner which must rapidly pass fluids, such as urine or menses, so that the fluid may be absorbed by an absorbent core of the product. Typically, the body side liner may be a coherent fibrous web, which desirably possesses a number of characteristics, such as softness and flexibility. The fibrous web of the body side liner material may be typically formed by wet or dry (air) laying a generally random plurality of fibers and joining them together to form a coherent web with a binder compositions. Past binder compositions have preformed this function well. However, fibrous webs comprising these compositions tended to be non-dispersible and present problems in typical household sanitation systems.

[0004] Recent binder compositions have been developed which can be more dispersible and are more environmentally responsible than past binder compositions. One class of binder compositions includes polymeric materials having inverse solubility in water. These binder compositions are insoluble in warm water, but are soluble in cold water, such as found in a toilet. It is well known that a number of polymers exhibit cloud points or inverse solubility properties in aqueous media. These polymers have been cited in several publications for various applications, including (1) as evaporation retarders (JP 6207162); (2) as temperature sensitive compositions, which are useful as temperature indicators due to a sharp color change associated with a corresponding temperature change (JP 6192527); (3) as heat sensitive materials that are opaque at a specific temperature and become transparent when cooled to below the specific temperature (JP 51003248 and JP 81035703); (4) as wound dressings with good absorbing characteristics and easy removal (JP 6233809); and (5) as materials in flushable personal care products (U.S. Pat. No. 5,509,913, issued to Richard S. Yeo on April 23, 1996 and assigned to Kimberly-Clark Corporation).

[0005] Other recent binders of interest include a class of binders, which are ion-sensitive. Several U.S. and European patents assigned to Lion Corporation of Tokyo, Japan, disclose ion-sensitive polymers comprising acrylic acid and alkyl or aryl acrylates. See U.S. Pat. Nos. 5,312,883, 5,317,063 and 5,384,189, the disclosures of which are incorporated herein by reference, as well as, European Pat. No. 608460A1. In U.S. Pat. No. 5,312,883, terpolymers are disclosed as suitable binders for flushable nonwoven webs. The disclosed acrylic acid-based terpolymers, which comprise partially neutralized acrylic acid, butyl acrylate and 2-ethylhexyl acrylate, are suitable binders for use in flushable nonwoven webs in some parts of the world. However, because of the presence of a small amount of sodium acrylate in the partially neutralized terpolymer, these binders fail to disperse in water containing more than about 15 ppm Ca^{2+} and/or Mg^{2+}. When placed in water containing more than about 15 ppm Ca^{2+} and/or Mg^{2+} ions, nonwoven webs using the above-described binders maintain a tensile strength greater than 30g/2.54 cm (30 g/in), which negatively affects the "dispersability" of the web

The proposed mechanism for the failure is that each calcium ion binds with two carboxylate groups either intramolecularly or intermolecularly.

Intramolecular association causes the polymer chain to coil up, which eventually leads to polymer precipitation. Intermolecular association yields crosslinking. Whether intramolecular or intermolecular associations are taking place, the terpolymer is not soluble in water containing more than about 15 ppm Ca^{2+} and/or Mg^{2+}. Due to the strong interaction between calcium ions and the carboxylate groups of the terpolymer, dissociation of the complex is highly unlikely because this association is irreversible. Therefore, the above-described polymer that has been exposed to a high Ca^{2+} and/or Mg^{2+} concentration solution will not disperse in water even if the calcium concentration decreases. This limits the application of the polymer as a flushable binder material because most areas across the U.S. have hard water, which

contains more than 15 ppm Ca^{2+} and/or Mg^{2+}.

[0006] In a co-pending application assigned to Kimberly Clark; *i.e.*, U.S. patent application Serial No. 09/223,999, filed December 31, 1998, there is disclosed a modification of the acrylic acid terpolymers of the above-referenced patents to Lion Corporation. Specifically, U.S. patent application Serial No. 09/223,999 discloses a sulfonate anion modified acrylic acid terpolymers which has improved dispersibility in relatively hard water; *e.g.*, up to 200 ppm Ca^{2+} and/or Mg^{2+}, compared to the unmodified Lion polymers. However, the Lion Corporation ion-sensitive polymers of the above-referenced patents and the sulfonate anion modified acrylic acid terpolymers of the co-pending application, when used as binders for personal care products, such as wet wipes, typically have reduced sheet wettability, increased sheet stiffness, increased sheet stickiness, reduced binder sprayability and relatively high product cost.

[0007] Another approach to dispersible personal care products is disclosed in U.S. Pat. No. 5,281,306 to Kao Corporation of Tokyo, Japan.

This patent discloses a water-disintegratable cleansing sheet; *i.e.*, wet wipe, comprising water-dispersible fibers treated with a water-soluble binder having a carboxyl group. The cleansing sheet is treated with a cleansing agent containing 5%-95% of a water-compatible organic solvent and 95%-5% water.

A preferred organic solvent is propylene glycol. The cleansing sheet retains wet strength and does not disperse in the organic solvent-based cleansing agent, but disperses in water.

[0008] Although many patents disclose various ion and temperature sensitive compositions for water-dispersible or flushable materials, there exists a need for dispersible products possessing softness, flexibility, three dimensionality, and resiliency; wicking and structural integrity in the presence of body fluids (including feces) at body temperature; and true fiber dispersion after toilet flushing so that fibers do not become entangled with tree roots or at bends in sewer pipes. In addition, the known ion-sensitive polymers, such as those of Lion Corporation and the co-pending application of Kimberly Clark, have relatively high viscosities at high shear rates that make application by spraying impossible or impractical. Moreover, there is a need in the art for flushable products having water-dispersibility in all areas of the world, including soft and hard water areas. Furthermore, there is a need for water-dispersible binders that do not reduce wettability of product with which they are used and are sprayable for easy and uniform application to and penetration into products. Finally, there is a need for water-dispersible, flushable wet wipes that are stable during storage and retain a desired level of wet strength during use and are wetted with a wetting composition that is relatively free, or is substantially free, of organic solvents. Such a product is needed at a reasonable cost without compromising product safety and environmental concerns, something that past products have failed to do.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

[0009] The present invention is directed to wet-wipes comprising ion-sensitive polymer formulations, which have been developed to address the above-described problems associated with currently available, ion-sensitive polymers and other polymers described in literature. The ion-sensitive polymer formulations of the present invention have a "trigger property," such that the polymers are insoluble in a wetting composition comprising ions of a particular type and concentration, such as monovalent salt solutions at a concentration from about 0.3% to 10%, but can be soluble when diluted with water, including divalent salt solutions such as hard water with up to 200 ppm (parts per million) calcium and magnesium ions. Unlike some ion-sensitive polymer formulations, which lose dispersibility in hard water because of ion crosslinking by calcium ions, the polymer formulations of the present invention are relatively insensitive to calcium and/or magnesium ions. Consequently, flushable products containing the polymer formulations of the present invention maintain dispersibility in hard water. Furthermore, the ion-sensitive polymer formulations of the present invention can have improved properties of sprayability or reduced high-shear viscosity, improved product wettability or decreased properties of product stiffness and stickiness.

[0010] The polymer formulations are used as a binder material for flushable wet-wipes for personal use such as cleaning or treating skin, make-up removal, nail polish removal, medical care, and also wipes for use in hard surface cleaning, automotive care, including wipes comprising cleaning agents, disinfectants, and the like. The flushable products maintain integrity or wet strength during storage and use, and break apart or disperse after disposal in the toilet when the salt concentration falls below a critical level. Suitable substrates for treatment include tissue, such as creped or uncreped tissue, coform products, hydroentangled webs, airlaid mats, fluff pulp, nonwoven webs, and composites thereof. Methods for producing uncreped tissues and molded three-dimensional tissue webs of use in the present invention can be found in commonly owned U.S. patent application, Serial Number 08/912,906, "Wet Resilient Webs and Disposable Articles Made Therewith," .by F.-J. Chen et al., filed Aug. 15, 1997; U.S. Pat. No. 5,429,686, issued to Chiu et al. on July 4, 1995; U.S. Pat. No. 5,399,412, issued to S.J. Sudall and S.A. Engel on March 21, 1995; U.S. Pat. No. 5,672,248, issued to Wendt et al. on Sept. 30, 1997; and U.S. Pat. No. 5,607,551, issued to Farrington et al. on March 4, 1997. The molded tissue structures of the above patents can be especially helpful in providing good cleaning in a wet wipe. Good cleaning can also be promoted by providing a degree of texture in other substrates as well by embossing, molding, wetting and through-air drying on a textured fabric, and the like.

[0011] Airlaid material can be formed by metering an airflow containing the fibers and other optional materials, in substantially dry condition, onto a typically horizontally moving wire forming screen. Suitable systems and apparatus for air-laying mixtures of fibers and thermoplastic material are disclosed in, for example, U.S. Pat. No. 4,157,724 (Person), issued Jun. 12, 1979, and reissued Dec. 25, 1984 as Re. U.S. Pat. No. 31,775; U.S. Pat. No. 4,278,113 (Persson), issued Jul. 14, 1981; U.S. Pat. No. 4,264,289 (Day), issued Apr. 28, 1981; U.S. Pat. No. 4,352,649 (Jacobsen et al.), issued Oct. 5, 1982; U.S. Pat. No. 4,353;687 (Hosler, et al.), issued Oct. 12, 1982; U.S. Pat. No. 4,494,278 (Kroyer, et al.), issued Jan. 22, 1985; U.S. Pat. No. 4,627,806 (Johnson), issued Dec. 9, 1986; U.S. Pat. No. 4,650,409 (Nistri, et al.), issued Mar. 17, 1987; and U.S. Pat. No. 4,724,980 (Farley), issued Feb. 16, 1988; and U.S. Pat. No. 4,640,810 (Laursen et al.), issued Feb. 3, 1987.

[0012] The present invention also discloses how to make water-dispersible nonwoven wet wipes, which are stable in fluids having a first ionic composition, such as monovalent ions at a particular concentration substantially greater than is found in typical hard water, using the above-described unique polymer formulations as binder compositions. The resultant nonwovens are flushable and water-dispersible due to the tailored ion sensitivity, which can be triggered regardless of the hardness of water found in toilets throughout the United States and the world. Dispersible products in accordance with the present invention also can have improved properties of softness and flexibility. Such products also have reduced stickiness. In some embodiments, the polymer formulations with which such articles are treated can have improved properties of sprayability, which improves polymer distribution on the product and penetration into the product, in addition to ease of application, which translates into cost savings.

[0013] Wet wipes employing the polymer formulations of the present invention are stable during storage and retain a desired level of wet strength during use and are wetted with a wetting composition or cleaning agent that can be relatively free, or is substantially free, of organic solvents.

[0014] These features and advantages of the present invention will become apparent after a review of the following detailed description of the disclosed embodiments and the appended drawing and claims.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

[0015]

Fig. 1 is a graph that depicts wet strength data for three binder formulations as a function of ionic environment and soak time.

Fig. 2 is a chart showing how wet tensile strength (reported as CDWT in grams per 2.54 cm over a range of soak times) can change over time as a fabric, comprising 68 gsm softwood airlaid webs and ion-sensitive binders, are soaked in solutions comprising calcium ions.

Fig. 3 compares two data sets with Lion SSB-3b product taken from FIG. 2 (labeled as Code 3300) with a sulfonated salt-sensitive binder blended with Dur-O-Set® RB polymer in a 75/25 ratio.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE DISCLOSED EMBODIMENTS

[0016] In order to be an effective ion-sensitive formulations suitable for use in flushable or water-dispersible personal care products, the formulations should desirably be (1) functional; *i.e.*, maintain wet strength under controlled conditions and dissolve or disperse rapidly in soft or hard water such as found in toilets and sinks around the world; (2) safe (not toxic); and (3) relatively economical. In addition to the foregoing factors, the ion-sensitive formulations when used as a binder composition for a non-woven substrate, such as a wet wipe, desirably should be (4) processable on a commercial basis; *i.e.*, may be applied relatively quickly on a large scale basis, such as by spraying, which thereby requires that the binder composition have a relatively low viscosity at high shear; (5) provide acceptable levels of sheet or substrate wettability; and (6) provide improved product feel, such as improved product flexibility and reduced stickiness. The wetting composition with which the wet wipes of the present invention are treated can provide some of the foregoing advantages, and, in addition, can provide one or more of (7) improved skin care, such as reduced skin irritation or other benefits, (8) improved tactile properties, and (9) promote good cleaning by providing a balance in use between friction and lubricity on the skin (skin glide). The ion-sensitive polymer formulations of the present invention and articles made therewith, especially wet wipes comprising particular wetting compositions set forth below, can meet many or all of the above criteria. Of course, it is not necessary for all of the advantages of the preferred embodiments of the present invention to be met to fall within the scope of the present invention.

[0017] The polymer formulations of the present invention are formed from an ion-sensitive polymer and a co-binder. At least one polymer of the polymer formulations of the present invention is an ion-sensitive polymer. Ion-sensitive polymers are known in the art and include any polymer whose water solubility varies depending on the type and amount of ions present in water. Ion-sensitive polymers useful in the present invention include, but are not limited to,

the sulfonate anion modified acrylic acid terpolymer of the co-pending application 09/223,999 assigned to Kimberly Clark Worldwide, Inc.; the acrylic acid free polymers of the co-pending U.S. patent application Serial No. , filed on May 04, 2000 and entitled "Ion-Sensitive Hard Water Dispersible Polymers and Applications Therefor" (identified as KC No. 15851; J&A No. 11302-0481; Express Mail Label No. EL498682165US), also assigned to Kimberly Clark Worldwide, Inc..

Ion-Sensitive Polymers

[0018] The ion-sensitive polymers of the above-referenced co-pending applications of Kimberly-Clark Worldwide, Inc. are useful in the present invention. The sulfonate anion modified acrylic acid terpolymer of co-pending patent application 09/223,999, assigned to Kimberly-Clark Worldwide, Inc., are desired because, unlike the Lion Corp. polymers and other polymers cited in technical literature, the polymers of the co-pending application 09/223,999 are soluble in water having from less than about 10 ppm Ca^{2+} and/or Mg^{2+} up to about 200 ppm Ca^{2+} and/or Mg^{2+}. The polymers of the co-pending application are formulated to minimize the potentially strong interaction between the anions of the polymers and the cations in the water. This strong interaction can be explained via the hard-soft acid-base theory proposed by R.G. Pearson in the Journal of the American Chemical Society, vol. 85, pg. 3533 (1963); or N. S. Isaacs in the textbook, Physical Organic Chemistry, published by Longman Scientific and Technical with John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York (1987). Hard anions and hard cations interact strongly with one another. Soft anions and soft cations also interact strongly with one another. However, soft anions and hard cations, and vice-versa, interact weakly with one another. In the Lion polymers, the carboxylate anion of the sodium acrylate is a hard anion, which interacts strongly with the hard cations, Ca^{2+} and/or Mg^{2+}, present in moderately hard and hard water. By replacing the carboxylate anions with a softer anion, such as a sulfonate anion, the interaction between the anions of an ion-triggerable polymer and the hard cations, Ca^{2+} and/or Mg^{2+}, present in moderately hard and hard water, is reduced.

[0019] As used herein, the term "soft water" refers to water having a divalent ion content of less than about 10 ppm. As used herein, the term "moderately hard water" refers to water having a divalent ion content of from about 10 to about 50 ppm. As used herein, the term "hard water" refers to water having a divalent ion content of more than about 50 ppm up to about 200 ppm. By controlling the hydrophobic/hydrophilic balance and the composition of the polymers as well as the combination of polymers forming the formulation, the ion-sensitive polymer formulations having desired in-use binding strength and water-dispersibility in water are produced. The ion-sensitive polymer can be a copolymer, such as a terpolymer.

[0020] Ion-serisitive acrylic acid copolymers may comprise any combination of acrylic acid monomers and acrylic ester (alkyl acrylate) monomers capable of free radical polymerization into a copolymer and, specifically, a terpolymer. Suitable acrylic acid monomers include, but are not limited to, acrylic acid and methacrylic acid. Suitable acrylic monomers include, but are not limited to, acrylic esters and methacrylic esters having an alkyl group of 1 to 18 carbon atoms or a cycloalkyl group of 3 to 18 carbon atoms and it is preferred that acrylic esters and/or methacrylic esters having a alkyl group of 1 to 12 carbon atoms or a cycloalkyl group of 3 to 12 carbon atoms be used singly or in combination. Other suitable monomers include, but are not limited to, acrylamide and methacrylamide based monomers, such as acrylamide, N,N-dimethyl acrylamide, N-ethyl acrylamide, N-isopropyl acrylamide, and hydroxymethyl acrylamide; N-vinylpyrrolidinone; N-vinylforamide; hydroxyalkyl acrylates and hydroxyalkyl methacrylates, such as hydroxyethyl methacrylate and hydroxyethyl acrylate. Other suitable acrylic acid monomers and acrylic ester monomers are disclosed in U.S. Patent No. 5,317,063, assigned to Lion Corporation, Tokyo, Japan.

[0021] A particularly preferred acrylic acid terpolymer is LION SSB-3b, available from Lion Corporation. (In alternative embodiments, the ion-sensitive polymer is formed from monomers other than acrylic acid or its derivatives, or is relatively free of acrylic acid, methacrylic acid, and salts thereof.)

[0022] The relative amounts of the monomers in the acrylic acid copolymers may vary depending on the desired properties in the resulting polymer. The mole percent of acrylic acid monomer in the copolymer is up to about 70 mole percent. More specifically, the mole percent of acrylic acid monomer in the copolymer is from about 15 to about 50 mole percent: Most specifically, the mole percent of acrylic acid monomer in the copolymer is from about 25 to about 40 mole percent.

[0023] More specifically, examples of the acrylic acid copolymers include copolymers of 10 weight percent to 90 weight percent, desirably 20 weight percent to 70 weight percent of acrylic acid and/or methacrylic acid and 90 weight percent to 10 weight percent, desirably 80 weight percent to 30 weight percent of acrylic esters and/or methacrylic esters having an alkyl group of 1 to 18 carbon atoms or a cycloalkyl group of 3 to 18 carbon atoms in which 1 to 60 mole percent, desirably 5 to 50 mole percent of acrylic acid and/or methacrylic acid is neutralized to form a salt; or copolymers of 30 weight percent to 75 weight percent, desirably 40 weight percent to 65 weight percent of acrylic acid, 5 weight percent to 30 weight percent, desirably 10 weight percent to 25 weight percent of acrylic esters and/or methacrylic esters having an alkyl group of 8 to 12 carbon atoms and 20 weight percent to 40 weight percent; desirably 25 weight percent to 35 weight percent of acrylic esters and/or methacrylic esters having an alkyl group of 2 to 4 carbon atoms in which 1 to 50 mole percent, desirably 2 to 40 mole percent of acrylic acid is neutralized to form a salt.

[0024] The acrylic acid copolymers may have an average molecular weight, which varies depending on the ultimate use of the polymer. The acrylic acid copolymers of the present invention have a weight average molecular weight ranging from about 10,000 to about 5,000,000. More specifically, the acrylic acid copolymers of the present invention have a weight average molecular weight ranging from about 25,000 to about 2,000,000, or, more specifically still, from about 200,000 to about 1,000,000.

[0025] The acrylic acid copolymers may be prepared according to a variety of polymerization methods, desirably a solution polymerization method. Suitable solvents for the polymerization method include, but are not limited to, lower alcohols such as methanol, ethanol and propanol; a mixed solvent of water and one or more lower alcohols mentioned above; and a mixed solvent of water and one or more lower ketones such as acetone or methyl ethyl ketone.

[0026] In the polymerization methods of the present invention, any polymerization initiator may be used. Selection of a particular initiator may depend on a number of factors including, but not limited to, the polymerization temperature, the solvent, and the monomers used. Suitable polymerization initiators for use in the present invention include, but are not limited to, 2,2'-azobisisobutyronitrile, 2,2'-azobis(2-methylbutyronitrile), 2,2'-azobis(2,4-dimethylvaleronitrile), 2,2'-azobis(2-amidinopropane)dihydrochloride, 2,2'-azobis(N,N'-dimethyleneisobutylamidine), potassium persulfate, ammonium persulfate, and aqueous hydrogen peroxide. The amount of polymerization initiator may desirably range from about 0.01 to 5 weight percent based on the total weight of monomer present.

[0027] The polymerization temperature may vary depending on the polymerization solvent, monomers, and initiator used, but in general, ranges from about 20°C to about 90°C. Polymerization time generally ranges from about 2 to about 8 hours.

[0028] The sulfonate anion modified acrylic acid copolymers in accordance with the present invention include hydrophilic monomers, such as acrylic acid or methacrylic acid, incorporated into acrylic acid copolymers along with one or more sulfonate-containing monomers. The sulfonate anions of these monomers are softer than carboxylate anions since the negative charge of the sulfonate anion is delocalized over three oxygen atoms and a larger sulfur atom, as opposed to only two oxygen atoms and a smaller carbon atom in the carboxylate anion. These monomers, containing the softer sulfonate anion, are less interactive with multivalent ions present in hard water, particularly Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+} ions. Suitable sulfonate-containing monomers include, but are not limited to, 2-acrylamido-2-methyl-1-propanesulfonic acid (AMPS) and organic or inorganic salts of 2-acrylamido-2-methyl-1-propanesulfonic acid, such as alkali earth metal and organic amine salts of 2-acrylamido-2-methyl-1-propanesulfonic acid, particularly the sodium salt of 2-acrylamido-2-methyl-1-propanesulfonic acid (NaAMPS). Additional suitable sulfonate-containing monomers include, but are not limited to, 2-methyl-2-propene sulfonic acid, vinyl sulfonic acid, styrene sulfonic acid, 2-sulfopropyl methacrylate and 3-sulfopropyl acrylate, and organic or inorganic salts thereof, such as alkali earth metals and organic amine salts, such as alkyl ammonium hydroxide

wherein the alkyl groups are C_1-C_{18}. To maintain the hydrophobic/hydrophilic balance of the ion-sensitive polymer, one or more hydrophobic monomers are added to the polymer.

[0029] The ion-sensitive sulfonate anion modified acrylic acid copolymers of the present invention may be produced from monomers including the following monomers: acrylic acid, methacrylic acid, or a combination thereof; 2-acrylamido-2-methyl-1-propanesulfonic acid (AMPS) and organic or inorganic salts thereof, such as the sodium salt thereof (NaAMPS); butyl acrylate; and 2-ethylhexyl acrylate. Desirably, the ion-sensitive sulfonate anion modified acrylic acid copolymers of the present invention are produced from: acrylic acid; AMPS, NaAMPS or a combination thereof; butyl acrylate; and 2-ethylhexyl acrylate. Desirably, the monomers are present in the sulfonate anion modified acrylic acid copolymer at the following mole percents: acrylic acid, about 35 to less than 80 mole percent; AMPS or NaAMPS, greater than 0 to about 20 mole percent; butyl acrylate, from greater than 0 to about 65 mole percent; and 2-ethylhexyl acrylate, from greater than 0 to about 45 mole percent. More specifically, the monomers are present in the sulfonate anion modified acrylic acid copolymer at the following mole percents: acrylic acid, about 50 to about 67 mole percent; AMPS or NaAMPS, from greater than 0 to about 10 mole percent; butyl acrylate, from about 15 to about 28 mole percent; and 2-ethylhexyl acrylate, from about 7 to about 15 mole percent. Most specifically, the monomers are present in the sulfonate anion modified acrylic acid copolymer at the following mole percents: acrylic acid, about 57 to about 66 mole percent; AMPS or NaAMPS, from about 1 to about 6 mole percent; butyl acrylate, from about 15 to about 28 mole percent; and 2-ethylhexyl acrylate, from about 7 to about 13 mole percent; especially, about 60 mole percent acrylic acid, about 5 mole percent AMPS or NaAMPS, about 24.5 mole percent butyl acrylate and about 10.5 mole percent 2-ethylhexyl acrylate.

[0030] If AMPS is used as one of the monomers, it is desired to neutralize at least a portion of the acid component. Any inorganic base or organic base may be used as a neutralizing agent to neutralize the acid component. Examples of neutralizing agents include, but are not limited to, inorganic bases, such as sodium hydroxide, potassium hydroxide, lithium hydroxide and sodium carbonate, and amines, such as monoethanolamine, diethanolamine, diethylaminoethanol, ammonia, trimethylamine, triethylamine, tripropylamine, morpholine. Preferred neutralizing agents include sodium hydroxide, potassium hydroxide, or a combination thereof.

[0031] A sulfonate modified copolymer having salt-sensitivity may also be produced by sulfonation of an existing polymer, such as a copolymer or acrylic acid-derived terpolymer. Methods of sulfonating polymers are well known in the

art. Methods for the production of sulfonated or sulfated polymers are disclosed in U.S. Pat. No. 3,624,069, issued Nov. 1971 to Schwelger; U.S. Pat. No. 4,419,403, issued Dec. 6, 1983 to Varona; U.S. Pat. No. 5,522,967, issued Jun. 4, 1996 to Shet; U.S. Pat. No. 4,220,739, issued Sep. 2, 1980 to Walles, U.S. Pat. No. 5,783,200, issued Jul. 21, 1998 to Motley et al., as well as the following patents: U.S. Pat. Nos. 2,400,720; 2,937,066; 2,786,780; 2,832,696; 3,613,957, and 3,740,258.

[0032] Principles for sulfation and sulfonation (*e.g.*, via sulfamic acid treatment , reaction with thionyl chloride or chlorosulfonic acid, or exposure to sulfur trioxide) are among the pathways disclosed by Samuel Shore and D.R. Berger in "Alcohol and Ether Alcohol Sulfates," in Anionic Surfactants, Part 1, ed. Warner M. Linfield, New York: Marcel Dekker, Inc., 1976, pp. 135-149; and by Ben E. Edwards, "The Mechanisms of Sulfonation and Sulfation," in Anionic Surfactants, Part 1, ed. Warner M. Linfield, New York: Marcel Dekker, Inc., 1976, pp. 111-134.

[0033] The above-described ion-sensitive polymer formulations are used as binder materials for flushable and/or non-flushable products. In order to be effective as a binder material in flushable products throughout the United States, the ion-sensitive polymer formulations of the present invention remain stable -and maintain their integrity while dry or in relatively low concentrations of monovalent ions, but become soluble in water containing up to about 200 ppm divalent ions, especially calcium and magnesium ions.

[0034] In one embodiment, the ion-sensitive polymer formulations of the present invention including sulfonate anion modified acrylic acid copolymers are insoluble in a salt solution containing at least about 1 weight percent of one or more inorganic and/or organic salts containing monovalent ions. More desirably, the ion-sensitive polymer formulations of the present invention including sulfonate anion modified acrylic acid terpolymers are insoluble in a salt solution containing from about 1 weight percent to about 5.0 weight percent of one or more inorganic and/or organic salts containing monovalent ions. Even more desirably, the ion-sensitive polymer formulations of the present invention including sulfonate anion modified acrylic acid terpolymers are insoluble in salt solutions containing from about 1 weight percent to about 3.0 weight percent of one or more inorganic and/or organic salts containing monovalent ions. Suitable monovalent ions include, but are not limited to, Na^+ ions, K^+ ions, Li^+ ions, NH_4^+ ions, low molecular weight quaternary ammonium compounds (*e.g.*, those having fewer than 5 carbons on any side group), and a combination thereof.

[0035] Based on a recent study conducted by the American Chemical Society, water hardness across the United States varies greatly, with $CaCO_3$ concentration ranging from near zero for soft water to about 500 ppm $CaCO_3$ (about 200 ppm Ca^{2+} ion) for very hard water. To ensure polymer formulation dispersibility across the country (and throughout the whole world), the ion-sensitive polymer formulations of the present invention are desirably soluble in water containing up to about 50 ppm Ca^{2+} and/or Mg^{2+} ions. More desirably, the ion-sensitive polymer formulations of the present invention are soluble in water containing up to about 100 ppm Ca^{2+} and/or Mg^{2+} ions. Even more desirably, the ion-sensitive polymer formulations of the present invention are soluble in water containing up to about 150 ppm Ca^{2+} and/or Mg^{2+} ions. Even more desirably, the ion-sensitive polymer formulations of the present invention are soluble in water containing up to about 200 ppm Ca^{2+} and/or Mg^{2+} ions.

Co-binder Polymers

[0036] As stated above, the polymer formulations of the present invention are formed from a combination of two or more different polymers, wherein at least one polymer is an ion-sensitive polymer. The second polymer is a co-binder polymer. A co-binder polymer is of a type and in an amount such that when combined with the ion-sensitive polymer, the co-binder polymer desirably is largely dispersed in the ion-sensitive polymer; *i.e.*, the ion-sensitive polymer is desirably the continuous phase and the co-binder polymer is desirably the discontinuous phase. Desirably, the co-binder polymer can also meet several additional criteria. For example, the co-binder polymer can have a glass transition temperature; *i.e.*, T_g, that is lower than the glass transition temperature of the ion-sensitive polymer. Furthermore or alternatively, the co-binder polymer can be insoluble in water, or can reduce the shear viscosity of the ion-sensitive polymer. The co-binder can be present at a level relative to the solids mass of the triggerable polymer of about 45% or less, specifically about 30% or less, more specifically about 20% or less, more specifically still about 15% or less, and most specifically about 10% or less, with exemplary ranges of from about 1% to about 45% or from about 25% to about 35%, as well as from about 1% to about 20% or from about 5% to about 25%. The amount of co-binder present should be low enough, for co-binders with the potential to form water insoluble bonds or films, that the co-binder remains a discontinuous phase unable to create enough crosslinked, or insoluble bonds, to jeopardize the dispersibility of the treated substrate. In one embodiment, the ion-sensitive polymer formulation of the present invention can comprise about 75 weight percent acrylic acid terpolymer and about 25 weight percent poly(ethylenevinyl acetate) co-binder.

[0037] Desirably, but not necessarily, the co-binder polymer when combined with the ion-sensitive polymer will reduce the shear viscosity of the ion-sensitive polymer to such an extent that the combination of the ion-sensitive polymer and the co-binder polymer is sprayable. By sprayable is mean that the polymer can be applied to a nonwoven fibrous substrate by spraying and the distribution of the polymer across the substrate and the penetration of the polymer into the substrate are such that the polymer formulation is uniformly applied to the substrate.

[0038] The co-binder polymer can be in the form of an emulsion latex.
The surfactant system used in such a latex emulsion should be such that it does not substantially interfere with the dispersibility of the ion-sensitive polymer.

[0039] In some embodiments, the combination of the ion-sensitive polymer and the co-binder polymer reduces the stiffness of the article to which it is applied compared to the article with just the ion-sensitive polymer. It has been found that when the ion-sensitive polymer, such as a sulfonate anion modified acrylic acid terpolymer, is applied to a nonwoven substrate, such as an air laid layer of wood pulp, for the purpose of forming a wet wipe, the nonwoven sheet can have an undesirable amount of stiffness that is detrimental to the dry product feel or to the handling of the dry web during processing, when the brittleness of the dry substrate can harm runnability. By combining the ion-sensitive polymer and the co-binder polymer, the stiffness of such articles can be reduced.

[0040] The co-binder polymer of the present invention can have an average molecular weight, which varies depending on the ultimate use of the polymer. Desirably, the co-binder polymer has a weight average molecular weight ranging from about 500,000 to about 200,000,000. More desirably, the co-binder polymer has a weight average molecular weight ranging from about 500,000 to about 100,000,000.

[0041] Co-binder polymers that can meet many or all of the foregoing criteria are poly(ethylene-vinyl acetate), poly (styrene-butadiene), or poly(styrene-acrylic),
all of which are non-crosslinking (*e.g.*, devoid of N-methylol acrylamide or other crosslinkers).

[0042] A particularly preferred non-crosslinking poly(ethylene-vinyl acetate) is Dur-O-Set® RB available from National Starch and Chemical Co., Bridgewater, NJ. A particularly preferred non-crosslinking poly(styrene-butadiene) is Rovene® 4817 available from Mallard Creek Polymers, Charlotte, NC. A particularly preferred non-crosslinking poly(styrene-acrylic) is Rhoplex® NM 1715K available from Rohm and Haas, Philadelphia, PA.

[0043] When a latex co-binder, or any potentially crosslinkable co-binder is used, the latex should be prevented from forming substantial water-insoluble bonds that bind the fibrous substrate together and interfere with the dispersibility of the article. Thus, the latex can be free of crosslinking agents, such as NMA, or free of catalyst for the crosslinker, or both. Alternatively, an inhibitor can be added that interferes with the crosslinker or with the catalyst such that crosslinking is impaired even when the article is heated to normal crosslinking temperatures. Such inhibitors can include free radical scavengers, methyl hydroquinone, t-butylcatechol, pH control agents such as potassium hydroxide, and the like. For some latex crosslinkers, such as N-methylol-acrylamide (NMA), for example, elevated pH such as a pH of 8 or higher can interfere with crosslinking at normal crosslinking temperatures (e.g., about 130° C or higher). Also alternatively, an article comprising a latex co-binder can be maintained at temperatures below the temperature range at which crosslinking takes place, such that the presence of a crosslinker does not lead to crosslinking, or such that the degree of crosslinking remains sufficiently low that the dispersibility of the article is not jeopardized. Also alternatively, the amount of crosslinkable latex can be kept below a threshold level such that even with crosslinking, the article remains dispersible. For example, a small quantity of crosslinkable latex dispersed as discrete particles in an ion-sensitive binder can permit dispersibility even when fully crosslinked. For the later embodiment, the amount of latex can be below about 20 weight percent, and, more specifically, below about 15 weight percent relative to the ion-sensitive binder.

[0044] Latex compounds, whether crosslinkable or not, need not be the co-binder. SEM micrography of successful ion-sensitive binder films with useful non-crosslinking latex emulsions dispersed therein has shown that the latex co-binder particles can remain as discrete entities in the ion-sensitive binder, possibly serving in part as filler material. It is believed that other materials could serve a similar role, including a dispersed mineral or particulate filler in the ion-sensitive binder, optionally comprising added surfactants/dispersants. For example, in one envisioned embodiment, freeflowing Ganzpearl PS-8F particles from Presperse, Inc. (Piscataway, NJ), a styrene/divinylbenzene copolymer with about 0.4 micron particles, can be dispersed in an ion-sensitive binder at a level of about 2 to 10 weight percent to modify the mechanical, tactile, and optical properties of the ion-sensitive binder. Other filler-like approaches could include microparticles, microspheres, or microbeads of metal, glass, carbon, mineral, quartz, and/or plastic, such as acrylic or phenolic, and hollow particles having inert gaseous atmospheres sealed within their interiors. Examples include EXPANCEL phenolic microspheres from Expancel of Sweden, which expand substantially when heated, or the acrylic microspheres known as PM 6545 available from PQ Corporation of Pennsylvania. Foaming agents, including CO_2 dissolved in the ion-sensitive binder, could also provide helpful discontinuities as gas bubbles in the matrix of an ion-sensitive binder, allowing the dispersed gas phase in the ion-sensitive binder to serve as the co-binder. In general, any compatible material that is not miscible with the binder, especially one with adhesive or binding properties of its own, can be used as the co-binder, if it is not provided in a state that imparts substantial covalent bonds joining fibers in a way that interferes with the water-dispersibility of the product. However, those materials that also provide additional benefits, such as reduced spray viscosity, can be especially preferred. Adhesive co-binders, such as latex that do not contain crosslinkers or contain reduced amounts of crosslinkers, have been found to be especially helpful in providing good results over a wide range of processing conditions, including drying at elevated temperatures.

[0045] As stated above, the T_g of the co-binder polymer can be lower than the T_g of the ion-sensitive polymer, which is believed to improve the flexibility of the treated substrate, especially in the dry state. In Table 1 shown below is a

comparison of the glass transition temperature of some of the preferred polymers useful in the present invention.

Table 1. Glass Transition Temperatures For Select Polymers

Polymer	Glass Transition Temperature - Tg
Sulfonate anion modified acrylic acid terpolymer (dry)	55° C.
Sulfonate anion modified acrylic acid terpolymer (wet)	-22° C.
Rhoplex NW 1715K (dry)	-6° C.
Rovene 4817 (dry)	-4° C.
Elite 33 (dry)	10° C.
Elite 22 (dry)	-15°C.

[0046] In an alternate embodiment, the ion-sensitive polymer formulation of the present invention comprises about 55 to about 95 weight percent sulfonate anion modified acrylic acid terpolymer and about 5 to about 45 weight percent poly(ethylene-vinyl acetate). More desirably, the ion-sensitive polymer formulation of the present invention comprises about 75 weight percent sulfonate anion modified acrylic acid terpolymer and about 25 weight percent poly(ethylene-vinyl acetate).

[0047] As stated above, useful co-binder polymers can include a variety of commercial latex emulsions, including those selected from the Rovene® series (styrene butadiene latices available from Mallard Creek Polymers of Charlotte, N.C.), the Rhoplex® latices of Rohm and Haas Company, and the Elite® latices of National Starch. Polymer emulsions or dispersions generally comprise small polymer particles, such as crosslinkable ethylene vinyl acetate copolymers, typically in spherical form, dispersed in water and stabilized with surface active ingredients such as low molecular weight emulsifiers or high molecular weight protective colloids. These liquid binders can be applied to airlaid webs or other substrates by methods known in the art of binder treatment for nonwoven webs, including spray or foam application, flooded nip impregnation, curtain coating, etc., followed by drying. In general, a wide variety of latex compounds and other resins or emulsions can be considered, including
ethylene-vinyl acetate copolymer emulsions, such as Airflex® ethylene-vinylacetate from Air Products and Chemicals Inc., styrene-butadiene latices, such as 76 RES 4100 and 76 RES 8100 available from Union Oil Chemicals Division, Tylac® resin emulsion 68-412, Tylac® resin emulsion 68-067, 68-319, 68-413, 68-500, 68-501, available from Reichhold Chemical Inc., and DL6672A, DL6663A, DL6638A, DL6626A, DL6620A, DL615A, DL617A, DL620A, DL640A, DL650A available from Dow Chemical Company.

[0048] The co-binder polymer can comprise surface active compounds that improve the wettability of the substrate after application of the binder mixture.

[0049] Wettability of a dry substrate that has been treated with a ion-sensitive polymer formulation can be a problem in some embodiments, because the hydrophobic portions of the ion-sensitive polymer formulation can become selectively oriented toward the air phase during drying, creating a hydrophobic surface that can be difficult to wet when the wetting composition is later applied unless surfactants are added to the wetting composition. Surfactants, or other surface active ingredients, in co-binder polymers can improve the wettability of the dried substrate that has been treated with a ion-sensitive polymer formulation. Surfactants in the co-binder polymer should not significantly interfere with the ion-sensitive polymer formulation. Thus, the binder should maintain good integrity and tactile properties in the premoistened wipes with the surfactant present.

[0050] In one embodiment, an effective co-binder polymer replaces a portion of the ion-sensitive polymer formulation and permits a given strength level to be achieved in a pre-moistened wipe with at least one of lower stiffness, better tactile properties (*e.g.*, lubricity or smoothness), or reduced cost, relative to an otherwise identical pre-moistened wipe lacking the co-binder polymer and comprising the ion-sensitive polymer formulation at a level sufficient to achieve the given tensile strength.

Other Co-binder polymers

[0051] The Dry Emulsion Powder (DEP) binders of Wacker Polymer Systems (Burghausen, Germany) such as the VINNEK® system of binders, can be applied in some embodiments of the present invention. These are redispersible, free flowing binder powders formed from liquid emulsions. Small polymer particles from a dispersion are provided in a protective matrix of water soluble protective colloids in the form of a powder particle. The surface of the powder particle is protected against caking by platelets of mineral crystals. As a result, polymer particles that once were in a liquid dispersion are now available in a free flowing, dry powder form that can be redispersed in water or turned into swollen,

tacky particles by the addition of moisture. These particles can be applied in highloft nonwovens by depositing them with the fibers during the airlaid process, and then later adding 10% to 30% moisture to cause the particles to swell and adhere to the fibers. This can be called the "chewing gum effect," meaning that the dry, non-tacky fibers in the web become sticky like chewing gum once moistened. Good adhesion to polar surfaces and other surfaces is obtained. These binders are available as free flowing particles formed from latex emulsions that have been dried and treated with agents to prevent cohesion in the dry state. They can be entrained in air and deposited with fibers during the airlaid process, or can be applied to a substrate by electrostatic means, by direct contact, by gravity feed devices, and other means. They can be applied apart from the binder, either before or after the binder has been dried. Contact with moisture, either as liquid or steam, rehydrates the latex particles and causes them to swell and to adhere to the fibers. Drying and heating to elevated temperatures (*e.g.*, above 160° C.) causes the binder particles to become crosslinked and water resistant, but drying at lower temperatures (*e.g.*, at 110° C. or less) can result in film formation and a degree of fiber binding without seriously impairing the water dispersibility of the pre-moistened wipes. Thus, it is believed that the commercial product can be used without reducing the amount of crosslinker by controlling the curing of the co-binder polymer, such as limiting the time and temperature of drying to provide a degree of bonding without significant crosslinking.

[0052] As pointed out by Dr. Klaus Kohlhammer in "New Airlaid Binders," Nonwovens Report International, Sept. 1999, issue 342, pp. 20-22, 28-31, dry emulsion binder powders have the advantage that they can easily be incorporated into a nonwoven or airlaid web during formation of the web, as opposed to applying the material to an existing substrate, permitting increased control over placement of the co-binder polymer. Thus, a nonwoven or airlaid web can be prepared already having dry emulsion binders therein, followed by moistening when the ion-sensitive polymer formulation solution is applied, whereupon the dry emulsion powder becomes tacky and contributes to binding of the substrate. Alternatively, the dry emulsion powder can be entrapped in the substrate by a filtration mechanism after the substrate has been treated with ion-sensitive binder and dried, whereupon the dry emulsion powder is rendered tacky upon application of the wetting composition.

[0053] In another embodiment, the dry emulsion powder is dispersed into the ion-sensitive polymer formulation solution either by application of the powder as the ion-sensitive polymer formulation solution is being sprayed onto the web or by adding and dispersing the dry emulsion powder particles into the ion-sensitive polymer formulation solution, after which the mixture is applied to a web by spraying, by foam application methods, or by other techniques known in the art.

Binder Formulations and Fabrics Containing the Same

[0054] The polymer formulations of the present invention are used as binders. The binder formulations of the present invention may be applied to any fibrous substrate. The binders are particularly suitable for use in water-dispersible products. Suitable fibrous substrates include, but are not limited to, nonwoven and woven fabrics. In many embodiments, particularly personal care products, preferred substrates are nonwoven fabrics. As used herein, the term "nonwoven fabric" refers to a fabric that has a structure of individual fibers or filaments randomly arranged in a mat-like fashion (including papers). Nonwoven fabrics can be made from a variety of processes including, but not limited to, air-laid processes, wet-laid processes, hydroentangling processes, stale fiber carding and bonding, and solution spinning.

[0055] The binder composition may be applied to the fibrous substrate by any known process of application. Suitable processes for applying the binder material include, but are not limited to, printing, spraying, electrostatic spraying, coating, flooded nips, metered press rolls, impregnating or by any other technique. The amount of binder composition may be metered and distributed uniformly within the fibrous substrate or may be non-uniformly distributed within the fibrous substrate. The binder composition may be distributed throughout the entire fibrous substrate or it may be distributed within a multiplicity of small closely spaced areas. In most embodiments, uniform distribution of binder composition is desired.

[0056] For ease of application to the fibrous substrate, the binder may be dissolved in water, or in a non-aqueous solvent such as methanol, ethanol, acetone, or the like, with water being the preferred solvent. The amount of binder dissolved in the solvent may vary depending on the polymer used and the fabric application. Desirably; the binder solution contains up to about 25 percent by weight of binder composition solids. More desirably, the binder solution contains from about 10 to 20 percent by weight of binder composition solids, especially about 12 percent by weight binder composition solids. Plasticizers, perfumes, coloring agents, antifoams, bactericides, preservative, surface active agents, thickening agents, fillers, opacifiers, tackifiers, detackifiers, and similar additives can be incorporated into the solution of binder components, if so desired.

[0057] Once the binder composition is applied to the substrate, the substrate is dried by any conventional means. Once dry, the coherent fibrous substrate exhibits improved tensile strength when compared to the tensile strength of the untreated wet-laid or dry-laid substrates, and yet has the ability to rapidly "fall apart", or disintegrate when placed in soft or hard water having a relatively high multivalent ionic concentration and agitated. For example, the dry tensile strength of the fibrous substrate may be increased by at least 25 percent as compared to the dry tensile strength of the untreated substrate not containing the binder. More particularly, the dry tensile strength of the fibrous substrate may be

increase by at least 100 percent as compared to the dry tensile strength of the untreated substrate not containing the binder. Even more particularly, the dry tensile strength of the fibrous substrate may be increased by at least 500 percent as compared to the dry tensile strength of the untreated substrate not containing the binder.

[0058] A desirable feature of the present invention is that the improvement in tensile strength is effected where the amount of binder composition present, "add-on", in the resultant fibrous substrate represents only a small portion by weight of the entire substrate. The amount of "add-on" can vary for a particular application; however, the optimum amount of "add-on" results in a fibrous substrate which has integrity while in use and also quickly disperses when agitated in water. For example, the binder components typically are from about 5 to about 65 percent, by weight, of the total weight of the substrate. More particularly, the binder components may be from about 10 to about 35 percent, by weight, of the total weight of the substrate. Even more particularly, the binder components may be from about 17 to about 22 percent by weight of the total weight of the substrate.

[0059] The nonwoven fabrics of the present invention have good in-use tensile strength, as well as, ion triggerability. Desirably, the nonwoven fabrics of the present invention are abrasion resistant and retain significant tensile strength in aqueous solutions containing greater than about 0.3 weight percent NaCl, or a mixture of monovalent ions, for those formulations using the acrylic acid terpolymer, and greater than about 1 weight percent NaCl, or a mixture of monovalent ions, for those formulations using the sulfonate anion modified acrylic acid terpolymer. Yet, the nonwoven fabrics are dispersible in very soft to moderately hard to hard water. Because of this latter property, nonwoven fabrics of the present invention are well suited for disposable
premoistened wipes (wet wipes), which can be thrown in a flush toilet after use in any part of the world.

[0060] The fibers forming the fabrics above can be made from a variety of materials including natural fibers, synthetic fibers, and combinations thereof. The choice of fibers depends upon, for example, the intended end use of the finished fabric and fiber cost. For instance, suitable fibrous substrates may include, but are not limited to, natural fibers such as cotton, linen, jute, hemp, wool, wood pulp, etc. Similarly, regenerated cellulosic fibers, such as viscose rayon and cuprammonium rayon, modified cellulosic fibers, such as cellulose acetate, or synthetic fibers, such as those derived from polypropylenes, polyethylenes, polyolefins, polyesters, polyamides, polyacrylics, etc., alone or in combination with one another, may likewise be used. Blends of one or more of the above fibers may also be used, if so desired. Among wood pulp fibers, any known papermaking fibers may be used, including softwood and hardwood fibers. Fibers, for example, may be chemically pulped or mechanically pulped, bleached or unbleached, virgin or recycled, high yield or low yield, and the like. Mercerized, chemically stiffened or crosslinked fibers may also be used.

[0061] Synthetic cellulose fiber types include rayon in all its varieties and other fibers derived from viscose or chemically modified cellulose, including regenerated cellulose and solvent-spun cellulose, such as Lyocell.
Chemically treated natural cellulosic fibers can be used, such as mercerized pulps, chemically stiffened or crosslinked fibers, or sulfonated fibers. Recycled fibers, as well as virgin fibers, can be used. Cellulose produced by microbes and other cellulosic derivatives can be used. As used herein, the term "cellulosic" is meant to include any material having cellulose as a major constituent, and, specifically, comprising at least 50 percent by weight cellulose or a cellulose derivative. Thus, the term includes cotton, typical wood pulps, non-woody cellulosic fibers, cellulose acetate, cellulose triacetate, rayon, thermomechanical wood pulp, chemical wood pulp, debonded chemical wood pulp, milkweed, or bacterial cellulose. The fiber length is important in producing the fabrics of the present invention. In some embodiments, such as flushable products, fiber length is of more importance. The minimum length of the fibers depends on the method selected for forming the fibrous substrate. For example, where the fibrous substrate is formed by carding, the length of the fiber should usually be at least about 42 mm in order to insure uniformity. Where the fibrous substrate is formed by air-laid or wet-laid processes, the fiber length may desirably be about 0.2 to 6 mm. Although fibers having a length of greater than 50 mm are within the scope of the present invention, it has been determined that when a substantial quantity of fibers having a length greater than about 15 mm is placed in a flushable fabric, though the fibers will disperse and separate in water, their length tends to form "ropes" of fibers, which are undesirable when flushing in home toilets. Therefore, for these products, it is desired that the fiber length be about 15 mm or less so that the fibers will not have a tendency to "rope" when they are flushed through a toilet. Although fibers of various lengths are applicable in the present invention, desirably fibers are of a length less than about 15 mm so that the fibers disperse easily from one another when in contact with water. The fibers, particularly synthetic fibers, can also be crimped

[0062] The fabrics of the present invention may be formed from a single layer or multiple layers. In the case of multiple layers, the layers are generally positioned in a juxtaposed or surface-to-surface relationship and all or a portion of the layers may be bound to adjacent layers. Nonwoven webs of the present invention may also be formed from a plurality of separate nonwoven webs wherein the separate nonwoven webs may be formed from single or multiple layers. In those instances where the nonwoven web includes multiple layers, the entire thickness of the nonwoven web may be subjected to a binder application or each individual layer may be separately subjected to a binder application and then combined with other layers in a juxtaposed relationship to form the finished nonwoven web.

[0063] The binder formulations of the present invention are particularly useful for binding fibers of air-laid nonwoven fabrics.

[0064] Air-laid materials are particularly useful for use as a pre-moistened wipe (wet wipe). The basis weights for air-laid non-woven fabrics may range from about 20 to about 200 grams per square meter ("gsm") with staple fibers having a denier of about 0.5-10 and a length of about 6-15 millimeters.

[0065] Wipes generally can have a fiber density of about 0.025 g/cc to about 0.2 g/cc and a basis weight of about 20 gsm to about 150 gsm; specifically from about 30 to about 90 gsm, and most specifically from about 60 gsm to about 65 gsm.

[0066] In one embodiment of the present invention, the in-use tensile strength of a nonwoven fabric is enhanced by forming the nonwoven fabric with a binder material comprising an ion-sensitive polymer formulation of the present invention and subsequently applying one or more monovalent and/or multivalent salts to the nonwoven fabric. The salt may be applied to the nonwoven fabric by any method known to those of ordinary skill in the art including, but not limited to, applying a solid powder onto the fabric and spraying a salt solution onto the fabric. The amount of salt may vary depending on a particular application. However, the amount of salt applied to the fabric is typically from about 0.1 weight percent to about 10 weight percent salt solids based on the total weight of the fabric.

[0067] The combination of the sulfonate anion modified acrylic acid terpolymer and the non-crosslinking poly(ethylene-vinyl acetate) of the present invention produces improved results over the use of the terpolymer alone. For example, when the ion-sensitive polymer formulation of the present invention is used for a binder composition for wet wipes, the wet wipes have improved wettability on first insult without losing dispersibility which allows the wipe basesheet to wet out easily with the wet wipe solution at commercial speeds. The ion-sensitive polymer formulation of the present invention also can reduce the stiffness of the dry basesheet, improve the runnability of the dry and otherwise brittle sheet during further conversion of the product, reduce the stickiness of the wipes and/or improve the sprayability of the ion-sensitive binder, thereby improving binder distribution and penetration in the basesheet.

[0068] Unlike other binder systems known in the art, the ion-sensitive polymer formulations of the present invention can be activated as binders without the need for elevated temperature. While drying or water removal is useful in achieving a good distribution of the binder in a fibrous web, elevated temperature, per se, is not essential because the binder does not require crosslinking or other chemical reactions with high activation energy to serve as a binder. Rather, the interaction with a soluble activating compound, typically a salt, is sufficient to cause the binder to become active (insoluble) or "salted out." Thus, a drying step can be avoided, if desired, or replaced with low-temperature water removal operations such as room-temperature drying or freeze drying. Elevated temperature is generally helpful for drying, but the drying can be done at temperatures below what is normally needed to drive crosslinking reactions. Thus, the peak temperature to which the substrate is exposed or to which the substrate is brought can be below any of the following: 180° C., 160° C., 140° C., 120° C., 110° C., 105° C., 100° C., 90° C., 75° C., and 60° C., with an exemplary range for peak web temperature of from about 50° C. to about 110° C., or from about 70° C. to about 140° C. Of course, higher temperatures can be used, but are not necessary in most embodiments. While co-binder polymer systems, such as commercial latex emulsions, may also comprise crosslinkers suited for reaction at temperatures of 160° C. or higher, maintaining a lower peak temperature can be beneficial in preventing development of excessive strength in the co-binder polymer that might otherwise hinder the water dispersibility of the pre-moistened wipe.

Wet Wipe Wetting Composition and Wet Wipes Containing the Same

[0069] One particularly interesting embodiment of the present invention is the production of pre-moistened wipes, or wet wipes, from the above-described ion-sensitive binder compositions and fibrous materials. For wipes, the fibrous material may be in the form of a woven or nonwoven fabric; however, nonwoven fabrics are more desirable. The nonwoven fabric is, desirably, formed from relatively short fibers, such as wood pulp fibers. The minimum length of the fibers depends on the method selected for forming the nonwoven fabric. Where the nonwoven fabric is formed by a wet or dry method, the fiber length is desirably from about 0.1 millimeters to 15 millimeters. Desirably, the nonwoven fabric of the present invention has a relatively low wet cohesive strength when it is not bonded together by an adhesive or binder material. When such nonwoven fabrics are bonded together by a binder composition, which loses its bonding strength in tap water and in sewer water, the fabric will break up readily by the agitation provided by flushing and moving through the sewer pipes.

[0070] The finished wipes may be individually packaged, desirably in a folded condition, in a moisture proof envelope or packaged in containers holding any desired number of sheets in a water-tight package with a wetting composition applied to the wipe. The finished wipes may also be packaged as a roll of separable sheets in a moisture-proof container holding any desired number of sheets on the roll with a wetting composition applied to the wipes. The roll can be coreless and either hollow or solid. Coreless rolls, including rolls with a hollow center or without a solid center, can be produced with known coreless roll winders, including those of SRP Industry, Inc. (San Jose, CA); Shimizu Manufacturing (Japan), and the devices disclosed in U.S. Pat. No. 4,667,890, issued May 26, 1987 to Gietman. Solid-wound coreless rolls can offer more product for a given volume and can be adapted for a wide variety of dispensers.

[0071] Relative to the weight of the dry fabric, the wipe may desirably contain from about 10 percent to about 400

percent of the wetting composition, more desirably from about 100 percent to about 300 percent of the wetting composition, and even more desirably from about 180 percent to about 240 percent of the wetting composition. The wipe maintains its desired characteristics over the time periods involved in warehousing, transportation, retail display and storage by the consumer. Accordingly, shelf life may range from two months to two years.

[0072] Various forms of impermeable envelopes and storage means for containing wet-packaged materials such as wipes and towelettes and the like are well known in the art. Any of these may be employed in packaging the pre-moistened wipes of the present invention.

[0073] Desirably, the pre-moistened wipes of the present invention are wetted with an aqueous wetting composition, which has one or more of the following properties:

(1) is compatible with the above-described ion-sensitive binder compositions of the present invention;
(2) enables the pre-moistened wipe to maintain its wet strength during converting, storage and usage (including dispensing), as well as, dispersibility in a toilet bowl;
(3) does not cause skin irritation;
(4) reduces tackiness of the wipe, and provides unique tactile properties, such as skin glide and a "lotion-like feel"; and
(5) acts as a vehicle to deliver "moist cleansing" and other skin health benefits.

[0074] The wetting composition should not act as a solvent for the binder and generally does not contain solvents other than water, and particularly does not contain organic solvents, though a small quantity (<1%) of a fragrance solubilizer such as polysorbate 20 may be present, depending on the fragrance and the salt concentration of the wetting composition. Desirably, the wetting composition contains less than about 10 weight percent of organic solvents, such as propylene glycol or other glycols, polyhydroxy alcohols, and the like, based on the total weight of the wetting composition. More desirably, the wetting composition contains less than about 4 weight percent of organic solvents. Even more desirably, the wetting composition contains less than about 1 weight percent of organic solvents. The wetting composition can be substantially free of organic solvents.

[0075] One aspect of the present invention is a wetting composition, which contains an activating compound that maintains the strength of a water-dispersible binder until the activating compound is diluted with water, whereupon the strength of the water-dispersible binder begins to decay. The water-dispersible binder may be any of the ion-sensitive binder compositions of the present invention or any other ion-sensitive binder composition. The activating compound in the wetting composition can be a salt, such as sodium chloride, or any other compound, which provides in-use and storage strength to the water-dispersible binder composition, and can be diluted in water to permit dispersion of the substrate as the binder polymer triggers to a weaker state. Desirably, the wetting composition contains less than about 10 weight percent of an activating compound based on the total weight of the wetting composition. Specifically, the wetting composition may contain from about 0.3 weight percent to about 5 weight percent of an activating compound. Even more specifically, the wetting composition may contain from about 2 weight percent to about 4 weight percent of an activating compound.

[0076] The wetting composition of the present invention may further comprise a variety of additives compatible with the activating compound and the water-dispersible binder, such that the strength and dispersibility functions of the wipe are not jeopardized. Suitable additives in the wetting composition include, but are not limited to, the following additives: skin-care additives; odor control agents; detackifying agents to reduce the tackiness of the binder; particulates; antimicrobial agents; preservatives; wetting agents and cleaning agents such as detergents, surfactants, and some silicones; emollients; surface feel modifiers for improved tactile sensation (e.g., lubricity) on the skin; fragrance; fragrance solubilizers; opacifiers; fluorescent whitening agents; UV absorbers; pharmaceuticals; and pH control agents, such as malic acid or potassium hydroxide.

Skin-Care Additives

[0077] As used herein, the term "skin-care additives" represents additives, which provide one or more benefits to the user, such as a reduction in the probability of having diaper rash and/or other skin damage caused by fecal enzymes. These enzymes, particularly trypsin, chymotrypsin and elastase, are proteolytic enzymes produced in the gastrointestinal tract to digest food. In infants, for example, the feces tend to be watery and contain, among other materials, bacteria, and some amounts of undegraded digestive enzymes. These enzymes, if they remain in contact with the skin for any appreciable period of time, have been found to cause an irritation that is uncomfortable in itself and can predispose the skin to infection by microorganisms. As a countermeasure, skin-care additives include, but are not limited to, the enzyme inhibitors and sequestrants set forth hereafter. The wetting composition may contain less than about 5 weight percent of skin-care additives based on the total weight of the wetting composition. More specifically, the wetting composition may contain from about 0.01 weight percent to about 2 weight percent of skin-care additives. Even more specifically, the wetting composition may contain from about 0.01 weight percent to about 0.05 weight percent of skin-care additives.

[0078] A variety of skin-care additives may be added to the wetting composition and the pre-moistened wipes of the present invention or included therein. In one embodiment of the present invention, skin-care additives in the form of particles are added to serve as fecal enzyme inhibitors, offering potential benefits in the reduction of diaper rash and skin damage caused by fecal enzymes. U.S. Pat. No. 6,051,749, issued April 18, 2000 to Schulz et al., discloses organophilic clays in a woven or nonwoven web, said to be useful for inhibiting fecal enzymes. Such materials may be used in the present invention, including reaction products of a long chain organic quaternary ammonium compound with one or more of the following clays: montmorillonite, bentonite, beidellite, hectorite, saponite, and stevensite.

[0079] Other known enzyme inhibitors and sequestrants may be used as skin-care additives in the wetting composition of the present invention, including those that inhibit trypsin and other digestive or fecal enzymes, and inhibitors for urease. For example, enzyme inhibitors and anti-microbial agents may be used to prevent the formation of odors in body fluids. For example, urease inhibitors, which are also said to play a role in odor absorption, are disclose by T. Trinh in World Patent Application No. 98/26808, "Absorbent Articles with Odor Control System," published June 25, 1998. Such inhibitors may be incorporated into the wetting composition and the premoistened wipes of the present invention and include transition metal ions and their soluble salts, such as silver, copper, zinc, ferric, and aluminum salts. The anion may also provide urease inhibition, such as borate, phytate, etc. Compounds of potential value include, but are not limited to, silver chlorate, silver nitrate, mercury acetate, mercury chloride, mercury nitrate, copper metaborate, copper bromate, copper bromide, copper chloride, copper dichromate, copper nitrate, copper salicylate, copper sulfate, zinc acetate, zinc borate, zinc phytate, zinc bromate, zinc bromide, zinc chlorate, zinc chloride, zinc sulfate, cadmium acetate, cadmium borate, cadmium bromide, cadmium chlorate, cadmium chloride, cadmium formate, cadmium iodate, cadmium iodide, cadmium permanganate, cadmium nitrate, cadmium sulfate, and gold chloride.

[0080] Other salts that have been disclosed as having urease inhibition properties include ferric and aluminum salts, especially the nitrates, and bismuth salts. Other urease inhibitors are disclosed by Trinh, including hydroxamic acid and its derivatives; thiourea; hydroxylamine; salts of phytic acid; extracts of plants of various species, including various tannins, e.g. carob tannin, and their derivatives such as chlorogenic acid derivatives; naturally occurring acids such as ascorbic acid, citric acid, and their salts; phenyl phosphoro diamidate/diamino phosphoric acid phenyl ester; metal aryl phosphoramidate complexes, including substituted phosphorodiamidate compounds; phosphoramidates without substitution on the nitrogen; boric acid and/or its salts, including especially, borax, and/or organic boron acid compounds; the compounds disclosed in European Patent Application 408,199; sodium, copper, manganese, and/or zinc dithiocarbamate; quinones; phenols; thiurams; substituted rhodanine acetic acids; alkylated benzoquinones; formarnidine disulphide; 1:3-diketones maleic anhydride; succinamide; phthalic anhydride; pehenic acid; /N,N-dihalo-2-imidazolidinones; N-halo2-oxazolidinones; thio- and/or acylphosphoryltnamide and/or substituted derivatives thereof-, thiopyridine-N-oxides, thiopyridines, and thiopyrimidines; oxidized sulfur derivatives of diaminophosphinyl compounds; cyclotriphosphazatriene derivatives; orthodiaminophosphinyl derivatives of oximes; bromo-nitro compounds; S-aryl and/or alkyl diamidophosphorothiolates; diaminophosphinyl derivatives; mono- and/or polyphosphorodiamide; 5-substituted-benzoxathiol-2-ones; N(diaminophosphinyl)arylcarboxamides; alkoxy-1,2-benzothaizin compounds; etc.

[0081] Many other skin-care additives may be incorporated into the wetting composition and pre-moistened wipes of the present invention, including, but not limited to, sun blocking agents and UV absorbers, acne treatments, pharmaceuticals, baking soda (including encapsulated forms thereof), vitamins and their derivatives such as Vitamins A or E, botanicals such as witch hazel extract and aloe vera, allantoin, emollients, disinfectants, hydroxy acids for wrinkle control or anti-aging effects, sunscreens, tanning promoters, skin lighteners, deodorants and anti-perspirants, ceramides for skin benefits and other uses, astringents, moisturizers, nail polish removers, insect repellants, antioxidants, antiseptics, anti-inflammatory agents and the like, provided that the additives are compatible with an ion-sensitive binder composition associated therewith, and especially the ion-sensitive binder compositions of the present invention (*i.e.*, they do not cause a substantial loss of strength in the wet state of the pre-moistened wipes, prior to dilution in water, while permitting dispersibility in water).

[0082] Useful materials for skin care and other benefits are listed in McCutcheon's 1999, Vol. 2: Functional Materials, MC Publishing Company, Glen Rock, NJ. Many useful botanicals for skin care are provided by Active Organics, Lewisville, Texas.

Odor Control Additives

[0083] Suitable odor control additives for use in the wetting composition and pre-moistened wipes of the present invention include, but are not limited to, zinc salts; talc powder; encapsulated perfumes (including microcapsules, macrocapsules, and perfume encapsulated in liposomes, vessicles, or microemulsions); chelants, such as ethylenediamine tetra-acetic acid; zeolites; activated silica, activated carbon granules or fibers; activated silica particulates; polycarboxylic acids, such as citric acid; cyclodextrins and cyclodextrin derivatives; chitosan or chitin and derivatives thereof; oxidizing agents; antimicrobial agents, including silver-loaded zeolites (e.g., those of BF Technologies, located in Beverly, Massachusetts, sold under the trademark HEALTHSHIELD™); triclosan; kieselguhr; and mixtures thereof. In addition to

controlling odor from the body or body wastes, odor control strategies can also be employed to mask or control any odor of the treated substrate. Desirably, the wetting composition contains less than about 5 weight percent of odor control additives based on the total weight of the wetting composition. More desirably, the wetting composition contains from about 0.01 weight percent to about 2 weight percent of odor control additives. Even more desirably, the wetting composition contains from about 0.03 weight percent to about 1 weight percent of odor control additives.

[0084] In one embodiment of the present invention, the wetting composition and/or pre-moistened wipes comprise derivatized cyclodextrins, such as hydroxypropyl beta-cyclodextrin in solution, which remain on the skin after wiping and provide an odor-absorbing layer. In other embodiments, the odor source is removed or neutralized by application of an odor-control additive, exemplified by the action of a chelant that binds metal groups necessary for the function of many proteases and other enzymes that commonly produce an odor. Chelating the metal group interferes with the enzyme's action and decreases the risk of malodor in the product.

[0085] Principles for the application of chitosan or chitin derivatives to nonwoven webs and cellulosic fibers are described by S. Lee et al. in "Antimicrobial and Blood Repellent Finishes for Cotton and Nonwoven Fabrics Based on Chitosan and Fluoropolymers," Textile Research Journal, 69(2); 104-112, Feb. 1999.

Detackifying Agents

[0086] While elevated salt concentrations may reduce the tack of the ion-sensitive binder, other means of tack reduction are often desirable. Thus, detackifying agents may be used in the wetting composition to reduce the tackiness, if any, of the ion-sensitive binder. Suitable detackifiers include any substance known in the art to reduce tack between two adjacent fibrous sheets treated with an adhesive-like polymer or any substance capable of reducing the tacky feel of an adhesive-like polymer on the skin. Detackifiers may be applied as solid particles in dry form, as a suspension or as a slurry of particles. Deposition may be by spray, coating, electrostatic deposition, impingement, filtration (i.e., a pressure differential drives a particle-laden gas phase through the substrate, depositing particles by a filtration mechanism), and the like, and may be applied uniformly on one or more surfaces of the substrate or may be applied in a pattern (e.g., repeating or random patterns) over a portion of the surface or surfaces of the substrate. The detackifier may be present throughout the thickness of the substrate, but may be concentrated at one or both surfaces, and may be substantially only present on one or both surfaces of the substrate.

[0087] Specific detackifiers include, but are not limited to, powders, such as talc powder, calcium carbonate, mica; starches, such as corn starch; lycopodium powder; mineral fillers, such as titanium dioxide; silica powder; alumina; metal oxides in general; baking powder; kieselguhr; and the like. Polymers and other additives having low surface energy may also be used, including a wide variety of fluorinated polymers, silicone additives, polyolefins and thermoplastics, waxes, debonding agents known in the paper industry including compounds having alkyl side chains such as those having 16 or more carbons, and the like. Compounds used as release agents for molds and candle making may also be considered, as well as, dry lubricants and fluorinated release agents.

[0088] In one embodiment, the detackifier comprises polytetrafluorethylene (PTFE), such as PTFE telomer (KRYTOX® DF) compound, used in the PTFE release agent dry lubricant MS-122DF, marketed by Miller-Stephenson (Danbury, CT) as a spray product. For example, PTFE particles may be applied by spray to one side of the substrate prior to winding of the pre-moistened wipes. In one embodiment, a detackifying agent is applied to only one surface of the substrate prior to winding into a roll.

[0089] The wetting composition desirably contains less than about 25 weight percent of detackifying agents based on the total weight of the wetting composition. More desirably, the wetting composition contains from about 0.01 weight percent to about 10 weight percent of detackifying agents, more specifically about 5% or less. Even more specifically, the wetting composition contains from about 0.05 weight percent to about 2 weight percent of detackifying agents.

[0090] In addition to acting as a detackifying agent, starch compounds may also improve the strength properties of the pre-moistened wipes. For example, it has been found that ungelled starch particles, such as hydrophilic tapioca starch, when present at a level of about 1 % or higher by weight relative to the weight of the wetting composition, can permit the pre-moistened wipe to maintain the same strength at a lower salt concentration than is possible without the presence of starch. Thus, for example, a given strength can be achieved with 2% salt in the wetting composition in the presence of salt compared to a level of 4% salt being needed without starch. Starch may be applied by adding the starch to a suspension of laponite to improve the dispersion of the starch within the wetting composition.

Microparticulates

[0091] The wetting composition of the present invention may be further modified by the addition of solid particulates or microparticulates. Suitable particulates include, but are not limited to, mica, silica, alumina, calcium carbonate, kaolin, talc, and zeolites. The particulates may be treated with stearic acid or other additives to enhance the attraction or bridging of the particulates to the binder system, if desired. Also, two-component microparticulate systems, commonly used as

retention aids in the papermaking industry, may also be used. Such two-component microparticulate systems generally comprise a colloidal particle phase, such as silica particles, and a water-soluble cationic polymer for bridging the particles to the fibers of the web to be formed. The presence of particulates in the wetting composition can serve one or more useful functions, such as (1) increasing the opacity of the pre-moistened wipes; (2) modifying the rheology or reducing the tackiness of the pre-moistened wipe; (3) improving the tactile properties of the wipe; or (4) delivering desired agents to the skin via a particulate carrier, such as a porous carrier or a microcapsule. Desirably, the wetting composition contains less than about 25 weight percent of particulate based on the total weight of the wetting composition. More specifically, the wetting composition may contain from about 0.05 weight percent to about 10 weight percent of microparticulate. Even more specifically, the wetting composition may contain from about 0.1 weight percent to about 5 weight percent of microparticulate.

Microcapsules and Other Delivery Vehicles

[0092] Microcapsules and other delivery vehicles may also be used in the wetting composition of the present invention to provide skin-care agents; medications; comfort promoting agents, such as eucalyptus; perfumes; skin care agents; odor control additives; vitamins; powders; and other additives to the skin of the user. Specifically, the wetting composition may contain up to about 25 weight percent of microcapsules or other delivery vehicles based on the total weight of the wetting composition. More specifically, the wetting composition may contain from about 0.05 weight percent to about 10 weight percent of microcapsules or other delivery vehicles. Even more specifically, the wetting composition may contain from about 0.2 weight percent to about 5.0 weight percent of microcapsules or other delivery vehicles.

[0093] Microcapsules and other delivery vehicles are well known in the art. For example, POLY-PORE® E200 (Chemdal Corp., Arlington Heights, IL), is a delivery agent comprising soft, hollow spheres that can contain an additive at over 10 times the weight of the delivery vehicle. Known additives reported to have been used with POLY-PORE® E200 include, but are not limited to, benzoyl peroxide, salicylic acid, retinol, retinyl palmitate, octyl methoxycinnamate, tocopherol, silicone compounds (DC 435), and mineral oil. Another useful delivery vehicle is a sponge-like material marketed as POLY-PORE® L200, which is reported to have been used with silicone (DC 435) and mineral oil. Other known delivery systems include cyclodextrins and their derivatives, liposomes, polymeric sponges, and spray-dried starch.

[0094] Additives present in microcapsules are isolated from the environment and the other agents in the wetting composition until the wipe is applied to the skin, whereupon the microcapsules break and deliver their load to the skin or other surfaces.

Preservatives and Anti-Microbial Agents

[0095] The wetting composition of the present invention may also contain preservatives and/or anti-microbial agents. Several preservatives and/or anti-microbial agents, such as Mackstat H 66 (available from McIntyre Group, Chicago, IL), have been found to give excellent results in preventing bacteria and mold growth. Other suitable preservatives and anti-microbial agents include, but are not limited to DMDM hydantoin (*e.g.*, Glydant Plus™, Lonza, Inc., Fair Lawn, NJ), iodopropynyl butylcarbamate, Kathon (Rohm and Hass, Philadelphia, PA), methylparaben, propylparaben, 2-bromo-2-nitropropane-1,3-diol, benzoic acid, and the like. Desirably, the wetting composition contains less than about 2 weight percent on an active basis of preservatives and/or anti-microbial agents based on the total weight of the wetting composition. More desirably, the wetting composition contains from about 0.01 weight percent to about 1 weight percent of preservatives and/or anti-microbial agents. Even more desirably, the wetting composition contains from about 0.01 weight percent to about 0.5 weight percent of preservatives and/or anti-microbial agents.

Wetting Agents and Cleaning Agents

[0096] A variety of wetting agents and/or cleaning agents may be used in the wetting composition of the present invention. Suitable wetting agents and/or cleaning agents include, but are not limited to, detergents and nonionic, amphoteric, and anionic surfactants, especially amino acid-based surfactants. Amino acid-based surfactant systems, such as those derived from amino acids L-glutamic acid and other natural fatty acids, offer pH compatibility to human skin and good cleansing power, while being relatively safe and providing improved tactile and moisturization properties compared to other anionic surfactants. One function of the surfactant is to improve wetting of the dry substrate with the wetting composition. Another function of the surfactant can be to disperse bathroom soils when the pre-moistened wipe contacts a soiled area and to enhance their absorption into the substrate. The surfactant can further assist in make-up removal, general personal cleansing, hard surface cleansing, odor control, and the like.

[0097] One commercial example of an amino-acid based surfactant is acylglutamate, marketed under the Amisoft name by Ajinomoto Corp., Tokyo, Japan. Desirably, the wetting composition contains less than about 3 weight percent of wetting agents and/or cleaning agents based on the total weight of the wetting composition. More desirably, the wetting

composition contains from about 0.01 weight percent to about 2 weight percent of wetting agents and/or cleaning agents. Even more desirably, the wetting composition contains from about 0.1 weight percent to about 0.5 weight percent of wetting agents and/or cleaning agents.

[0098] Although amino-acid based surfactant are particularly useful in the wetting compositions of the present invention, a wide variety of surfactants may be used in the present invention. Suitable non-ionic surfactants include, but are not limited to, the condensation products of ethylene oxide with a hydrophobic (oleophilic) polyoxyalkylene base formed by the condensation of propylene oxide with propylene glycol. The hydrophobic portion of these compounds desirably has a molecular weight sufficiently high so as to render it water-insoluble. The addition of polyoxyethylene moieties to this hydrophobic portion increases the water-solubility of the molecule as a whole, and the liquid character of the product is retained up to the point where the polyoxyethylene content is about 50% of the total weight of the condensation product. Examples of compounds of this type include commercially-available Pluronic surfactants (BASF Wyandotte Corp.), especially those in which the polyoxypropylene ether has a molecular weight of about 1500-3000 and the polyoxyethylene content is about 35-55% of the molecule by weight, i.e. Pluronic L-62.

[0099] Other useful nonionic surfactants include, but are not limited to, the condensation products of C_8 -C_{22} alkyl alcohols with 2-50 moles of ethylene oxide per mole of alcohol. Examples of compounds of this type include the condensation products of C_{11} -C_{15} secondary alkyl alcohols with 3-50 moles of ethylene oxide per mole of alcohol, which are commercially-available as the Poly-Tergent SLF series from Olin Chemicals or the TERGITOL® series from Union Carbide, i.e. TERGITOL® 25-L-7, which is formed by condensing about 7 moles of ethylene oxide with a C_{12} -C_{15} alkanol.

[0100] Other nonionic surfactants, which may be employed in the wetting composition of the present invention, include the ethylene oxide esters of C_6-C_{12} alkyl phenols such as (nonylphenoxy)polyoxyethylene ether. Particularly useful are the esters prepared by condensing about 8-12 moles of ethylene oxide with nonylphenol, i.e. the IGEPAL® CO series (GAF Corp.).

[0101] Further non-ionic surface active agents include, but are not limited to, alkyl polyglycosides (APG), derived as a condensation product of dextrose (D-glucose) and a straight or branched chain alcohol. The glycoside portion of the surfactant provides a hydrophile having high hydroxyl density, which enhances water solubility. Additionally, the inherent stability of the acetal linkage of the glycoside provides chemical stability in alkaline systems. Furthermore, unlike some non-ionic surface active agents, alkyl polyglycosides have no cloud point, allowing one to formulate without a hydrotrope, and these are very mild, as well as readily biodegradable non-ionic surfactants. This class of surfactants is available from Horizon Chemical under the trade names of APG-300, APG-350, APG-500, and APG-500.

[0102] Silicones are another class of wetting agents available in pure form, or as microemulsions, macroemulsions, and the like. One exemplary non-ionic surfactant group is the silicone-glycol copolymers. These surfactants are prepared by adding poly(lower)alkylenoxy chains to the free hydroxyl groups of dimethylpolysiloxanols and are available from the Dow Coming Corp as Dow Coming 190 and 193 surfactants (CTFA name: dimethicone copolyol). These surfactants function, with or without any volatile silicones used as solvents, to control foaming produced by the other surfactants, and also impart a shine to metallic, ceramic, and glass surfaces.

[0103] Anionic surfactants may also be used in the wetting compositions of the present invention. Anionic surfactants are useful due to their high detergency include anionic detergent salts having alkyl substituents of 8 to 22 carbon atoms such as the water-soluble higher fatty acid alkali metal soaps, e.g., sodium myristate and sodium palmitate. A preferred class of anionic surfactants encompasses the water-soluble sulfated and sulfonated anionic alkali metal and alkaline earth metal detergent salts containing a hydrophobic higher alkyl moiety (typically containing from about 8 to 22 carbon atoms) such as salts of higher alkyl mono or polynuclear aryl sulfonates having from about 1 to 16 carbon atoms in the alkyl group, with examples available as the Bio-Soft series, i.e. Bio-Soft D-40 (Stepan Chemical Co.).

[0104] Other useful classes of anionic surfactants include, but are not limited to, the alkali metal salts of alkyl naphthalene sulfonic acids (methyl naphthalene sodium sulfonate, Petro AA, Petrochemical Corporation); sulfated higher fatty acid monoglycerides such as the sodium salt of the sulfated monoglyceride of cocoa oil fatty acids and the potassium salt of the sulfated monoglyceride of tallow fatty acids; alkali metal salts of sulfated fatty alcohols containing from about 10 to 18 carbon atoms (e.g., sodium lauryl sulfate and sodium stearyl sulfate); sodium C_{14} -C_{16} -alphaolefin sulfonates such as the Bio-Terge series (Stepan Chemical Co.); alkali metal salts of sulfated ethyleneoxy fatty alcohols (the sodium or ammonium sulfates of the condensation products of about 3 moles of ethylene oxide with a C_{12}-C_{15} n-alkanol, i.e., the Neodol ethoxysulfates, Shell Chemical Co.); alkali metal salts of higher fatty esters of low molecular weight alkylol sulfonic acids, e.g. fatty acid esters of the sodium salt of isothionic acid, the fatty ethanolamide sulfates; the fatty acid amides of amino alkyl sulfonic acids, *e.g.* lauric acid amide of taurine; as well as numerous other anionic organic surface active agents such as sodium xylene sulfonate, sodium naphthalene sulfonate, sodium toulene sulfonate and mixtures thereof.

[0105] A further useful class of anionic surfactants includes the 8-(4-n-alkyl-2-cyclohexenyl)-octanoic acids, wherein the cyclohexenyl ring is substituted with an additional carboxylic acid group. These compounds or their potassium salts, are commercially-available from Westvaco Corporation as Diacid 1550 or H-240. In general, these anionic surface active agents can be employed in the form of their alkali metal salts, ammonium or alkaline earth metal salts.

Macroemulsions and Microemulsion of Silicone Particles

[0106] The wetting composition may further comprise an aqueous microemulsion of silicone particles. For example, U.S. Pat. No. 6,037,407, "Process for the Preparation of Aqueous Emulsions of Silicone Oils and/or Gums and/or Resins" issued March 14, 2000, discloses organopolysiloxanes in an aqueous microemulsion. Desirably, the wetting composition contains less than about 5 weight percent of a microemulsion of silicone particles based on the total weight of the wetting composition. More desirably, the wetting composition contains from about 0.02 weight percent to about 3 weight percent of a microemulsion of silicone particles. Even more desirably, the wetting composition contains from about 0.02 weight percent to about 0.5 weight percent of a microemulsion of silicone particles.

[0107] Silicone emulsions in general may be applied to the pre-moistened wipe by any known coating method. For example, the pre-moistened wipe may be moistened with an aqueous composition comprising a water-dispersible or water-miscible, silicone-based component that is compatible with the activating compound in the wetting composition. Further, the wipe can comprise a nonwoven web of fibers having a water-dispersible binder, wherein the web is moistened with a lotion comprising a silicone-based sulfosuccinate. The silicone-based sulfosuccinate provides gentle and effective cleansing without a high level of surfactant. Additionally, the silicone-based sulfosuccinate provides a solubilization function, which prevents precipitation of oil-soluble components, such as fragrance components, vitamin extracts, plant extracts, and essential oils.

[0108] In one embodiment of the present invention, the wetting composition comprises a silicone copolyol sulfosuccinate, such as disodium dimethicone copolyol sulfosuccinate and diammonium dimethicone copolyolsulfosuccinate. Desirably, the wetting composition comprises less than about 2 percent by weight of the silicone-based sulfosuccinate, and more desirably from about 0.05 percent to about 0.30 percent by weight of the silicone-based sulfosuccinate.

[0109] In another example of a product comprising a silicone emulsions, Dow Coming 9506 powder may also be present in the wetting composition. Dow Coming 9506 powder is believed to comprise a dimethicone/vinyldimethicone cross-polymer and is a spherical powder, which is said to be useful in controlling skin oils (see "New Chemical Perspectives," Soap and Cosmetics, Vol. 76, No. 3, March 2000, p. 12). Thus, a water-dispersible wipe, which delivers a powder effective in controlling skin oil, is also within the scope of the present invention. Principles for preparing silicone emulsions are disclosed in WO 97/10100, published March 20, 1997.

Emollients

[0110] The wetting composition of the present invention may also contain one or more emollients. Suitable emollients include, but are not limited to, PEG 75 lanolin, methyl gluceth 20 benzoate, C_{12}-C_{15} alkyl benzoate, ethoxylated cetyl stearyl alcohol, products marketed as Lambent wax WS - L, Lambent WD - F, Cetiol HE (Henkel Corp.), Glucam P20 (Amerchol), Polyox WSR N-10 (Union Carbide), Polyox WSR N-3000 (Union Carbide), Luviquat (BASF), Finsolv SLB 101 (Finetex Corp.), mink oil, allantoin, stearyl alcohol, Estol 1517 (Unichema), and Finsolv SLB 201 (Finetex Corp.).

[0111] An emollient can also be applied to a surface of the article prior to or after wetting with the wetting composition. Such an emollient may be insoluble in the wetting composition and can be immobile except when exposed to a force. For example, a petrolatum-based emollient can be applied to one surface in a pattern, after which the other surface is wetted to saturate the wipe. Such a product could provide a cleaning surface and an opposing skin treatment surface.

[0112] The emollient composition in such products and other products of the present invention can comprise a plastic or fluid emollient such as one or more liquid hydrocarbons (e.g., petrolatum), mineral oil and the like, vegetable and animal fats (e.g., lanolin, phospholipids and their derivatives) and/or a silicone materials such as one or more alkyl substituted polysiloxane polymers, including the polysiloxane emollients disclosed in U.S. Pat. No. 5,891,126, issued Apr. 6, 1999 to Osborn, III et al. Optionally, a hydrophilic surfactant may be combined with a plastic emollient to improve wettability of the coated surface. In some embodiments of the present invention, it is contemplated that liquid hydrocarbon emollients and/or alkyl substituted polysiloxane polymers may be blended or combined with one or more fatty acid ester emollients derived from fatty acids or fatty alcohols.

[0113] In an embodiment of the present invention, the emollient material is in the form of an emollient blend. Desirably, the emollient blend comprises a combination of one or more liquid hydrocarbons (e.g., petrolatum), mineral oil and the like, vegetable and animal fats (e.g., lanolin, phospholipids and their derivatives), with a silicone material such as one or more alkyl substituted polysiloxane polymers. More desirably, the emollient blend comprises a combination of liquid hydrocarbons (e.g., petrolatum) with dimethicone or with dimethicone and other alkyl substituted polysiloxane polymers. In some embodiments of the present invention, it is contemplated that blends of liquid hydrocarbon emollients and/or alkyl substituted polysiloxane polymers may be blended with one or more fatty acid ester emollients derived from fatty acids or fatty alcohols. PEG-7 glyceryl cocoate, available as Standamul HE (Henkel Corp., Hoboken, N.J), can also be considered.

[0114] Water-soluble, self-emulsifying emollient oils, which are useful in the present wetting compositions, include the polyoxyalkoxylated lanolins and the polyoxyalkoxylated fatty alcohols, as disclosed in U.S. Pat. No. 4,690,821, issued

Sep. 1, 1987 to Smith et al. The polyoxyalkoxy chains desirably will comprise mixed propylenoxy and ethyleneoxy units. The lanolin derivatives will typically comprise about 20-70 such lower-alkoxy units while the C_{12} -C_{20} - fatty alcohols will be derivatized with about 8-15 lower- alkyl units. One such useful lanolin derivative is Lanexol AWS (PPG-12-PEG-50, Croda, Inc., New York, N.Y.). A useful poly(15-20)C_2-C_3-alkoxylate is PPG-5-Ceteth-20, known as Procetyl AWS (Croda, Inc.).

[0115] According to one embodiment of the present invention, the emollient material reduces undesirable tactile attributes, if any, of the wetting composition. For example, emollient materials, including dimethicone, can reduce the level of tackiness that may be caused by the ion-sensitive binder or other components in the wetting composition, thus serving as a detackifier.

[0116] Desirably, the wetting composition contains less than about 25 weight percent of emollients based on the total weight of the wetting composition. More specifically, the wetting composition may comprise less than about 5 weight percent emollient, and most specifically less than about 2% emollient. More desirably, the wetting composition may contain from about 0.01 weight percent to about 8 weight percent of emollients. Even more desirably, the wetting composition may contain from about 0.2 weight percent to about 2 weight percent of emollients.

[0117] In one embodiment, the wetting composition and/or premoistened wipes of the present invention comprise an oil-in-water emulsion comprising an oil phase containing at least one emollient oil and at least one emollient wax stabilizer dispersed in an aqueous phase comprising at least one polyhydric alcohol emollient and at least one organic water-soluble detergent, as disclosed in U.S. Pat. No.-4,559,157, issued Dec. 17, 1985 to Smith et al..

Surface Feel Modifiers

[0118] Surface feel modifiers are used to improve the tactile sensation (e.g., lubricity) of the skin during use of the product. Suitable surface feel modifiers include, but are not limited to, commercial debonders; and softeners, such as the softeners used in the art of tissue making including quaternary ammonium compounds with fatty acid side groups, silicones, waxes, and the like. Exemplary quaternary ammonium compounds with utility as softeners are disclosed in U.S. Pat. No. 3,554,862, issued to Hervey et al. on Jan. 12, 1971; U.S. Pat. No. 4,144,122, issued to Emanuelsson et al., Mar. 13, 1979, U.S. Pat. No. 5,573,637, issued to Ampulski et al. Nov. 12, 1996; and U.S. Pat. No. 4,476,323, issued to Hellsten et al., Oct. 9, 1984.

[0119] Desirably, the wetting composition contains less than about 2 weight percent of surface feel modifiers based on the total weight of the wetting composition. More desirably, the wetting composition contains from about 0.01 weight percent to about 1 weight percent of surface feel modifiers. Even more desirably, the wetting composition contains from about 0.01 weight percent to about 0.05 weight percent of surface feel modifiers.

Fragrances

[0120] A variety of fragrances maybe used in the wetting composition of the present invention. Desirably, the wetting composition contains less than about 2 weight percent of fragrances based on the total weight of the wetting composition. More desirably, the wetting composition contains from about 0.01 weight percent to about 1 weight percent of fragrances. Even more desirably, the wetting composition contains from about 0.01 weight percent to about 0.05 weight percent of fragrances.

Fragrance Solubilizers

[0121] Further, a variety of fragrance solubilizers may be used in the wetting composition of the present invention. Suitable fragrance solubilizers include, but are not limited to, polysorbate 20, propylene glycol, ethanol, isopropanol, diethylene glycol monoethyl ether, dipropylene glycol, diethyl phthalate, triethyl citrate, Ameroxol OE-2 (Amerchol Corp.), Brij 78 and Brij 98 (ICI Surfactants), Arlasolve 200 (ICI Surfactants), Calfax 16L-35 (Pilot Chemical Co.), Capmul POE-S (Abitec Corp.), Finsolv SUBSTANTIAL (Finetex), and the like. Desirably, the wetting composition contains less than about 2 weight percent of fragrance solubilizers based on the total weight of the wetting composition. More desirably, the wetting composition contains from about 0.01 weight percent to about 1 weight percent of fragrance solubilizers. Even more desirably, the wetting composition contains from about 0.01 weight percent to about 0.05 weight percent of fragrance solubilizers.

Opacifiers

[0122] Suitable opacifiers include, but are not limited to, titanium dioxide or other minerals or pigments, and synthetic opacifiers such as REACTOPAQUE® particles (available from Sequa Chemicals, Inc., Chester, South Carolina). Desirably, the wetting composition contains less than about 2 weight percent of opacifiers based on the total weight of the

wetting composition. More desirably, the wetting composition contains from about 0.01 weight percent to about 1 weight percent of opacifiers. Even more desirably, the wetting composition contains from about 0.01 weight percent to about 0.05 weight percent of opacifiers.

pH Control Agents

[0123] Suitable pH control agents for use in the wetting composition of the present invention include, but are not limited to, malic acid, citric acid, hydrochloric acid, acetic acid, sodium hydroxide, potassium hydroxide, and the like. An appropriate pH range minimizes the amount of skin irritation resulting from the wetting composition on the skin. Desirably, the pH range of the wetting composition is from about 3.5 to about 6.5. More desirably, the pH range of the wetting composition is from about 4 to about 6. Desirably, the wetting composition contains less than about 2 weight percent of a pH adjuster based on the total weight of the wetting composition. More desirably, the wetting composition contains from about 0.01 weight percent to about 1 weight percent of a pH adjuster. Even more desirably, the wetting composition contains from about 0.01 weight percent to about 0.05 weight percent of a pH adjuster.

[0124] Although a variety of wetting compositions, formed from one or more of the above-described components, may be used with the wet wipes of the present invention, in one embodiment, the wetting composition contains the following components, given in weight percent of the wetting composition, as shown in Table 2 below:

Table 2. Wetting Composition Components

Wetting Composition Component:	*Weight Percent:*
Deionized Water	about 86 to about 98
Activating compound	about 1 to about 6
Preservative	Up to about 2
Surfactant	Up to about 2
Silicone Emulsion	Up to about 1
Emollient	Up to about 1
Fragrance	Up to about 0.3
Fragrance solubilizer	Up to about 0.5
pH adjuster	Up to about 0.2

[0125] In another embodiment of the present invention, the wetting composition comprises the following components, given in weight percent of the wetting composition, as shown in Table 3 below:

Table 3. Wetting Composition Components

Class of Wetting Composition Component:	*Specific Wetting Composition Component:*	*Component Name:*	*Weight Percent:*
Vehicle	Deionized Water		about 86 to about 98
Activating compound	Sodium Chloride (Millport Ent., Milwaukee, WI)		about 1 to about 6
Preservative	Glycerin, IPBC and DMDM Hydantoin	Mackstat H-66 (McIntyre Group, Chicago, IL)	Up to about 2
Surfactant	Acyl Glutamate	CS22 (Ajinomoto, Tokyo, Japan)	Up to about 2
Silicone Emulsion (Detackifier/Skin Feel agent)	Dimethiconol and TEA Dodecylbenezene Sulfonate	DC1785 (Dow Corning, Midland, MI)	Up to about 1
Emollient	PEG-75 Lanolin	Solulan L- 575 (Amerchol, Middlesex, NJ)	Up to about 1

(continued)

Class of Wetting Composition Component:	*Specific Wetting Composition Component:*	*Component Name:*	*Weight Percent:*
Fragrance	Fragrance	Dragoco 0/708768 (Dragoco, Roseville, MN)	Up to about 0.3
Fragrance solubilizer	Polysorbate 20	Glennsurf L20 (Glenn Corp., St. Paul, MN)	Up to about 0.5
pH adjuster	Malic Acid to pH 5 (Haarman & Reimer, Tetrboro, NJ)		Up to about 0.2

[0126] In another embodiment of the present invention, the wetting composition comprises the following components, given in weight percent of the wetting composition, as shown in Table 4 below:

Table 4. An Exemplary Wetting Composition

Class of Wetting composition Component:	*Specific Wetting composition Component:*	*Component Name:*	*Weight Percent:*
Vehicle	Deionized Water		about 93
Activating compound	Sodium Chloride		about 4
Preservative	Glycerin, IPBC and DMDM Hydantoin	Mackstat H-66	about 1
Surfactant	Acyl Glutamate	CS22/ECS 22P	about 1
Silicone Emulsion	Dimethiconol and TEA	DC 1784/ DC1785	about 0.5
	Dodecylbenezene Sulfonate		
Emollient	PEG-75 Lanolin	Solulan L- 575	about 0.25
Fragrance	fragrance	Dragoco Fragrance 0/708768	about 0.05
Fragrance solubilizer	Polysorbate 20	Glennsurf L20	about 0.25
pH adjuster	Malic Acid to pH 5		about 0.07

[0127] It should be noted that the above-described wetting compositions of the present invention may be used with any one of the above-described ion-sensitive binder compositions of the present invention. Further, the above-described wetting compositions of the present invention may be used with any other binder composition, including conventional binder compositions, or with any known fibrous or absorbent substrate, whether dispersible or not.

Strength Properties

[0128] Unless otherwise specified, tensile testing is performed according to the following protocol. Testing of dry product should be conducted under Tappi conditions (50% relative humidity, 73°F) with a procedure similar to ASTM-1117-80, section 7. Tensile tests are performed with a constant crosshead speed tensile tester such as the Thwing Albert 1256-100 tensile tester with an RSA-2 10-kg load cell. Specimens are cut to 7.62 cm (3-inch) widths and 15.24 cm (6 inch) lengths, and mounted between jaws with a 10.16 cm (4 inch) gauge length. The crosshead speed is 30.48 cm (12 inches) per minute. Peak load (for tensile strength) and elongation at peak load (for stretch) are measured. For cross direction (CD) tensile tests, the sample is cut in the cross direction. For machine direction (MD) tensile tests, the sample is cut in the cross direction.

[0129] Tensile tests in the dry state are reported for webs taken prior to application of the wetting composition. The machine direction dry tensile strength is abbreviated as "MDDT," and the cross direction dry tensile strength as "CDDT." The results can be reported as kg/3-in or converted to units of g/in or g/2.54 cm.

[0130] Based on the dry weight of the specimen cut to the appropriate size, an excess amount of wetting solution (4% saline solution with no other additives, unless otherwise specified) is applied to reach a solution add-on of 250-400%. The wetted specimens are then immediately passed through an Atlas Lab Wringer (Atlas Electric Devices Company, Chicago, Ill. No. 10404 LW-1, no load) to uniformly distribute the solution in the sample and gently remove the excess

solution to achieve a final solution add-on of 200%. Several iterations or passes may be needed to reach the add-on target depending on the sample. The completed, pre-moistened samples are then bagged in plastic to prevent dry-out before testing.

[0131] Cross direction wet tensile tests (CDWT) or machine direction wet tensile strength (MDWT) are performed as described above using the premoistened sample as is, after the sample has equilibrated by sitting overnight in a sealed plastic bag.

[0132] For tests related to strength loss in a premoistened web occurring after exposure to a new solution, a container having dimensions of 200 mm by 120 mm and deep enough to hold 1000 ml is filled with 700 ml of the selected soak solution. No more than 697cm^2 (108 square inches) of sample are soaked in the 700 ml of soaking solution, depending on specimen size. The premoistened specimens, that have equilibrated overnight, are immersed in the soak solution and then allowed to soak undisturbed for a specified time period (typically 1 hour). At the completion of the soak period, samples are carefully retrieved from the soak solution, allowed to drain, and then tested immediately as described above (i.e., the sample is immediately mounted in the tensile tester and tested, without being passed through the wringer). In cases with highly dispersible materials, the samples often cannot be retrieved from the soaking solution without falling apart. The soaked tensile values for such samples are recorded as zero for the corresponding solution.

[0133] For the deionized soaked cross-direction wet tensile test, S-CDWT, the sample is immersed in deionized water for 1 pour and then tested.

For the hard-water soaked cross-direction wet tensile test, S-CDWT-M (M indicating divalent metal ions), the sample is immersed in water containing 200 ppm of Ca^{++}/Mg^{++} in a 2:1 ratio prepared from calcium chloride and magnesium chloride, soaked for one hour and then tested. For the medium hard water soaked cross-direction wet tensile test, MS-CDWT-M, the sample is immersed in water containing 50 ppm of Ca^{++}/Mg^{++} in a 2:1 ratio, soaked for one hour and then tested. Testing done with other time increments or soaking solutions should be so indicated to prevent confusion with the S-CDWT or S-CDWT-M tests.

[0134] In one embodiment of the present invention, wet wipes are produced using the above-described wetting composition in Table 3 and an air-laid fibrous material comprising about 80 weight percent of bleached kraft fibers and 20 weight percent of any one of the above-described ion-sensitive binder compositions of the present invention, wherein the weight percentages are based on the total weight of the dry nonwoven fabric. In a further embodiment of the present invention, wet wipes are produced using the above-described wetting composition in Table 3 and an air-laid fibrous material comprising 90 weight percent of softwood fibers and 10 weight percent of an ion-sensitive binder compositions comprising acrylic acid terpolymers or a copolymer substantially free of acrylic acid monomers, wherein the weight percentages are based on the total weight of the dry nonwoven fabric. The amount of wetting composition added to the nonwoven fabric, relative to the weight of the dry nonwoven fabric in these embodiments, is desirably about 180 percent to about 240 weight percent.

[0135] The wet wipes of the present invention possess an in-use wet tensile strength (CDWT) of at least 100g/2.54cm (100 g/in), and a tensile strength of less than about 30g/2.54 cm (30 g/in) after being soaked in water having a concentration of Ca^{2+} and/or Mg^{2+} ions of about 50 ppm for about one hour (MS-CDWT-M). More desirably, the wet wipes possess an in-use wet tensile strength-of at least 300g/2.54cm (300glin) (CDWT), and tensile strength of less than about 30g/2.54cm (30g/in) after being soaked in water having a concentration of Ca^{2+} and/or Mg^{2+} ions of about 50 ppm for about one hour (MS-CDWT-M). In a further embodiment, the wet wipes desirably possess an in-use wet tensile strength of at least 200g/2.54cm (200g/in) (CDWT), and a tensile strength of less than about 20g/2.54cm (20g/in) after being soaked in water having a concentration of Ca^{2+} and/or Mg^{2+} ions of about 200 ppm for about one hour (S-CDWT-M). Even more desirably, the wet wipes possess an in-use wet tensile strength of at least 300g/2.54cm (300g/in), and a tensile strength of less than about 20g/2.54cm (20g/in) after being soaked in water having a concentration of Ca^{2+} and/or Mg^{2+} ions of about 200 ppm for about one hour (S-CDWT-M).

[0136] In a further embodiment, the wet wipes treated with the binder material of the present invention including the sulfonate anion modified acrylic acid terpolymer desirably possess an in-use tensile strength of at least 200g/2.54cm (200g/in) for a 2.54cm (1 inch) width sample in the cross machine direction when soaked with 10% to 400% by weight wet wipes solution containing more than 1% by weight monovalent ion (NaCl) concentration and a tensile strength of less than about 30g/2.54cm (30g/in) after being soaked in water having a concentration of $Ca2^{+}$ and/or Mg^{2+} ions of about 50 ppm for about one hour. Even more desirably, the wet wipes treated with the binder material of the present invention including the sulfonate anion modified acrylic acid terpolymer possess an in-use tensile strength of at least 200g/2.54cm (200g/in) for a 2.54cm (1 inch) width sample in the cross machine direction when soaked with 10% to 400% by weight wet wipes solution containing more than 1% by weight monovalent ion (NaCl) concentration and a tensile strength of less than about 30g/2.54cm (30g/in) after being soaked in water having a concentration of Ca^{2+} and/or Mg^{2+} ions of about 200 ppm for about one hour.

[0137] Products with high basis weights or wet strengths than flushable wet wipes may have relatively higher wet tensile strength. For example, products such as pre-moistened towels or hard-surface cleaning wipes may have basis weights above 70 gsm, such as from 80 gsm to 150 gsm. Such products can have CDWT values of 500g/2.54cm (500g/in)

or greater, with S-CDWT values of about 150g/2.54cm (150g/in) or less, more specifically about 100g/2.54cm (100g/in) or less, and most specifically about 50g/2.54cm (50glin) or less, with similar ranges possible for S-CDWT-M.

Dispersibility

[0138] Prior efforts to measure dispersibility of webs, whether dry or premoistened, have commonly relied on systems in which the web was exposed to shear while in water, such as measuring the time for a web to break up while being agitated by a mechanical mixer. The constant exposure to shear offers an unrealistic and overly optimistic test for products designed to be flushed in a toilet, where the level of shear is weak and extremely brief. Once the product has passed through the neck of the toilet and entered a septic tank, shear rates may be negligible. Further, the product may not be fully wetted with water from the toilet bowl when it is flushed, or rather, there may not have been adequate time for the wetting composition of the product to have been replaced with the water of the toilet bowl when the momentary shear of flushing is applied. Thus, previous measurements of dispersibility could suggest that a product is dispersible when, in fact, it may be poorly suited for septic system.

[0139] For a realistic appraisal of dispersibility, it is believed that a relatively static measure is needed to better simulate the low shear that real products will experience once they have become fully wetted with water from the toilet. Thus, a test method for dispersibility has been developed which does not rely on shear and which provides an improved means of assessing suitability of a product for a septic system. In this method, the tensile strength of a product is measured in its original, wetted form (the CDWT measurement described above) and after the product has been soaked in a second solution for one hour (either the S-CDWT or S-CDWT-M test). The second solution can be either deionized water for determination of the - "Deionized Dispersibility" value or hard water (according to the S-CDWT-M test) for determination of the "Hard Water Dispersibility" value. In either case, the Dispersibility is defined as (1 minus the ratio of the cross-direction wet tensile strength in the second solution divided by the original cross-direction wet tensile strength) * 100%. Thus, if a pre-moistened wipe loses 75% of its CD wet tensile strength after soaking in hard water for one hour, the Hard Water Dispersibility is (1-0.25)*100% =75%. The articles of the present invention can have a Deionized Dispersibility of 80% or greater, more specifically 90% or greater, specifically still 95% or greater, and can have a Deionized Dispersibility of about 100%. The articles of the present invention can have a Hard Water Dispersibility of 70% or greater, more specifically 80% or greater, specifically still about 90% or greater, and can have a Deionized Dispersibility of about 100%.

Method of Making Wet Wipes

[0140] The pre-moistened wipes of the present invention can be made in several ways. In one embodiment, the ion-sensitive polymer composition is applied to a fibrous substrate as part of an aqueous solution or suspension, wherein subsequent drying is needed to remove the water and promote binding of the fibers. In particular, during drying, the binder migrates to the crossover points of the fibers and becomes activated as a binder in those regions, thus providing acceptable strength to the substrate. For example, the following steps can be applied:

1. Providing an absorbent substrate that is not highly bonded (*e.g.*, an unbonded airlaid, a tissue web, a carded web, fluff pulp, etc.).
2. Applying an ion-sensitive polymer composition to the substrate, typically in the form of a liquid, suspension, or foam.
3. Applying a co-binder polymer to the substrate.
4. Drying the substrate to promote bonding of the substrate. The substrate may be dried such that the peak substrate temperature does not exceed 160° C, or 140° C, or 120° C, 110° C, or 100° C. In one embodiment, the substrate temperature does not exceed 80° C or 60° C.
5. Applying a wetting composition to the substrate.
6. Placing the wetted substrate in roll form or in a stack and packaging the product.

[0141] Application of the co-binder polymer can be done simultaneously with application of the binder composition by previously mixing the two, or the co-binder polymer can be added before or after the binder is applied. The other steps are desirably conducted in the order shown above.

[0142] Application of the ion-sensitive polymer composition to the substrate can be by means of spray; by foam application; by immersion in a bath; by curtain coating; by coating and metering with a wire-wound rod; by passage of the substrate through a flooded nip; by contact with a pre-metered wetted roll coated with the binder solution; by pressing the substrate against a deformable carrier containing the ion-sensitive polymer composition such as a sponge or felt to effect transfer into the substrate; by printing such as gravure, inkjet, or flexographic printing; and any other means known in the art.

[0143] In the use of foams to apply a binder or co-binder polymer, the mixture is frothed, typically with a foaming agent, and spread uniformly on the substrate, after which vacuum is applied to pull the froth through the substrate. Any known

foam application method can be used, including that of U.S. Pat. No. 4,018,647, "Process for the Impregnation of a Wet Fiber Web with a Heat Sensitized Foamed Latex Binder," issued Apr. 19, 1977 to Wietsma. Wietsma discloses a method wherein a foamed latex is heat-sensitized by the addition of a heat-sensitizer such as functional siloxane compounds including siloxane oxyalkylene block copolymers and organopolysiloxanes. Specific examples of applicable heat-sensitizers and their use thereof for the heat sensitization of latices are described in the U.S. Pat. Nos. 3,255,140; 3,255,141; 3,483,240 and 3,484,394. The use of a heat-sensitizer is said to result in a product having a very soft and textile-like hand compared to prior methods of applying foamed latex binders.

[0144] The amount of heat-sensitizer to be added is dependent on, inter alia, the type of latex used, the desired coagulation temperature, the machine speed and the temperatures in the drying section of the machine, and will generally be in the range of about 0.05 to about 3% by weight, calculated as dry matter on the dry weight of the latex; but also larger or smaller amounts may be used. The heat sensitizer can be added in such an amount that the latex will coagulate far below the boiling point of water, for instance at a temperature in the range of 35° C to 95° C, or from about 35°. C to.65° C.

[0145] Without wishing to be bound by theory, it is believed that a drying step after application of the binder solution and before application of the wetting composition enhances bonding of a fibrous substrate by driving the binder to fiber crossover points as moisture is driven off, thus promoting efficient use of the binder. However, in an alternative method, the drying step listed above is skipped, and the ion-sensitive polymer composition is applied to the substrate followed by application of the wetting composition without significant intermediate drying. In one version of this method, the ion-sensitive polymer composition selectively adheres to the fibers, permitting excess water to be removed in an optional pressing step without a significant loss of the binder from the substrate. In another version, no significant water removal occurs prior to application of the wetting composition. In yet another alternative method, the ion-sensitive polymer composition and the wetting composition are applied simultaneously, optionally with subsequent addition of salt or other activating compounds to activate or further activate the binder.

[0146] The present invention is further illustrated by the following examples, which are not to be construed in any way as imposing limitations upon the scope thereof. On the contrary, it is to be clearly understood that resort may be had to various other embodiments, modifications, and equivalents thereof which, after reading the description herein, may suggest themselves to those skilled in the art without departing from the spirit of the present invention and/or the scope of the appended claims.

[0147] As used herein, the "thickness" of a web is measured with a 3-in acrylic plastic disk connected to the spindle of a Mitutoyo Digimatic Indicator (Mitutoyo Corporation, 31-19, Shiba 5-chome, Minato-ku, Tokyo 108, Japan) and which delivers a net load of 0.34 kPa (0.05psi) to the sample being measured. The Mitutoyo Digimatic Indicator is zeroed when the disk rests on a flat surface. When a sample having a size at least as great as the acrylic disk is placed under the disk, a thickness reading can be obtained from the digital readout of the indicator. Water-dispersible substrates of the present invention can have any suitable thickness, such as from about 0.1 mm to 5 mm. For wet wipes, thicknesses can be in the range of 0.2 mm to about 1 mm, more specifically from about 0.3 mm to about 0.7 mm. Thickness can be controlled, for example, by the application of compaction rolls during or after web formation, by pressing after binder or wetting composition has been applied, or by controlling the tension of winding when forming a roll good.

[0148] The use of the platen method to measure thickness gives an average thickness at the macroscopic level. Local thickness may vary, especially if the product has been embossed or has otherwise been given a three-dimensional texture.

EXAMPLE 1

Preparation of Sulfonate Anion Modified Acrylic Acid Terpolymer

[0149] Acrylic acid (43.3 g, 0.60 mol), AMPS (10.7 g, 0.052 mol), butyl acrylate (35.2 g, 0.27 mol), and 2-ethylhexyl acrylate (20 g, 0.11 mol) were dissolved in 55 g of acetone/water (70/30) mixture. An initiator, 2,2-azobisisobutyronitrile (AIBN) (0.51 g, $3.1x10^{-3}$ mol), was dissolved in 20 ml of acetone. The monomer solution was deoxygenated by bubbling N_2 through the solution for 20 minutes. To a 1000 ml three-neck round bottom flask, equipped with a condenser, two addition funnels and a magnetic stirrer, was added 120 g of an acetone/water (70/30) mixture. The solvent was heated to gentle reflux under nitrogen. Monomers and initiator were added simultaneously from the addition funnels over a period of two hours. Polymerization was allowed to proceed for an additional two hours, at the end of which, the addition funnels and condenser were replaced with a distillation head and a mechanical stir rod to remove acetone. A steady stream of N_2 was kept during distillation while the temperature was increased gradually from about 65° C. to about 90° C. When the distillation was completed, 400 g of deionized water was added to reduce the viscosity of the polymer solution. A hazy, but uniform solution was obtained.

[0150] A total of nine polymers (Samples 1-9) were synthesized using the above-described procedure. NaOH (2.1 g, 0.052 mol) in 20 ml of water was added at room temperature to neutralize the AMPS component in the samples. The compositions of Samples 1-9 are summarized in Table 5 below. All percentages are given in mole percent.

Table 5. Sulfonate Anion Modified Acrylic Acid Terpolymers

Sample	%AMPS	%NaAMPS	%AA	%AA	%EHA
1	0.0	3.0	64.0	22.5	10.5
2	0.0	3.5	63.5	22.5	10.5
3	0.0	3.9	62.1	24.6	9.4
4	0.0	4.0	57.0	26.5	12.5
5	0.0	4.2	64.7	19.7	11.4
6	0.0	5.0	58.0	26.5	10.5
7	0.0	4.0	63.0	21.5	11.5
8	0.0	5.0	59.0	25.5	10.5
9	0.0	5.0	60.0	24.5	10.5

EXAMPLE 2 (Comparative)

Preparation of an Acrylic Acid Terpolymer

[0151] An acrylic acid terpolymer was produced using the polymerization procedure outlined in Example 2 of U.S. Patent No. 5,312,883. The following monomers were used: acrylic acid (50 g, 0.69 mol), butyl acrylate (25 g, 0.20 mol), and 2-ethylhexyl acrylate (25 g, 0.14 mol). The polymer was neutralized with 0.1 mole sodium hydroxide.

EXAMPLE 3

Preparation of Ion-sensitive Polymer Formulation

[0152] The polymers prepared in Table 5, Sample 9 and Example 2 above were combined with Dur-O-Set RB to form ion-sensitive polymer formulations of the present invention and comparative formulations, respectively. The polymer formulations were prepared as shown in Table 6 below.

Table 6. Ion-Sensitive Polymer Formulations

Sample	% Terpolymer (Example 2)	% Modified Terpolymer (Table 5, Sample 9)	% EVA
1	85.0	0.0	15.0
2	0.0	85.0	15.0
3	65.0	0.0	35.0
4	0.0	65.0	35.0
5	95.0	0.0	5.0
6	0.0	95.0	5.0
7	55.0	0.0	45.0
8	0.0	55.0	45.0
9	75.0	0.0	25.0
10	0.0	75.0	25.0
Samples 1, 3, 5, 7 and 9 are comparative.			

EXAMPLE 4

Solubility of Ion-sensitive Polymer Formulation

[0153] The sensitivity of the polymer formulations of Example 3 to divalent cations present in hard water were measured. Samples 1-10 of Example 3 are placed in a number of $CaCl_2$ solutions with a Ca^{2+} concentration varying from <10 to 200 ppm. Following soaking for an hour, the solubility of each polymer is noted. The solubility results are given below in Table 7.

Table 7. Solubility Results

Sample	Solubility in Ca^{2+}			
	<10 ppm	50 ppm	100 ppm	200 ppm
Sample 1	100	94	78	12
Sample 2	100	100	98	91
Sample 3	100	60	36	2
Sample 4	99	100	97	90
Sample 5	100	97	88	19
Sample 6	100	100	99	90
Sample 7	89	42	31	0
Sample 8	100	96	96	90
Sample 9	100	73	78	7
Sample 10	100	100	100	90

[0154] In every case the film cast from the blend containing NaAMPS is more soluble than the film containing the acrylic acid terpolymer, especially as the calcium ion concentration increases.

EXAMPLE 5

Testing the Binding Strength of Polymer Formulations With and Without Crosslinking

[0155] For the pilot scale trials we used pulp based (CF 405 or NB 416 pulp form Weyerhaeuser) airlaid base-sheets bound together with 2-5% bico fibers. The bico fibers were either Type-255 (from KoSa Fibers of Salisbury, NC) with an activated polyethylene sheath and a polyester core or Danaklon fibers (from FiberVisions of Varde, Denmark) with a polyethylene sheath and a polypropylene core. Both kinds of bico fibers were 2-3 denier and cut to 6mm length. The binder formulations were applied by spraying 12-15 weight percent solutions on to both sides of the above base-sheet. The strengths of the base-sheets under various conditions are reported after subtracting the base strength of the web due to the bico fibers. Table 8 reports the strengths of the base-sheets with different formulations in 0.4 weight percent NaCl (CDWT) as well as after a one hour soak in deionized water (S-CDWT):

Table 8. Tensile Strength

Sample	% Terpolymer	% EVA	EVA Cross-linkable ?	BW (gsm)	Binder Add-On (%)	Oven Temp (C.)	CDWT (g/in) (g/2.54cm)	S-CDWT (g/in) (g/2.54cm)
1	85	15	Yes	70	22	400	413	112
2	65	35	Yes	70	22	400	467	375
3	85	15	Yes	71	23	400	444	116
4	85	15	Yes	76	28	400	518	143
5	85	15	No	70	22	400	430	21
6	85	15	Yes	73	25	350	336	60

(continued)

Sample	% Terpolymer	% EVA	EVA Cross-linkable ?	BW (gsm)	Binder Add-On (%)	Oven Temp (C.)	CDWT (g/in) (g/2.54cm)	S-CDWT (g/in) (g/2.54cm)
7	65	35	Yes	67	18	350	332	237
8	65	35	Yes	69	21	350	268	165
9	85	15	Yes	68	20	350	219	35
10	65	35	Yes	67	19	350	199	74
11	85	15	No	69	21	350	226	20
12	65	35	No	67	19	350	196	29

BW: Basis weight
CDWT: Cross machine Direction Wet Tensile strength.
S-CDWT: CDWT after soaking for one hour in deionized water.

[0156] All the above codes would wet out better on first insult relative to a binder formulation containing 100% acrylic acid terpolymer. Also, the binder formulations which contain the EVA, spray much better than 100% acrylic acid terpolymer, leading to much improved binder distribution and penetration on the substrate. Significantly, those formulations that were not crosslinkable; *i.e.*, Samples 5, 11 and 12, had S-CDWTs of less than 30g/2.54cm (30g/in).

EXAMPLE 6

[0157] Binder formulations are prepared having the compositions shown in Table 9 below. The binder formulations at 12 weight percent solids are sprayed on both sides of an airlaid web. The airlaid web is based on pulp (CF 405 from Weyerhaeuser). Table 9 shows the strength of the base-sheet in 0.9% NaCl solution (CDWT) and after a one hour soak in deionized water (S-CDWT). The effect on strength after aging the samples in salt solution over a period of up to 16 weeks is also shown. A preservative, such as Mackstat H66, is added to the samples to prevent mold growth on the basesheets as they age in the salt solution.

Table 9. Tensile Strength of Base-Sheet

Sample	% Terpolymer	% EVA	BW (gsm)	Binder Add-On (%)	Oven Temp (°C)	Aging Time (Weeks)	CDWT (g/in) (g/2.54cm)	S-CDWT (g/in) (g/2.54cm)
1	85	15	73	25	440	0	488	14
2	85	15	73	25	440	16	393	11
3	65	35	64	25	440	0	358	16
4	65	35	64	25	440	12	369	21
5	55	45	64	25	440	0	364	28
6	55	45	64	25	440	12	354	32

[0158] The results in Table 9 indicate that the web does not lose initial properties even after extensive aging in the in-use salt solution when Dur-O Set RB is used as the EVA. If a crosslinkable agent is present in the EVA, lower dispersibility results after aging the samples for a few weeks.

EXAMPLE 7

[0159] In Figure 1 is shown the strength properties of the NaAMPS modified terpolymer, which is also dispersible in hard water (up to 200 ppm Ca^{++}/Mg^{++} solution). A base-sheet based on 75 weight percent NaAMPS modified acrylic acid terpolymer (SSB) and 25 weight percent EVA (Dur-O-Set® RB) exhibits very good strength during use (in 1.5% or 4.0% NaCl solution) and disperses in very hard water. SSB-4 dispersed in hard water in 10 minutes. SSB-5 dispersed in hard water in 3 hours. NaAMPS-SSB is more viscous relative to Lion-SSB.

[0160] Tensile results for Examples 5 through 7 were obtained with an MTS tensile test device, the MTS 500/S unit (MTS Systems, Research Park, North Carolina) using the Testworks™ 3.10 for Windows software. Instead of the normal 7.62cm (3-inch) strip for testing, a 2.54 cm (1-inch) wide strip was used, cut to 15.24cm (6 inches) in length. The gauge length between the rubber-coated jaws of the test device was 7.62cm (3 inches). Testing was operated at the specified crosshead speed of 30.48cm/min (12in/min). The MTS device with the modified test procedure generally gives comparable results to the tensile test protocol previously describe using 7.62cm (3-inch) wide samples and the Thwing-Albert tester.

EXAMPLE 8

[0161] The addition of the co-binder polymer to the ion-sensitive polymer reduces the shear viscosity of the polymer blend compared to the shear viscosity of the ion-sensitive polymer alone. Table 10 illustrates the effect of the addition of various co-binder polymers to an acrylic acid terpolymer (SSB-2) in accordance with the present invention.

Table 10. Effect of the Addition of Various Co-Binder Polymers to SSB-2

Polymer Blend	Viscosity @ 100 sec^{-1}
18 weight percent SSB-2 solids	Too high to measure: > 100,000 cps
15 weight percent sodium polyacrylate solids (MW = 250,000, 50% neutralization)	10,000 cps
12 weight percent neat SSB-2	80 cps
12 weight percent blend of 80 parts by wt. SSB-2 and 20 parts by wt. Rhoplex NW 1715K	25 cps
12 weight percent blend of 80 parts by wt. SSB-2 and 20 parts by wt. Rovene	28 cps
12 weight percent blend of 80 parts by wt. SSB-2 and 20 parts by wt. Dur-O-Set RB	20 cps

[0162] Table 10 shows that the addition of Rhoplex® NW 1715K. Rovene® 4817 and Dur-O-Set® RB significantly reduce the shear viscosity of the SSB-2 acrylic acid terpolymer alone. The reduction in viscosity is not due to a mere dilution of the SSB-2, Because the addition of sodium polyacrylate resulted in a significant increase in the shear viscosity of the SSB-2.

EXAMPLE 9

[0163] Dried solid bars were prepared from Rhoplex® NW 1715K: Rovene® 4817 and Dur-O-Set® RB. The bars were prepared by pouring a quantity of the polymer into a rectangular silicone mold an open rectangular silicone mold 1 cm wide, 4 cm long, and 3 mm deep. The polymer in the mold was then heated at 60°C overnight. The dried polymer in the mold was then placed in a container with 30 ml of deionized water at about 23°C and allowed to sit for one hour. None of the bars were dispersed in the deionized water

[0164] Bar samples were then prepared from the sulfonate anion modified acrylic acid terpolymer (NaAMPS+SSB) blended separately with Rhoplex® NW 1715K, Rovene® 4817 and Dur-O-Set® RB. The polymer blends were made from 75% by weight sulfonate anion modified acrylic acid terpolymer and 25% by weight of the co-binder polymers. The bar samples were prepared in the same manner as described above. The bar samples were then added to deionized water. Each of the bar samples made from the following polymer blends; *i.e.*, NaAMPS+SSB/Rhoplex NW 1715K. NaAMPS+SSB/Rovene 4817 and NaAMPS+SSB/Dur-O-Set RB, dispersed in the deionized water within one hour.

EXAMPLE 10

[0165] A substrate in the form of an airlaid web was prepared on a commercial airlaid machine having a width of 168.9cm (66.5 inches). A Dan Web airlaid former with two forming heads was used to produce substrates having basis weights of about 60 gsm. Weyerhaeuser CF405 bleached softwood kraft fiber in pulp sheet form was used and fiberized in a hammermill, then formed into an airlaid web on a moving wire at a speed of 60.7 to 91.4m (200 to 300 feet) per minute. The newly formed web was densified by heated compaction rolls and transferred to a second wire, where the web was humidified with an atomized spray of water applying an estimated 5% moisture add on level immediately prior to a second heated compaction roll to further density the web. The web was then transferred to an oven wire and sprayed

on the top side with ion-sensitive polymer formulation mixture on the exposed surface of the web, applying 10% ion-sensitive polymer formulation solids relative to the dry fiber mass of the web.

[0166] The ion-sensitive polymer formulation mixture comprised water as the carrier with 12% binder solids, wherein the binder comprised 75% SSB-4 as the ion-sensitive polymer formulation and 25% Rhoplex® NW-1715K latex emulsion (Rohm and Haas Corp.) as the co-binder polymer.

[0167] Spray was applied with a series of Quick Veejet® nozzles, Nozzle No. 730077, manufactured by Spraying Systems Co. (Wheaton, Illinois), operating at 665 Pa (95 psi). A spray boom over the web provided 13 such nozzles on 13.97cm (5.5 inch) centers with a tip-to-wire distance of 20.32cm (8inches). This arrangement yields 100% overlap of spray cones for the ion-sensitive polymer formulation solution of this trial.

[0168] After the web was sprayed, it was carried into an oven with through-flow of air at about 225° C. to dry the binder solution. The web then was transferred onto the underside of another oven wire, upon which it passed over another spray boom where more ion-sensitive polymer formulation solution was applied to the bottom side of the web to add another 10 weight percent solids relative to the dry fiber mass of the web. The web then passed through two successive dryer units where through-air drying with air at about 225° C. completed drying of the web. The pressure differential across the web was approximately 25.4 cm (10 inches) of water. The length of the three dryer sections, from first to third, respectively, was about 2.74, 3.05 and 1.83m (9, 10, and 6 feet).

[0169] The thickness of the web after drying was 1.14 mm (this number, like other physical properties reported here, can vary depending on the fibers, basis weight, and so forth). The machine direction dry tensile (MDDT) strength of the web was measured at 4.59 kg/3 in (kg/7.62 cm). The cross direction dry tensile (CDDT) strength of the web was measured at 3.82 kg/3 in (kg/7.62cm) with a CD stretch of 8.98%.

[0170] The dried and treated web was then trimmed to 152.4 cm (60 inches) width, reeled and later slit into 4-inch wide rolls, which were then treated with wetting composition and formed into coreless rolls suitable for use as a pre-moistened bath wipe. The wetting composition was sprayed uniformly on one side of the 4-inch wide web prior to reeling the web into rolls suitably sized for use. The wetting composition was 4 weight percent NaCl in deionized water.

[0171] The cross direction wet tensile (CDWT) at 4 weight percent saline was measured at 0.76 kg/3 in (kg/7.62 cm). The soaked CDWT strength was effectively 0, as was the Soaked CD Stretch, meaning the sheet was fully dispersible.

EXAMPLE 11

[0172] The sheet formed was identical to that of Example 10 except that the fibers in the airlaid web were 75% softwood kraft and 25% PET fibers. The thickness of the web after drying was 1.35 mm. The machine direction dry tensile (MDDT) strength of the web was measured at 3.87 kg/3 in (kg/7.62cm). The cross direction dry tensile (CDDT) strength of the web was measured at 2.84 kg/3 in (kg/7.62cm) with a CD stretch of 11.31 %. The cross direction wet tensile (CDWT) at 4% saline was measured at 0.82 kg/3 in (kg/7.62cm). The soaked CDWT strength was effectively 0, as was the Soaked CD Stretch.

EXAMPLE 12

[0173] Additional examples were conducted according to Example 10. with the exception that Rovene latex emulsion was used as the co-binder polymer and the basis weight and fiber composition vaned as shown in Table 11. The Soaked CDWT results were all 0, indicating a complete loss of tensile strength. Other results are shown in Table 11, where Pulp/PET designates the ratio of-softwood to synthetic fibers in the substrate, BW is the basis weight in gsm, TH is the thickness in mm, and S-CDWT-M is the one-hour soak CD wet tensile test for a sample soaked in water containing 200 ppm of Ca^{++}/Mg^{++} in a 2:1 ratio.

Table 11. Measurements for Examples 3A-3F.

Run	Pulp/PET	BW	TH	MDDT	CDDT	CDWT	S-CDWT-M
3A	100/0	60.3	1.18	5.44	4.12	0.69	0
3B	85/15	62.9	1.25	4.68	4.23	0.66	0
3C	75/25	55.6	1.04	5.48	4.06	0.66	0
3D	75/25	59.3	1.19	4.87	3.96	0.81	0.17
3E	75/25	60.7	1.48	4.41	3.51	0.79	0.12
3F	85/15	62.7	1.46	4.6	3.82	0.76	0

[0174] The non-zero S-CDWT-M values (soaked wet tensile in hard water) are non-zero for two trials with 25% PET fibers, suggesting that higher amounts of synthetic fibers can begin to compromise water dispersibility.

EXAMPLE 13

[0175] A pre-moistened wipe was made similar to that of Example 10, except that the co-binder polymer was a modified Elite® latex emulsion substantially free of crosslinking agents provided by National Starch. The basis weight of the web was 61.35, the thickness 1.21 mm, the MDDT 5.09 kg/3-in (kg/7.62cm), the MD stretch 7.89%, the CDDT 3.90 kg/3-in (kg/7.62cm), the CD stretch 9.50%, the CDWT in 4% saline 0.78 kg/3-in (kg/7.62cm), the CDWT stretch 32.96%, and the residual strengths after one hour in both deionized water (S-CDWT) and hard water (S-CDWT-M) were 0 kg/3-in (kg/7.62cm).

EXAMPLE 14

Particulate Addition

[0176] Pre-moistened wipes comprising the basesheet of Example 10 were prepared with a wetting composition comprising a slurry of particles.
The particles were selected from the following products marketed by Presperse, Inc. (Piscataway, New Jersey):

Table 12. Panicles from Presperse, Inc. selected for use in pre-moistened wipes

Name	Composition	Characteristics
MCP-45	Mica and polymethyl methacrylate	Fine powder, platelets coated with microspheres, 13-17 microns
Sericite SL-012	98% mica, 2% methicone	Fine white powder, hydrophobic surface, 2-10 microns
Rose talc	Talc	White powder, 10-12 microns
Permethyl 104A	Iso-octahexacontane (polyisobutene)	
Cashmir K-I]	Mica (97%), silica beads (3%), 0.3 microns	Fine white powder, platelets coated with microspheres, 10-14 microns
Synthecite FNK-100	Synthetic fluorphogopite	Fine powder, 10-15 microns
Ganzpearl GMX-0610	Methyl methacrylate crosspolymer	Spherical powder, 4.5-8.5 microns
Ganzpearl GS-0605	Styrene/divinylbenzene copolymer	White powder, 4.5-8.5 microns
Ganzpearl PS-8F	Styrene/divinylbenzene copolymer	0.4 microns
Spheron N-2000	Amorphous silica	White powder, 2-15 microns, low oil absorption
Spheron L-1500	Amorphous silica	White powder, 3-15 microns, high oil absorption

[0177] For each particle type in Table 12, five 1000-gram batches of wetting composition were prepared with particle concentrations of 0.5%, 1%, 2%, 5%, and 10% by weight. Each batch was prepared by adding the appropriate amount of deionized, filtered water to a 1.15-liter beaker (for the 5 batches, the water amounts were, respectively, 926.3 g, 921.3 g, 911 g, 881 g, and 831g). A 6.35cm (2.5 inch) magnetic stirring rod stirred the contents of the jar while residing on a Thermolyne Cimarec 2 stirrer, with stirring speed set to maximum to provide a strong central vortex in each of 5 jars. Each batch comprised 4 weight percent sodium chloride, added to the water as 40 g of salt; weight percent (10 g) Amisoft ECS22-P acylglutamate surfactant (Ajinomoto, Tokyo, Japan); 0.5 weight percent (5 g) DC silicone emulsion (Dow Coming) added to the salt water and surfactant; 1 weight percent (10 g) Mackstat H 66 preservative (McIntyre Group, Chicano, Illinois); and 0.05 weight percent (0.5 g) of fragrance first mixed into 0.25 weight percent (2.5 g) of polysorbate 20, then mixed into the solution comprising the previous ingredients; and the respective amount of powder (from 0.5 to 10, weight percent or from 5 g to 100 g). The powder was added to the solution as it was being stirred and allowed to wet and become suspended over a period of about 30 minutes after addition of the powder. Some additional stirring by hand was needed for some of the powders to promote mixing. Once the powder was dispersed in the liquid, the pH was

adjusted to 5.0 by adding malic acid, prepared at a strength of 50 weight percent in water. The pH was measured with a Cole Parmer Model 59002-00 pH/mV/° C. meter, with a Model 59002-72 KK8 electrode.

[0178] Each of the particle suspensions was then added to dried airlaid basesheets that had been treated with NaAMPS binder and a co-binder polymer according to Example 13. The add level was 200%, with application by spray on one side of the web. The moistened web was then sealed in plastic to sit overnight. Examination of the pre-moistened wipes treated with particulate suspensions as the wetting composition revealed that the particles generally remained in the wet wipe without the need for additional thickeners or polymeric retention aids. Squeezing the pre-moistened wipes, for example, yielded a mostly clear fluid apparently substantially devoid of particulates, in contrast to the milky suspensions used to wet the wipes. Generally, no visible residue appeared to be left of the hands after using the wipes. The particulates also generally improved opacity and appeared to slightly provide tactile property improvements (reduced tack, better rheological feel).

EXAMPLE 15

[0179] The role of ungelled starch particles in the wetting composition of the present invention was investigated as a means of reducing tackiness and improving surface feel for a pre-moistened wipe. Five wetting compositions containing tapioca starch were prepared according to the formulations in Table 13. Softwood airlaid webs according to Example 10 were wetted with the wetting composition with a 300% add-on level. (QS means "quantity sufficient" to achieve the desired pH).

Table 13. Formulations for five wetting compositions containing starch

Water Phase	A	B	C	D	E
Water (Tap)	76.9	71.9	68.9	66.9	96.45
Laponite XLS	2	2	2	2	
Phospholipid CDM					0.5
Malic Acid (50% Solution) to pH 4	7	7	7	7	
Tapioca 28-1810	10	15	18	20	
DC 1/84	1	1	1	1	
Dragoco fragrances 0/708768	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.05
Mackstate H 66	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
Sodium Chloride	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5
Mackam 2C;	1	1	1	1	1
Malic Acid(50% Solution) to pH 4	QS	QS	QS	QS	QS

[0180] The pre-moistened wipes comprising starch displayed reduced tackiness when handled with the human hand than did similar pre-moistened wipes without the starch. The wipes containing starch also felt smoother.

EXAMPLE 16

[0181] Additional pre-moistened wipes were prepared using the wetting compositions displayed in Table 14, one of which comprised starch as an additive and the other which comprised botanicals. The wetting composition was added to an airlaid fibrous substrate comprising an ion-sensitive binder. The wetting composition was added at add-on levels of 300 and 200 weight percent, respectively.

Table 14. Formulations for two wetting compositions

Code	Starch	Botanical
formula #	1	2
Raw Matenals		
Water Phase		

(continued)

Code	Starch	Botanical
Water (Tap)	61.4	95.45
Laponite XLS	2	
Phospholipid CDM		0.5
Malic Acid (50% Solution) to pH 4	7	
Tapioca 28-1810	25	
DC 1784	1	0.5
Dragoco Fragrance 0/708768	0.1	0.05
Mackstate H 66	1	0.5
Sodium Chloride	1.5	1.5
Mackam 2C	1	
Malic Acid(50% Solution) to pH 4	QS	QS
CS-22		0.5
Emulgin G		0.5
Witch Hazel		0.5
	100	100
pH- Final		
Solution add-on	300%	200%

EXAMPLE 17

Binder Specifications

[0182] A variety of ion-sensitive binders were prepared comprising acrylic acid (AA), butacrylic acid (BA), 2-ethylhexyl-acrylic acid, and AMPS, with the mole percents and molecular weights shown in Table 15:

Table 15. Ion-sensitive binders comprising AMPS

SSB Code	Mole percent of monomers:				
	MW x 10^{-6}	AA	BA	2-EHA	AMPS
A	1.54	60	24.5	10.5	5
B	1.32	60	24.5	10.5	5
C	0.604	60	24.5	10.5	5
D	0.548	60	24.5	10.5	5
E	0.609	60	24.5	10.5	5
F	0.545	60	24.5	10.5	5
G	1.21	62	24.5	8.5	5
H	0.79	60	24.5	10.5	5
I	0.916	60	24.5	10.5	5
J	0.71	60	24.5	10.5	5
K	0.786	60	24.5	10.5	5

(continued)

SSB Code	Mole percent of monomers:				
	MW x 10^{-6}	AA	BA	2-EHA	AMPS
L	0.845	60	24.5	10.5	5
M	0.640	60	24.5	10.5	5
N	0.800	60	24.5	10.5	5
O	0.635	60	24.5	10.5	5
P	0.610	60	24.5	10.5	5
Q	0.575	60	24.5	10.5	5
R	0.638	60	24.5	10.5	5
S	0.912	62	26.5	7.5	4
T	0.609	60	25.5	10.5	4
U	0.835	58	27	10	5
V	0.675	58	27	10	5
W	0.734	58	27	10	5
X	0.716	58	27	10	5
Y	0.650	58	27	10	5
Z	0.718	58	27	10	5
AA	0.518	58	27	10	5
AB	0.544	58	27	10	5

[0183] These binders were prepared according to the methods of Example 1, but scaled up as a batch process capable of producing several hundred gallons per batch.

EXAMPLE 18

Typical Wetting Solution

[0184] A wetting composition was prepared by combining the following ingredients according to the specific weight percent: 92.88 weight percent deionized water, 4 weight percent NaCl, 1 weight percent Mackstat H-66 preservative (McIntyre Group, Chicago, Illinois), 1 weight percent CS22 acyl glutamate anionic surfactant (Amisoft Corp., Tokyo, Japan), 0.5 weight percent DC 1785 silicone emulsion (Dow Coming), 0.25 weight percent Solulan L-575 (PEG-75 lanolin, available from Amerchol, a division of Union Carbide), 0.05 weight percent Dragoco fragrance 0/708768 (Dragoco SA, Cuautitlán Izcalli, D.F. Mexico, Mexico), 0.25 weight percent polysorbate 20, and about 0.07 weight percent of 50 percent by weight malic acid solution to bring the pH to 5.0.

EXAMPLE 19

A Treated Substrate

[0185] An airlaid substrate was made with the equipment described for Example 10. Basis weight was 65 gsm and the fibers were 100% Weyerhaeuser CF405 bleached softwood kraft pulp. The binder solution had 12.8 weight percent binder solids, 75 weight percent of which was SSB Code H of Table 15 and 25 weight percent Dur-O-Set RB latex co-binder (National Starch). The binder solution was sprayed onto the web as described in Example 1, with the dryer air temperature at 215° C. for all three oven sections.

EXAMPLE 20

A Treated Substrate

[0186] An airlaid substrate was made according to Example 10, except that the basis weight was 63 gsm and the oven temperature was 227° C. Reel speed was 197 fpm. Thickness of the dried web was 1.30 mm. MDDT was 5.55 kg/3-in (kg/7.62cm), CCDT was 4.83 kg/3-in (kg/7.62cm), CDWT (in 4% NaCl solution) was 1.07 kg/3-in (kg/7.62cm), and S-CDWT as well as S-CDWT-M (1 hour soak tests) gave 0 kg/3-in (kg/7.62cm).

[0187] Some of the dried web was slit to a 10.8 cm (4.25-inch) width and treated with wetting composition at 225% add-on, comprising 4% NaCl in deionized water without surfactant. The moistened web was perforated with a perf-knife operating with a depth of 1.78mm (0.070 inches) to perforate every 11.43cm (4.5 inches). The perforated web was rolled into a coreless roll with 100 perforated sheets per roll (approximately 11.43m (37.5 feet) per roll) and placed in white plastic cartridge for subsequent use in a dispenser for pre-moistened wipes.

EXAMPLE 21

[0188] A portion of the dried, treated web of Example 20 was wetted with the wetting composition of Example 18 and converted into perforate roll form for use as pre-moistened wipes to be dispensed from a bathroom dispenser.

COMPARATIVE EXAMPLE 22

[0189] A conventional, adhesively bonded airlaid substrate with a basis weight of 60.1 gsm was created using the methods described in Example 10. Dur-O-Set E-646 (National Starch) was used with wood pulp (CF405) The substrate was wetted with a 4% NaCl solution and tested using the methods described. The binder was entirely the self-crosslinking Dur-O-Set E-646 compound; no salt-sensitive binder was applied. The binder solids mass was 17% of the substrate mass. Dry thickness of the web was 1.4 mm, and the CDWT value was 1.3 kg/3-in (kg/7.62cm), while S-CDWT wa 1.2 kg and S CDWT-M was 1.15 kg, indicating that the web maintained nearly all of its strength after soaking, and suggesting that the crosslinked latex provided the majority of the tensile strength of the web and that the latex bonds did not weaken substantially in water.

EXAMPLE 23

[0190] A variety of binder/co-binder combinations were prepared, as described below, using the salt-sensitive binders of Table 15 and co-binders as shown in Table 16 which are not self-crosslinkable.

Table 16. Latex co-binders that are not self-crosslinkable.

Co-binder ID	Co-binder	Manufacturer
1	Dur-O-Set RB	National Starch
2	Rhoplex NW-1715K	Rohm and Haas
3	Rovene 4817	Mallard Creek

[0191] Using the methods described in Example 10, airlaid substrates were made from bleached kraft fibers. The substrate was wetted with a 4% NaCl solution and tested using the methods described. All substrates were comprised of wood pulp (CF405) and binder. Results are shown in Table 17, where the binder mixture consistently comprised 75% of a salt-sensitive binder selected from Table 15 and 25% of a co-binder selected from. Table 16. The binder/co-binder column refers to the binder and co-binders listed in Table 15 and 16, respectively. For example, "A/I" refers to a mixture of SSB Code A in Table 15 and co-binder 1 of Table 16.

Table 17. Tensile data for various binder systems.

% Binder	Binder/ Cob.	SSB MWx10^{-6}	BW (gsm)	Thick (mm)	CDWT (kg/3") (kg/ 7.62cm)	S- CDWT (kg/3") (kg/ 7.62cm)	S-CDWT-M (kg/3") (kg/ 7.62cm)	S-CDWT-M3 3 hrs(kg/3") (kg/7.62cm)
16.7	A/1	1.54	71.3	1.46	0.990	0	0.330	0.180

(continued)

% Binder	Binder/ Cob.	SSB MWx10^{-6}	BW (gsm)	Thick (mm)	CDWT (kg/3") (kg/ 7.62cm)	S- CDWT (kg/3") (kg/ 7.62cm)	S-CDWT-M (kg/3") (kg/ 7.62cm)	S-CDWT-M3 3 hrs(kg/3") (kg/7.62cm)
20	B/1	1.32	63.3	1.25	1.242	0.163	0.470	0.310
20	B/1	1.32	66.6	1.46	1.040	0	0.230	0.550
20	G/1	1.21	62.2	1.20	1.002	0	0.270	0
20	H/1	0.79	63.1	1.3	1.070	0	0	0
16.7	C/1	0.604	73.6	1.59	0.750	0	0	0
20	C/1	0.604	71.2	1.5	0.900	0	0	0
20	C/1	0.604	61.1	1.28	1.140	0	0	0
20	D/1	0.548	62.5	1.32	0.900	0	0	0

[0192] As seen in Table 17, nearly all of the substrates have lost more that 80% of their tensile strength after soaking in deionized water for I hour (S-CDWT). The substrates have lost more that 60% of their strength (S-CDWT-M) after soaking for 1 hour in a solution, of 200 ppm of divalent cations (Ca++/Mg++ 2:1). In particular, for the runs shown in Table 17, the samples completely lost their strength in 1 hour in the 200 ppm solution when the molecular weight of the salt sensitive binder was less than 1,200,000. After 3 hours of soak time in the 200 ppm divalent cation solution, the SSBs with high molecular weight have generally lost more of their strength, but may still have non-zero tensile strength.

[0193] By comparison, the comparative Example 22 lost less than 15% of its strength after soaking for 1 hour in either deionized water or 200 ppm divalent ion solution. All of the substrates in Table 17 lost more tensile strength on soaking than the comparative Example 22.

EXAMPLE 24

[0194] Different co-binders from Table 16 were blended with the salt-sensitive binder Code F from Table 15. The binder blend was then applied using the methods described in Example 10 to create the airlaid substrates listed in Table 18. In each case, 20% binder solids were applied to the substrate in a blend of 75% SSB/25% co-binder

Table 18. Tensile data for various co-binder systems.

Binder/ Co-binder	Co-binder Used	BW (gsm)	Thick, (mm)	CDWT (kg/3") (kg/7.62cm)	S-CDWT (kg/ 3") (kg/ 7.62cm)	S-CDWT-M (kg/3") (kg/ 7.62cm)
F/1	Dur-O-Set RB	59.77	1.06	0.735	0	0
F/2	Rhoplex	60.83	1.14	0.758	0	0
F/3	Rovene	60.28	1.18	0.687	0	0

[0195] Under similar run conditions, all three co-binders perform comparably. All of the substrates have lost their tensile strength (S-CDWT-M) in the 200 ppm divalent cation solution independent of co-binder type.

EXAMPLE 25

[0196] Measurements were made of the peel force required to unroll the product from the outer layers of a coreless roll of pre-moistened wipes suitable for use as a moist toilet paper product. The product was made according to Example 10 with an add-on level of 200% wetting composition. The dried web was slit to a 10.8 cm (4.25-inch) width and treated with wetting composition at 200% add-on, comprising 4% NaCl in deionized water with surfactants, silicone, and lanolin as listed in Table 19 for wetting composition Q, R, and S. The moistened web was perforated with a perf-knife operating to perforate every 11.43 cm (4.5 inches). The perforated web was rolled into a coreless roll with 100 perforated sheets per roll (approximately 11.43 m (37.5 feet) per roll) and sealed in a plastic cartridge for subsequent use in a dispenser for premoistened wipes.

Table 19. Other additives in three wetting compositions.

Solution	Silicone emulsion	Lanolin	Acylglutamate surfactant
Q	0.50%	0.25%	CS22
R	1.00%	0.25%	ECS 22P
S	1.00%	0%	ECS 22P

[0197] The roll rested freely in a plastic tub with a rounded, ribbed bottom that held the roll in place with a minimum of friction when the roll was unwound by pulling vertically upwards on the tail end of the roll. Adjacent plies adhered to each other such that some force was required to separate the layers. The peel force needed was less than the weight of the roll and appeared to be substantially greater than the frictional resistance offered by the tub as the roll rumed, evidenced in part by angle between the web and the roll at the point of separation. With no peel force, the angle between the web being pulled up and a line normal to the roll at the point of separation would be 90 degrees, but in unwinding the moist roll with the salt-sensitive binder, the angle was substantially less than 90 degrees, thus imparting peel force to separate the web.

[0198] The peel force was measured with an MTS Sintech I/G test machine with TestWorks 3.10 software. All testing as done in a conditioned laboratory under Tappi Standard conditions. A 11.43 cm (4.5 inch) wide clamp with rubber surfaces gripped the tail of a roll, with the roll position directly underneath the clamp such the tail would remain vertical as it was unwound from the roll if there were no peel force causing the web to wrap a portion of the roll and deflect from the vertical. The clamp was attached to the crosshead, which pulled the tissue web upward at a speed of 100 cm/minute. Peel force was measured by a 50 N load cell. The average load to pull 18 sheets away from the roll was recorded by averaging two runs in which 4 sheets each were separated and two runs in which 5 sheets each were separated. Only the first 18 sheets from the roll were used in the measurement. The average peel force for two rolls per condition (for an overall average taken over a total of 36 sheets) is reported in Table 20 below.

Table 20. Peel force in grams to remove a web from a wound moist roll.

BW, gsm	Thickness mm	Binder add-on	Solution	MDWT, g/in (g/2.54cm)	Peel force, g
65	1.1	22%	Q	500	167
65	1.1	22%	R	475	170
65	1.1	22%	S	533	162
60	0.76	20%	Q	438	131
55	0.76	20%	Q	353	106
55	0.76	20%	R	341	120
55	0.84	20%	R	385	115

[0199] Peel forces for a roll having a width between 7 and 15 cm (the width of the rolls tested in Table 20 are 10.8 cm) are desirably are less than 500 g, more specifically less than 300 g, more specifically less than about 200 g, more specifically still less than about 160 g, most specifically less than about 120 g, with an exemplary range of from about 50 g to about 350 g, or from about 80 g to about 200 g. More generally, the peel force per 10.16 cm (4-inch) width of a moist roll can be any of the aforementioned values of ranges.

EXAMPLE 26

[0200] Additional samples were prepared according to Example 24 above, except that 15 weight % of the fiber blend consisted of 6-mm, crimped PET fibers (KoSa). Different co-binders from Table 16 were blended with the salt-sensitive binder Code F from Table 15. The binder blend was then applied using the methods described in Example 10 to create the airlaid substrates whose properties are listed in Table 21. In each case, 20% binder solids were applied to the substrate in a blend of 75% SSB/25% co-binder. The properties of these substrates were measured after wetting with a 4% NaCl solution. All three co-binders perform comparably. All of the substrates have lost their tensile strength in 200 ppm divalent cation solution independent of co-binder type. Compared to the parallel results in Example 24, incorporation of the synthetic fibers impart a slight to modest strength improvement (CDWT) and a modest increase in dry bulk.

Table 21. Data for substrates with PET fibers and various co-binders.

Binder/ Co-binder	Co-binder Used	BW (gsm)	Thick, (mm)	CDWT (kg/3") (kg/7.6cm)	S-CDWT (kg/3") (kg/7.6cm)	S-CDWT-M (kg/3") (kg/7.6cm)
F/1	Dur-O-Set RB	63.32	1.31	0.782	0	0
F/2	Rhoplex	62.07	1.35	0.820	0	0
F/3	Rovene	62.90	1.25	0.660	0	0

EXAMPLE 27

[0201] Additional examples were conducted according to Example 26 with increasing amounts of synthetic fiber being added to the fiber blend. Either a 6 mm crimped PET fiber (KoSa) or a 6 mm, crimped 2.4 dtex. Lyocell fiber was used as noted in Table 22 below. The binder blend was a constant blend of 75% SSB and 25% co-binder

Table 22. Data for substrates with PET fibers and various co-binders.

Pulp/ Synth.	Synth. Type	Binder %	Binder/ Co-binder	BW (gsm)	Thick. (mm)	CDWT (kg/3") (kg/7.62cm)	S-CDWT (kg/3") (kg/7.62cm)	S-CDWT-M (kg/3") (kg/7.62cm)
100/0	None	20%	F/3	60.28	1.18	0.687	0	0
85/15	PET 6mm	20%	F/3	62.90	1.25	0.660	0	0
75/25	PET 6mm	20%	F/3	59.32	1.19	0.805	0	0.170
75/25	PET 6mm	20%	F/3	60.65	1.48	0.790	0	0.120
85/15	PET 6mm	20%	F/3	62.67	1.46	0.757	0	0
85/15	Lyocell-6mm	19%	E/2	58.3	1.08	0.969	0	0
75/25	Lyocell-6mm	19%	E/2	59.2	1.09	1.080	0	0.127

[0202] The non-zero soaked CDWT tensiles in 200 ppm of divalent cation are non-zero for those trial combinations with 25% synthetic fiber (PET or Lyocell), suggesting that higher amounts can begin to compromise water dispersibility.

EXAMPLE 28

[0203] The substrates shown in Table 23 were all made according to the methods of Example 10 and prepared according to the methods described in Example 23. All of the substrates in Table 23 were formed from airlaid pulp (CF405). All binder blends were 75% SSB and 25% co-binder. The dry thickness of the sheet was controlled by adjusting the level of web compaction by the two compaction rolls prior to the first spray application of binder. SSB Codes O and Q from Table 15 were used.

Table 23. Data for substrates with PET fibers and various co-binders.

% Binder in sheet	Binder / Cob.	BW (gsm)	Thick. (mm)	CDWT (kg/3") (kg/7.62cm)	S-CDWT (kg/3") (kg/7.62cm)	S-CDWT-M (kg/3") (kg/7.62cm)
20	O/1	66.0	1.27	1.055	0	0
20	O/1	68.2	0.77	1.550	0	0
20	O/1	52.5	1.19	0.728	0	0
20	Q/1	54.19	0.75	1.372	0	0

(continued)

% Binder in sheet	Binder / Cob.	BW (gsm)	Thick. (mm)	CDWT (kg/3") (kg/7.62cm)	S-CDWT (kg/3") (kg/7.62cm)	S-CDWT-M (kg/3") (kg/7.62cm)
17	Q/1	57.5	0.89	1.110	0	0
20	Q/1	59.90	0.75	1.583	0	0
20	Q/1	65.36	0.76	1.696	0	0
20	Q/1	66.43	1.20	1.296	0	0

[0204] It appears that compaction of the dry web prior to binder application can significantly increase final sheet wet strength without sacrificing dispersibility. This unexpected level of strength increase can allow equivalent wet tensiles to be achieved in a variety of combinations including basis weight reduction and/or percent binder in sheet reductions.

EXAMPLE 29

[0205] All substrates were prepared according to the methods described in Example 27. All substrates were comprised of the fiber blend noted in Table 24 with 20% binder in the sheet and Dur-O-Set RB serving as the co-binder. Synthetic fibers were crimped and either 6 mm PET (KoSa) or 6 or 8 mm Lyocell with 1.7 or 2.4 dtex (Accordis).

Table 24. Data for substrates with various fibers and binders.

Code	% Syn. Fiber	Syn. Fiber	Binder / Cob.	SSB MW	BW (gsm)	Thick. (mm)	CDWT (kg/3") (kg/7.62cm)	S-CDWT (kg/3") (kg/7.62cm)	S-CDWT-M (kg/3") (kg/7.62cm)
2701	0	none	F/1	545000	59.8	1.06	0.735	0	0
2702	15	PET	F/1	545000	63.3	1.31	0.782	0	0
2713	15	L-2.4-6	F/1	545000	62.0	1.39	0.840	0	0
2714	15	L-1.7-6	F/1	545000	61.8	1.33	0.768	0	0
2715	15	L-1.7-8	F/1	545000	63.7	1.47	0.842	0	0
2716	0	none	J/1	710000	65.5	1.11	1.193	0	0
2717	15	L-1.7-8	J/1	710000	61.4	1.02	1.512	0	0.200
3010	0	none	R/1	638000	61.10	0.80	1.710	0	0
3015	15	PET	R/1	638000	62.23	0.86	1.769	0	0.070
3016	5	L-1.7-8	R/1	638000	60.63	0.79	2.620	0	0.170

[0206] The examples of Table 24 suggest that that synthetic fiber length, SSB molecular weight and web compaction in combination can affect the dispersibility of the product as indicated by its S-CDWT-M value. All substrates comprised of the 6 or 8 mm synthetic fibers were dispersible with the lower molecular weight SSB. As the molecular weight was increased, the 8 mm Lyocell substrate began to retain some of its strength after soaking for 1 hour in the divalent cation solution; this substrate, however, was dispersible in D1 water. Densifying the dry web prior to binder application can also impact the dispersibility of a synthetic fiber containing substrate (Codes 3015 and 3016). Both Code 3015 and Code 3016 were fully dispersible in the D1 water. Sheet dispersibility can be managed by choosing lower molecular weight SSBs in combination with synthetic fibers and dry web densification.

EXAMPLE 30

[0207] The substrates listed in Table 25 were prepared, wetted with 4% NaCl solution, and tested according to the methods described in Example 29. Each substrate was comprised of the fiber blend noted and 20% binder with the SSB / co-binder blend noted in Table 25. Dur-O-Set RB was the co-binder used in all of the samples listed in Table 25. All

codes used 100% softwood fiber except the last one, Code 2813, which comprised 15% PET fiber (the 6 mm, crimped fiber obtained from KoSa). Basis weight was generally held constant to about 60 gsm. The thickness of the airlaid web was controlled by adjusting the level of web compaction by the two compaction rolls prior to the first spray application of binder. The dry CD stiffness of selected substrates in Table 25 were measured using a Handle-o-meter and reported as stiffness.

Table 25. Data for substrates with various binder blends.

Code	Binder / Cob.	Bind. Type	BW (gsm)	Thick (mm)	CDWT (kg/3") (kg/ 7.62cm)	S-CDWT (kg/3") (kg/ 7.62cm)	S-CDWT-M (kg/3") (kg/ 7.62cm)	Stiffness g force
3025	100/0	R	58.42	1.23	1.003	0	0	200
3026	100/0	R	58.68	0.76	1.953	0	0	214
3007	75/25	R	60.23	1.19	0.942	0	0	189
3008	75/25	R	59.38	1.03	1.161	0	0	
3009	75/25	R	59.73	0.92	1.243	0	0	
3010	75/25	R	61.10	0.80	1.713	0	0	181
3021	65/35	R	62.24	1.17	0.988	0	0.050	177
3022	65/35	R	62.07	0.81	1.800	0.030	0.200	167
3024	55/45	R	58.95	1.23	0.853	0	0.100	145
3023	55/45	R	59.01	0.78	1.608	0.150	0.230	141
2812	65/35	P	59.1	1.37	0.735	0	0	
2813	65/35	P	59.4	1.41	0.723	0	0	

[0208] As the percentage of the salt sensitive binder in the blend is decreased from 100% to 55% there is only to modest decrease in the CDWT at constant dry bulk. At compositions of 65% salt-sensitive binder in the binder blend, the substrate begins to retain a greater portion of its wet strength after soaking for 1 hour in 200 ppm of the divalent cation solution. As the web is densified prior to the first binder application and the percentage of salt sensitive binder in the blend is reduced to 65% or lower, a greater amount of strength is retained after soaking in D1 water or the 200 ppm divalent cation solution for 1 hour compared to the same compositions at a higher dry bulk These examples suggest that increasing the co-binder content with or without additional densification of the web can begin to compromise substrate dispersibility.

[0209] The results in Table 25 also show significant CDWT increases as the thickness of the dry web is compressed prior to the application of the binder. Codes 3007 to 3010 show that the CDWT is increasing as a function of decreasing dry bulk with no loss of substrate dispersibility at constant binder conditions.

[0210] Based on the Handle-O-Meter results (stiffness), it appears that as the percentage of salt sensitive binder in the blend is decreased, the CD stiffness of the substrate decreases.

EXAMPLE 31

[0211] The substrates listed in Table 26 were prepared according to the method described in Examples 10 and 23. Each substrate comprised pulp (CF405) and 20% binder. The binder had the SSB / co-binder blend given in Table 26. Dur-O-Set RB was the co-binder. The substrate was converted into roll form and wetted with solution Q of Table 19 (solution D). Measurements were made of the peel force required to unroll the product from the outer layers of the coreless roll of pre-moistened wipes according to the method described in Example 25. The results of these tests are recorded in Table 26 below.

Table 26. Peel force results for coreless rolls.

Code	% Binder In sheet	Binder Blend	Binder/ Cob.	BW (gsm)	Thick. (mm)	Peel (g)
3026	20	100/0	R/1	58.68	0.76	142

(continued)

Code	% Binder In sheet	Binder Blend	Binder/ Cob.	BW (gsm)	Thick. (mm)	Peel (g)
3010	20	75/25	R/1	61.10	0.80	139
3022	20	65/35	R/1	62.07	0.81	116
3023	20	55/45	R/1	59.01	0.78	111

[0212] In this case, decreasing the percentage of the salt-sensitive binder in the blend decreased the peel force.

EXAMPLE 32

[0213] Samples were made as in Example 10 using 75/25 blends of SSB binder (see Table 15) and Dur-O-Set RB co-binder (co-binder 1 of Table 16), according to the information in Table 27 below. Tensile results in Table 27 show good dispersibility over a range of product conditions.

Table 27. Tensile results for a range of binders and basesheet properties.

% Binder	Binder / Cob.	SSB MW	BW (gsm)	Thick. (mm)	CDWT (kg/ 3") (kg/ 7.62cm)	S-CDWT (kg/3") (kg/ 7.62cm)	S-CDWT-M (kg/3") (kg/ 7.62cm)
20	O/1	632000	52.5	1.19	0728	0	0
20	Q/1	575000	54.19	0.75	1.372	0	0
15.2	J/1	710000	55.2	1.47	0.320	0	0
24	L/1	834000	60.4	0.92	1.070	0	0
20	D/1	548000	62.5	1.32	0.900	0	0
20	H/1	790000	63.1	1.3	1.070	0	0
22	R/1	638000	66.47	0.76	2.273	0	0
20	C/1	604,000	74.4	1.47	1.120	0	0

The samples reported in Table 27 demonstrate some of the ranges of binder content, basis weight, and web thickness over which dispersible substrates can be made.

EXAMPLE 33

[0214] Samples were made generally as in Example 10 using 75/25 blends of SSB binder (see Table 15) and co-binder (see Table 16) as noted in Table 28. All substrates contain 6 mm crimped, 2.4 dtex Lyocell (Accordis) as 15% of the fiber blend with 85% softwood pulp (CF405). All substrates are comprised of 19% binder and 81 % of the binder blend.

Table 28. Tensile results for a range of binders and basesheet properties.

Binder/ Cob.	SSB MW.	BW (gsm)	Thick. (mm)	CDWT (kg/3") (kg/7.62cm)	S-CDWT (kg/3") (kg/7.62cm)	S-CDWT-M (kg/ 3") (kg/7.62cm)
L/1	845,000	61.1	1.17	0.960	0	0
W/1	734,000	60.2	1.17	0.960	0	0.198
AB/1	544,000	56.2	0.95	1.060	0	0
AB/2	544,000	58.6	1.06	0.990	0	0.205
E/2	609,000	58.3	1.08	0.969	0	0

[0215] In Table 28, all samples lost at least 75% of their wet strength after soaking in the 200 ppm divalent cation solution for 1 hour (S-CDWT-M). The main differences in these samples is in the SSB composition, as depicted in Table 15. Salt-sensitive binders L and E have the same composition, but different molecular weights, than the salt sensitive

binders W and AB (see Table 15). Salt sensitive binders W and AB have the same composition but different molecular weights. The W/1- and AB/2-treated substrates appear to be less dispersible than the L/1- and E/2-treated substrates independently of co-binder. Reducing the salt sensitive binder's molecular weight can be used to make the substrate more dispersible as is shown by substrate AB/1. Or, changing the salt sensitive binder's composition can be used to make the substrate more dispersible as demonstrated by L/1 and E/2. Thus, by modifying the salt sensitive binder's molecular composition or its molecular weight, fully dispersible blends can be made. Alternatively, by selecting a different co-binder chemistry to be more compatible with the salt sensitive binder, fully dispersible binder blends can be made as demonstrated by substrates AB/2 and AB/1.

EXAMPLE 34

[0216] A latex emulsion comprising about 6% NMA crosslinker, AirFlex 105 (Air Products, Allentown, PA), was combined with SSB Code H of Table 15 at a ratio of 75 parts SSB to 25 parts latex solids and cast into 8 bars with dimensions 1 cm x 4 cm x 3 mm as described in Example 9. Four bars were prepared by drying in air at 60° C. overnight, while the other four bars were dried at 167°C for 3 hours. Two bars from each set were then each placed in 30 ml of 4% NaCl solution and allowed to sit for one hour, after which solubility was determined gravimetrically. Bars from both sets (the two drying conditions) were essentially completely insoluble in the saline solution. The remaining bars from each set were each placed in 30 ml of hard water containing 200 ppm calcium and magnesium ions at a 2:1 ratio at about 23° C. and allowed to sit for one hour. The two bars dried at 167° C. and placed in hard water were essentially completely insoluble (0% soluble). The two bars dried at 60° C. and placed in hard water were 54% and 53% soluble, respectively, which was unexpectedly low given that the latex should be substantially uncrosslinked for drying at this temperature. However, some coagulation occurred when the latex was mixed with the SSB, suggesting a possible compatibility problem between the two mixtures, and thus solubility may be impaired, or some coagulated particles may not have passed through the filter paper. It is also possible that some of the NMA crosslinker in the Airflex latex may have promoted crosslinking or gelling of the blend. While it is believed that a more compatible latex emulsion would have yielded higher solubility, it is also believed that co-binders that are relatively low in crosslinking agents (*e.g.*, less than 6%, specifically less than 2%, more specifically less than 1%, and most specifically less than 0.3% crosslinker on a solids mass basis) can be helpful in maintaining high solubility of the dried polymer blend.

[0217] Figure 1 shows the wet tensile results for treated airlaid basesheets, wherein the tests have been carried out in different saline solutions or hard water. The airlaid basesheets were prepared according to Example 10 and provided with 20% add-on of salt-sensitive binder compositions labeled as Code X, Code Y, and Code Z. Code X is a binder polymer comprising 6.0% acrylic acid, 10.5% 2-ethylhexyl acrylate, 24.5% butyl acrylate, and 5% NaAMPS, polymerized according to Example 1 with a molecular weight of 1.3 million, corresponding to Code B in Table 15. Code Y is similar but with a molecular weight of about 550,000, corresponding to Code D in Table 15. Code Z is similar but has 62% acrylic acid and 8.5% 2-ethylhexyl acrylate as monomers, with a molecular weight of about 1.2 million, corresponding to Code G in Table 15. All binders were blended with Dur-O-Set RB co-binder in a 75:25 ratio. The treated webs were dried, as in Example 10, and then wetted with either a 4% or 1.5% NaCl solution. Wet tensile testing was conducted according to the CDWT protocol with the exceptions described in Example 5 (e.g., 2.54cm (1-inch) wide strip and a MTS tensile tester were used).

[0218] Soaked CD tensile tests were conducted on samples prepared with the 4% solution. The four columns shown for each code (some of which are not visible due to zero values) correspond to the results from the four different tests. The first two columns are the CDWT values "as is" for the web in either the 4% or 1.5% NaCl solution. The third and fourth-columns are the S-CDWT-M (hard water soak) results at 1 hour and 3 hours for each web that had been wetted with the 4% solution.

[0219] The results show good wet strength at both 1.5% NaCl and 4% NaCl, with excellent strength loss for webs treated with Code Y (Hard Water Dispersibility of 100%), good strength loss for Code Z, and residual strength still present for Code X. Comparison of Code X to Code Y suggests that a reduction in molecular weight can promote dispersibility of the salt-sensitive binder.

[0220] Fig. 2 is a chart showing how wet tensile strength (reported as CDWT in grams per 2.54 cm over a range of soak times) can change over time as 68 gsm softwood airlaid webs comprising ion-sensitive binders are soaked in solutions comprising calcium ions. The moistened webs were prepared with 20% binder by weight comprising 85% Lion (Tokyo, Japan) SSB-3b acrylic-acid based terpolymer and 15% Dur-O-Set RB (National Starch) co-binder. After being dried, the webs were wetted with a solution containing 0.9% NaCl, 0.5% phospholipid CDM (Mona), and 0.5% Mackstat H-66 and displayed a wet strength of about 400 g/in (or g/2.54 cm). Solution add-on was 250% based on the dry weight of the web. The treated webs were then soaked in NaCl-free water containing calcium ions at levels of 0, 13, 29, and 109 ppm, yielding the four curves shown in FIG. 2 for wet tensile strength versus time. At 109 ppm calcium ions there is essentially no loss in strength. Strengths over 100 g/in (g/2.54cm) are maintained in 29 ppm calcium ions. It appears that even a small amount of calcium ions in the water will interfere with a dispersibility of a web treated with the Lion

SSB-3b product. Fig. 3 compares two data sets with Lion SSB-3b product taken from FIG. 2 (labeled as Code 3300) with a sulfonated salt-sensitive binder blended with Dur-O-Set RB polymer in a 75/25 ratio. The data set labeled as Code 2102 refers to a 65-gsm web containing the sulfonated salt-sensitive binder, which corresponds to SSB Code H in Table 15. This web was wetted with the solution described in Table 4. Solution add-on was 225% based on the dry weight of the web. This binder formulation displayed a rapid drop in tensile strength - hence good triggerability - when immersed in hard water, even at a calcium ion concentration of 257 ppm. Thus, the sulfonated salt-sensitive binders of the present invention show a dramatic improvement in their ability to be dispersible in hard water relative to prior acrylic-acid based terpolymers.

[0221] Tensile results for data in Fig. 2 and Fig. 3 were obtained with an MTS tensile test devices, the MTS 500/S unit (MTS Systems, Research Park, North Carolina) using the Testworks™ 3.10 for Windows software. Instead of the normal 7.62cm (3-inch) strip for testing, a 2.54cm (1-inch) wide strip was used, cut to 15.24cm (6 inches) in length. The gauge length between the rubber-coated jaws of the test device was 7.62cm (3 inches). Testing was operated at the specified crosshead speed of 30.48cm/min (12 in/min).

[0222] It should be understood, of course, that the foregoing relates only to certain disclosed embodiments of the present invention and that numerous modifications or alterations may be made therein without departing from the scope of the invention as set forth in the appended claims.

Claims

1. A wet wipe having an in-use wet tensile strength in the cross-direction of at least 100 g/in (100g/2.54 cm), wherein the wet wipe has a tensile strength in the cross-direction of less than about 30 g/in (30g/2.54 cm) after soaking in water having a concentration of Ca^{2+} and/or Mg^{2+} ions of about 50 ppm for about one hour and wherein the wet wipe comprises a fabric sheet saturated with a wetting composition, wherein the fabric sheet comprises fibrous material and an ion-sensitive binder comprising a sulfonate anion modified acrylic acid copolymer and a co-binder selected from non-crosslinking poly(ethylene-vinyl acetate), non-crosslinking poly(styrenebutadiene), and non-crosslinking poly(styrene-acrylic), and wherein the wetting composition contains less than about 10 wt% of organic solvents.

2. The wet wipe of Claim 1, wherein the wetting composition contains less than about 4 wt% of organic solvents.

3. The wet wipe of Claim 2, wherein the wetting composition contains less than about 1 wt% of organic solvents.

4. The wet wipe of any one of Claims 1 to 3, wherein the wetting composition comprises a salt at a concentration of at least 1 weight percent based on the weight of the wetting composition.

5. The wet wipe of Claim 4, wherein the salt is a monovalent salt.

6. The wet wipe of Claim 4 or Claim 5, wherein the salt is present at a concentration of from 1 weight percent to about 10 weight percent based on the weight of the wetting composition.

7. The wet wipe of Claim 6, wherein the salt is present at a concentration of about 4 weight percent.

8. The wet wipe of any one of Claims 4 to 7, wherein the salt is sodium chloride.

9. The wet wipe of Claim 1, wherein the co-binder is non-crosslinking poly(ethylene-vinyl acetate).

10. The wet wipe of any one of Claims 1 to 9 having an in-use tensile strength of at least 200 g/in (200 g/2.54 cm) and a tensile strength of less than about 20 g/in (20 g/2.54 cm) after soaking in water having a concentration of Ca^{2+} and/or Mg^{2+} ions of about 200 ppm for about one hour.

11. A wet wipe according to claim 1 comprising:

    a fibrous material;
    a binder composition for binding said fibrous material into an integral web, said binder composition comprising a sulfonate anion modified acrylic acid terpolymer and a non-crosslinking poly(ethylene-vinyl acetate), wherein the binder composition is insoluble in a neutral salt solution containing at least about 1 weight percent salt, said salt comprising one or more monovalent ions; and wherein the binder composition is dispersible in water con-

taining up to about 200 ppm of one or more multivalent ions; and
a wetting composition containing at least about 1 weight percent of a salt.

12. The wet wipe of Claim 11, wherein the binder composition is dispersible in water containing from about 15 ppm to about 200 ppm of one or more multivalent ions.

13. The wet wipe of Claim 12, wherein the binder composition is dispersible in water containing from about 15 ppm to about 100 ppm of one or more multivalent ions.

14. The wet wipe of Claim 13, wherein the binder composition is dispersible in water containing from about 15 ppm to about 50 ppm of one or more multivalent ions.

15. The wet wipe of any one of Claims 11 to 14, wherein the binder composition is insoluble in a neutral salt solution containing from about 1 weight percent to about 5.0 weight percent salt.

16. The wet wipe of any one of Claims 11 to 15, wherein the multivalent ions comprise Ca^{2+} ions, Mg^{2+} ions, Zn^{2+} ions, or a combination thereof.

17. The wet wipe of any one of Claims 11 to 16, wherein the monovalent ions comprise Na^{+} ions, Li^{+} ions, K^{+} ions, NH_4^{+} ions, or a combination thereof.

18. The wet wipe of any one of Claims 1 to 17, wherein the sulfonate anion modified acrylic acid copolymer comprises (a) at least one of acrylic acid and methacrylic acid, and (b) one or more alkyl acrylates.

19. The wet wipe of Claim 18, wherein the sulfonate anion modified acrylic acid copolymer is formed from acrylic acid; 2-acrylamido-2-methyl-1-propanesulfonic acid (AMPS), the sodium salt of 2-acrylamido-2-methyl-1-propanesulfonic acid (NaAMPS), or a combination thereof; butyl acrylate; and 2-ethylhexyl acrylate.

20. The wet wipe of Claim 19, wherein the sulfonate anion modified acrylic acid copolymer is formed from acrylic acid; AMPS; NaAMPS; butyl acrylate; and 2-ethylhexyl acrylate.

21. The wet wipe of Claim 20, wherein the sulfonate anion modified acrylic acid copolymer is formed from about 35 to less than 80 mole percent acrylic acid; from greater than 0 to about 20 mole percent 2-acrylamido-2-methyl-1-propanesulfonic acid (AMPS), the sodium salt of 2-acrylamido-2-methyl-1-propanesulfonic acid (NaAMPS), or a combination thereof; from greater than 0 to about 65 mole percent butyl acrylate; and from greater than 0 to about 45 mole percent 2-ethylhexyl acrylate.

22. The wet wipe of Claim 21, wherein the sulfonate anion modified acrylic acid copolymer comprises from about 50 to less than 67 mole percent acrylic acid; from greater than 0 to about 10 mole percent AMPS, NaAMPS, or a combination thereof; from about 15 to about 28 mole percent butyl acrylate; and from about 7 to about 15 mole percent 2-ethylhexyl acrylate.

23. The wet wipe of Claim 22, wherein the sulfonate anion modified acrylic acid copolymer comprises from about 60 mol% acrylic acid; about 5 mol% AMPS, NaAMPS, or a combination thereof; about 24.5 mol% butyl acrylate; and about 10.5 mol% 2-ethylhexyl acrylate.

24. The wet wipe of any one of Claims 1 to 23, wherein the binder composition comprises from about 65 to about 75 weight percent of the sulfonate anion modified acrylic acid copolymer and from about 35 to about 25 weight percent of the non-crosslinking poly(ethylene-vinyl acetate).

25. The wet wipe of Claim 24, wherein the binder composition comprises about 75 weight percent of the sulfonate anion modified acrylic acid copolymer and about 25 weight percent of the non-crosslinking poly(ethylene-vinyl acetate).

26. The wet wipe of any one of Claims 1 to 25, wherein the fibrous material comprises one or more layers of a woven fabric, a nonwoven fabric, a knitted fabric, or a combination thereof.

27. The wet wipe of Claim 26, wherein the fibrous material comprises one or more layers of a nonwoven fabric.

28. A wet wipe according to claim 1 comprising:

fibrous material;
a binder composition for binding said fibrous material into an integral web, said binder composition comprising (a) a first polymer formed from acrylic acid; 2-acrylamido-2-methyl-1-propanesulfonic acid (AMPS), the sodium salt of 2-acrylamido-2-methyl-1-propanesulfonic acid (NaAMPS), or a combination thereof; butyl acrylate; and 2-ethylhexyl acrylate; and (b) a second polymer comprising a non-crosslinking poly(ethylene-vinyl acetate); and
a wetting composition containing at least about 1 weight percent of a salt.

29. The wet wipe of Claim 28, wherein the first polymer is formed from about 35 to less than 80 mole percent acrylic acid; from greater than 0 to about 20 mole percent AMPS, NaAMPS, or a combination thereof; from greater than 0 to about 65 mole percent butyl acrylate; and from greater than 0 to about 45 mole percent 2-ethylhexyl acrylate.

30. The wet wipe of Claim 29, wherein the first polymer comprise from about 50 to less than 67 mole percent acrylic acid; from greater than 0 to about 10 mole percent AMPS, NaAMPS, or a combination thereof; from about 15 to about 28 mole percent butyl acrylate; and from about 7 to about 15 mole percent 2-ethylhexyl acrylate.

31. The wet wipe of Claim 30, wherein the first polymer comprises about 60 mol% acrylic acid; about 5 mol% AMPS, NaAMPS, or a combination thereof; about 24.5 mol% butyl acrylate; and about 10.5 mol% 2-ethylhexyl acrylate.

32. The wet wipe of any one of Claims 28 to 31, wherein the first polymer is present in an amount from about 65 to about 75 weight percent, and the second polymer is present in an amount from about 35 to 25 weight percent.

33. The wet wipe of Claim 32, wherein the first polymer is present in an amount of about 75 weight percent, and the second polymer is present in an amount of about 25 weight percent.

34. The wet wipe of Claim 28, wherein the first polymer comprises about 60 mol% acrylic acid; about 5 mol% AMPS, NaAMPS, or a combination thereof; about 24.5 mol% butyl acrylate; and about 10.5 mol% 2-ethylhexyl acrylate and is present in an amount of about 75 weight percent; the second polymer is present in an amount of about 25 weight percent; and the wetting composition contains about 4 weight percent of the salt.

35. The wet wipe of any one of Claims 1 to 34, wherein the wetting composition comprises:

about 86 to about 98 weight percent deionized water;
about 1 to about 6 weight percent sodium chloride;
up to about 2 weight percent of one or more preservatives;
up to about 2 weight percent of one or more surfactants;
up to about 1 weight percent of one or more silicone emulsions;
up to about 1 weight percent of one or more emollients;
up to about 0.3 weight percent of one or more fragrances;
up to about 0.5 weight percent of one or more fragrance solubilizers; and
up to about 0.5 weight percent of one or more pH adjusters.

36. The wet wipe of Claim 35, wherein the wetting composition comprises:

about 86 to about 98 weight percent of deionized water;
about 1 to about 6 weight percent of sodium chloride;
from greater than 0 to about 2 weight percent of one or more preservatives comprising glycerin, iodopropynyl butylcarbamate (IPBC), and dimethyloldimethyl (DMDM) hydantoin;
from greater than 0 to about 2 weight percent of a surfactant comprising acyl glutamate;
from greater than 0 to about 1 weight percent of one or more silicone emulsions comprising dimethiconol and triethanolamine (TEA) dodecylbenezene sulfonate;
from greater than 0 to about 1 weight percent of an emollient comprising PEG-75 Lanolin;
from greater than 0 to about 0.3 weight percent of one or more fragrances;
from greater than 0 to about 0.5 weight percent of a fragrance solubilizer comprising polysorbate 20; and
from greater than 0 to about 0.2 weight percent of a pH adjuster comprising malic acid.

37. The wet wipe of Claim 36, wherein the wetting composition comprises:

about 92.88 weight percent of deionized water;
about 4.00 weight percent of sodium chloride;
about 1.00 weight percent of one or more preservatives comprising glycerin, IPBC, and DMDM hydantoin;
about 1.00 weight percent of a surfactant comprising acyl glutamate;
about 0.50 weight percent of one or more silicone emulsions comprising dimethiconol and TEA dodecylbenezene sulfonate;
about 0.25 weight percent of an emollient comprising PEG-75 Lanolin;
about 0.05 weight percent of one or more fragrances;
about 0.25 weight percent of a fragrance solubilizer comprising polysorbate 20; and
about 0.07 weight percent of a pH adjuster comprising malic acid.

38. The wet wipe of any one of Claims 1 to 37, wherein the fibrous material comprises fibers having a length of about 15 mm or less.

39. The wet wipe of any one of Claims 1 to 38, wherein the fibrous material comprises natural fibers, synthetic fibers, or a combination thereof.

40. The wet wipe of Claim 39, wherein the fibrous material comprises one or more fibers containing cotton, linen, jute, hemp, wool, wood pulp, viscose rayon, cuprammonium rayon, cellulose acetate, polyester, polyamide, and polyacrylic.

41. The wet wipe of Claim 40, wherein the fibrous material comprises wood pulp.

42. A wet wipe according to claim 1 comprising:

a fabric comprising:

from about 78 to about 83 weight percent of wood pulp having a fiber length of less than about 15 mm; and about 22 to about 17 weight percent of a binder composition applied thereto and dried thereon, wherein the binder composition comprises from about 65 to about 75 weight percent of a sulfonate anion modified acrylic acid terpolymer comprising about 60 mole percent acrylic acid, about 5 mole percent NaAMPS, about 24.5 mole percent butyl acrylate, and about 10.5 mole percent 2-ethylhexyl acrylate; and from about 25 to about 35 weight percent of a non-crosslinking poly(ethylene-vinyl acetate); and
a wetting composition comprising:

about 92.88 weight percent of deionized water;
about 4.00 weight percent of sodium chloride;
about 1.00 weight percent of one or more preservatives comprising glycerin, IPBC, and DMDM hydantoin;
about 1.00 weight percent of a surfactant comprising acyl glutamate;
about 0.50 weight percent of one or more silicone emulsions comprising dimethiconol and TEA dodecylbenezene sulfonate;
about 0.25 weight percent of an emollient comprising PEG-75 Lanolin;
about 0.05 weight percent of one or more fragrances;
about 0.25 weight percent of a fragrance solubilizer comprising polysorbate 20; and
about 0.07 weight percent of a pH adjuster comprising malic acid.

43. A method of making a wet wipe comprising:

forming a web of fibrous material;
applying a binder composition onto said web, wherein the binder composition comprises a sulfonate anion modified acrylic acid terpolymer and a non-crosslinking poly(ethylene-vinyl acetate), wherein the binder composition is insoluble in a neutral salt solution containing at least about I weight percent salt, said salt comprising one or more monovalent ions; and wherein the binder composition is dispersible in water containing up to about 200 ppm of one or more multivalent ions; and
applying a wetting composition containing at least about 1 weight percent of a salt onto the web.

44. A method of making a wet wipe comprising:

forming a web of fibrous material;
applying a binder composition onto the web, said binder composition comprising (a) a first polymer formed from acrylic acid; 2-acrylamido-2-methyl-1-propanesulfonic acid (AMPS), the sodium salt of 2-acrylamido-2-methyl-1-propanesulfonic acid (NaAMPS), or a combination thereof; butyl acrylate; and 2-ethylhexyl acrylate; and (b) a second polymer comprising a non-crosslinking poly(ethylene-vinyl acetate); and
applying a wetting composition containing at least about 1 weight percent of a salt onto the web.

Patentansprüche

1. Ein Feuchttuch, welches in Verwendung eine Nasszugfestigkeit in Querrichtung von mindestens 100 g/in (100g/2,54cm) aufweist, wobei das Feuchttuch eine Zugfestigkeit in der Querrichtung von weniger als ungefähr 30 g/in (30 g/2,54 cm) nach dem Aufsaugen von Wasser mit einer Konzentration an Ca^{2+}- und/oder Mg^{2+}-Ionen von ungefähr 50 ppm für ungefähr eine Stunde aufweist, und wobei das Feuchttuch eine Stoffbahn umfasst, welche mit einer Benetzungszusammensetzung gesättigt ist, wobei die Stoffbahn faseriges Material und ein ionen-sensitives Bindemittel umfasst, welches sulfonatanion-modifiziertes Acrylsäurecopolymer und ein Co-Bindemittel umfasst, welches ausgewählt ist aus nicht-vernetzendem Poly(Ethylen-Vinylacetat), nicht-vernetzendem Poly(Styren-Butadien) und nicht-vernetzendem Poly(Styren-Acryl), und wobei die Benetzungszusammensetzung weniger als ungefähr 10 Gew.-% organische Lösungsmittel enthält.

2. Das Feuchttuch gemäß Anspruch 1, wobei die Benetzungszusammensetzung weniger als ungefähr 4 Gew.-% organische Lösungsmittel enthält.

3. Das Feuchttuch gemäß Anspruch 2, wobei die Benetzungszusammensetzung weniger als ungefähr 1 Gew.-% organische Lösungsmittel enthält.

4. Das Feuchttuch gemäß einem der Ansprüche 1 bis 3, wobei die Benetzungszusammensetzung ein Salz umfasst mit einer Konzentration von mindestens 1 Gewichtsprozent basierend auf dem Gewicht der Benetzungszusammensetzung.

5. Das Feuchttuch gemäß Anspruch 4, wobei das Salz ein monovalentes Salz ist.

6. Das Feuchttuch gemäß Anspruch 4 oder Anspruch 5, wobei das Salz mit einer Konzentration von 1 Gewichtsprozent bis ungefähr 10 Gewichtsprozent basierend auf dem Gewicht der Benetzungszusammensetzung vorliegt.

7. Das Feuchttuch gemäß Anspruch 6, wobei das Salz mit einer Konzentration von ungefähr 4 Gewichtsprozent vorliegt.

8. Das Feuchttuch gemäß einem der Ansprüche 4 bis 7, wobei das Salz Natriumchlorid ist.

9. Das Feuchttuch gemäß Anspruch 1, wobei das Co-Bindemittel nicht-vernetzendes Poly(Ethylen-Vinylacetat) ist.

10. Das Feuchttuch gemäß einem der Ansprüche 1 bis 9, welches in Verwendung eine Zugfestigkeit von mindestens 200 g/in (200 g/2,54 cm) und eine Zugfestigkeit von weniger als ungefähr 20 g/in (20 g/2,54 cm) nach dem Aufsaugen von Wasser für ungefähr eine Stunde aufweist, welches eine Konzentration an Ca^{2+}- und/oder Mg^{2+}-Ionen von ungefähr 200 ppm aufweist.

11. Ein Feuchttuch gemäß Anspruch 1, welches umfasst:

ein faseriges Material;
eine Bindemittelzusammensetzung zum Binden des faserigen Materials zu einer integralen Bahn, wobei die Bindemittelzusammensetzung ein sulfonatanion-modifiziertes Acrylsäure-Terpolymer und ein nicht-vernetzendes Poly(EthylenVinylacetat) umfasst, wobei die Bindemittelzusammensetzung in einer neutralen Salzlösung unlöslich ist, welche mindestens ungefähr 1 Gewichtsprozent Salz enthält, wobei das Salz ein oder mehrere monovalente Ionen umfasst; und wobei die Bindemittelzusammensetzung in Wasser dispergierbar ist, welches bis zu ungefähr 200 ppm eines oder mehrere multivalenter Ionen enthält; und
eine Benetzungszusammensetzung, welche mindestens ungefähr 1 Gewichtsprozent eines Salzes enthält.

12. Das Feuchttuch gemäß Anspruch 11, wobei die Bindemittelzusammensetzung in Wasser dispergierbar ist, welches

von ungefähr 15 ppm bis ungefähr 200 ppm eines oder mehrerer multivalenter Ionen enthält.

13. Das Feuchttuch gemäß Anspruch 12, wobei die Bindemittelzusammensetzung in Wasser dispergierbar ist, welches von ungefähr 15 ppm bis ungefähr 100 ppm eines oder mehrerer multivalenter Ionen enthält.

14. Das Feuchttuch gemäß Anspruch 13, wobei die Bindemittelzusammensetzung in Wasser dispergierbar ist, welches von ungefähr 15 ppm bis ungefähr 50 ppm eines oder mehrerer multivalenter Ionen enthält.

15. Das Feuchttuch gemäß einem der Ansprüche 11 bis 14, wobei die Bindemittelzusammensetzung in einer neutralen Salzlösung unlöslich ist, welche von ungefähr 1 Gewichtsprozent bis ungefähr 5,0 Gewichtsprozent Salz enthält.

16. Das Feuchttuch gemäß einem der Ansprüche 11 bis 15, wobei die multivalenten Ionen Ca^{2+}-Ionen, Mg^{2+}-Ionen, Zn^{2+}-Ionen oder eine Kombination davon umfassen.

17. Das Feuchttuch gemäß einem der Ansprüche 11 bis 16, wobei die monovalenten Ionen Na^{+}-Ionen, Li^{+}-Ionen, K^{+}-Ionen, NH_4^{+}-Ionen oder eine Kombination davon umfassen.

18. Das Feuchttuch gemäß einem der Ansprüche 1 bis 17, wobei das sulfonatanion-modifizierte Acrylsäure-Copolymer (a) mindestens eines von Acrylsäure und Methacrylsäure und (b) eines oder mehrere Alkylacrylate umfasst.

19. Das Feuchttuch gemäß Anspruch 18, wobei das sulfonatanion-modifizierte Acrylsäure-Copolymer aus Acrylsäure; 2-Acrylamido-2-Methyl-1-Propansulfonsäure (AMPS), dem Natriumsalz von 2-Acrylamido-2-Methyl-1-Propansulfonsäure (NaAMPS) oder einer Kombination davon; Butylacrylat; und 2-Ethylhexylacrylat gebildet ist.

20. Das Feuchttuch gemäß Anspruch 19, wobei sulfonatanion-modifizierte Acrylsäure-Copolymer aus Acrylsäure; AMPS; NaAMPS, Butylacrylat; und 2-Ethylhexylacrylat gebildet ist.

21. Das Feuchttuch gemäß Anspruch 20, wobei das sulfonatanion-modifizierte Acrylsäure-Copolymer aus ungefähr 35 bis weniger als 80 Molprozent Acrylsäure; mehr als 0 bis ungefähr 20 Molprozent 2-Acrylamido-2-Methyl-1-Propansulfonsäure (AMPS), dem Natriumsalz von 2-Acrylamido-2-Methyl-1-Propansulfonsäure (NaAMPS) oder einer Kombination davon; mehr als 0 bis ungefähr 65 Molprozent Butylacrylat; und mehr als 0 bis ungefähr 45 Molprozent 2-Ethylhexylacrylat gebildet ist.

22. Das Feuchttuch gemäß Anspruch 21, wobei das sulfonatanion-modifizierte Acrylsäure-Copolymer ungefähr 50 bis weniger als 67 Molprozent Acrylsäure; mehr als 0 bis ungefähr 10 Molprozent AMPS, NaAMPS oder eine Kombination davon; ungefähr 15 bis ungefähr 28 Molprozent Butylacrylat; und ungefähr 7 bis ungefähr 15 Molprozent 2-Ethylhexylacrylat umfasst.

23. Das Feuchttuch gemäß Anspruch 22, wobei das sulfonatanion-modifizierte Acrylsäure-Copolymer ungefähr 60 Mol% Acrylsäure; ungefähr 5 Mol% AMPS, NaAMPS oder eine Kombination davon; ungefähr 24,5 Mol% Butylacrylat; und ungefähr 10,5 Mol% 2-Ethylhexylacrylat umfasst.

24. Das Feuchttuch gemäß einem der Ansprüche 1 bis 23, wobei die Bindemittelzusammensetzung ungefähr 65 bis ungefähr 75 Gewichtsprozent des sulfonatanion-modifizierten Acrylsäure-Copolymers und ungefähr 35 bis ungefähr 25 Gewichtsprozent des nicht-vernetzenden Poly(Ethylen-Vinylactetat)s umfasst.

25. Das Feuchttuch gemäß Anspruch 24, wobei die Bindemittelzusammensetzung ungefähr 75 Gewichtsprozent des sulfonatanion-modifizierten Acrylsäure-Copolymers und ungefähr 25 Gewichtsprozent des nicht-vernetzenden Poly (Ethylen-Vinylactetat)s umfasst.

26. Das Feuchttuch gemäß einem der Ansprüche 1 bis 25, wobei das faserige Material eine oder mehr Schichten eines gewebten Stoffes, eines Vliesstoffes, eines gestrickten Stoffes oder einer Kombination davon umfasst.

27. Das Feuchttuch gemäß Anspruch 26, wobei das faserige Material eine oder mehrere Schichten eines Vliesstoffes umfasst.

28. Ein Feuchttuch gemäß Anspruch 1, welches umfasst:

faseriges Material;
eine Bindemittelzusammensetzung zum Binden des faserigen Materials zu einer integralen Bahn, wobei die Bindemittelzusammensetzung (a) ein erstes Polymer umfasst, welches aus Acrylsäure; 2-Acrylamido-2-Methyl-1-Propansulfonsäure (AMPS), dem Natriumsalz von 2-Acrylamido-2-Methyl-1-Propansulfonsäure (NaAMPS) oder einer Kombination davon; Butylacrylat; und 2-Ethylhexylacrylat gebildet ist; und (b) ein zweites Polymer umfasst, welches ein nicht-vernetzendes Poly(Ethylen-Vinylacetat) umfasst; und
eine Benetzungszusammensetzung, welche mindestens ungefähr 1 Gewichtsprozent eines Salzes enthält.

29. Das Feuchttuch gemäß Anspruch 28, wobei das erste Polymer aus ungefähr 35 bis weniger als 80 Molprozent Acrylsäure; mehr als 0 bis ungefähr 20 Molprozent AMPS, NaAMPS oder einer Kombination davon; mehr als 0 bis ungefähr 65 Molprozent Butylacrylat; und mehr als 0 bis ungefähr 45 Molprozent 2-Ethylhexylacrylat gebildet ist.

30. Das Feuchttuch gemäß Anspruch 29, wobei das erste Polymer ungefähr 50 bis weniger als 67 Molprozent Acrylsäure; mehr als 0 bis ungefähr 10 Molprozent AMPS, NaAMPS oder eine Kombination davon; ungefähr 15 bis ungefähr 28 Molprozent Butylacrylat; und ungefähr 7 bis ungefähr 15 Molprozent 2-Ethylhexylacrylat umfasst.

31. Das Feuchttuch gemäß Anspruch 30, wobei das erste Polymer ungefähr 60 Molprozent Acrylsäure; ungefähr 5 Molprozent AMPS, NaAMPS oder eine Kombination davon; ungefähr 24,5 Molprozent Butylacrylat; und ungefähr 10,5 Molprozent 2-Ethylhexylacrylat umfasst.

32. Das Feuchttuch gemäß einem der Ansprüche 28 bis 31, wobei das erste Polymer in einer Menge von ungefähr 65 bis ungefähr 75 Gewichtsprozent vorhanden ist, und wobei das zweite Polymer in einer Menge von ungefähr 35 bis 25 Gewichtsprozent vorhanden ist.

33. Das Feuchttuch gemäß Anspruch 32, wobei das erste Polymer in einer Menge von ungefähr 75 Gewichtsprozent vorhanden ist, und wobei das zweite Polymer in einer Menge von ungefähr 25 Gewichtsprozent vorhanden ist.

34. Das Feuchttuch gemäß Anspruch 28, wobei das erste Polymer ungefähr 60 Mol% Acrylsäure; ungefähr 5 Mol% AMPS, NaAMPS oder eine Kombination davon; ungefähr 24,5 Mol% Butylacrylat; und ungefähr 10,5 Mol% 2-Ethylhexylacrylat umfasst und in einer Menge von ungefähr 75 Gewichtsprozent vorhanden ist; wobei das zweite Polymer in einer Menge von ungefähr 25 Gewichtsprozent vorhanden ist; und wobei die Benetzungszusammensetzung ungefähr 4 Gewichtsprozent des Salzes enthält.

35. Das Feuchttuch gemäß einem der Ansprüche 1 bis 34, wobei die Benetzungszusammensetzung umfasst:

ungefähr 86 bis ungefähr 98 Gewichtsprozent entionisiertes Wasser;
ungefähr 1 bis ungefähr 6 Gewichtsprozent Natriumchlorid;
bis ungefähr 2 Gewichtsprozent von einem oder mehreren Konservierungsstoffen;
bis ungefähr 2 Gewichtsprozent von einem oder mehreren Tensiden;
bis ungefähr 1 Gewichtsprozent von einer oder mehreren Silikonemulsionen;
bis ungefähr 1 Gewichtsprozent von einem oder mehreren Weichmachern;
bis ungefähr 0,3 Gewichtsprozent von einem oder mehreren Duftstoffen;
bis ungefähr 0,5 Gewichtsprozent von einem oder mehreren Duftstofflösungsvermittlern; und
bis ungefähr 0,5 Gewichtsprozent von einem oder mehreren pH-Einstellmitteln.

36. Das Feuchttuch gemäß Anspruch 35, wobei die Benetzungszusammensetzung umfasst:

ungefähr 86 bis ungefähr 98 Gewichtsprozent entionisiertes Wasser;
ungefähr 1 bis ungefähr 6 Gewichtsprozent Natriumchlorid;
von mehr als 0 bis ungefähr 2 Gewichtsprozent von einem oder mehreren Konservierungsstoffen, welche Glycerin, Iodopropinylbutylcarbamat (IPBC) und Dimethyloldimethyl-(DMDM)-Hydantoin umfassen;
von mehr als 0 bis ungefähr 2 Gewichtsprozent von einem Tensid, welches Acylglutamat umfasst;
von mehr als 0 bis ungefähr 1 Gewichtsprozent von einer oder mehreren Silikonemulsionen, welche Dimethiconol und Triethanolamin-(TEA)-Dodecylbenzolsulfonat umfassen;
von mehr als 0 bis ungefähr 1 Gewichtsprozent von einem Weichmacher, welcher PEG-75 Lanolin umfasst;
von mehr als 0 bis ungefähr 0,3 Gewichtsprozent von einem oder mehreren Duftstoffen;
von mehr als 0 bis ungefähr 0,5 Gewichtsprozent von einem Duftstofflösungsvermittler, welcher Polysorbat 20 umfasst; und

von mehr als 0 bis ungefähr 0,2 Gewichtsprozent von einem pH-Einstellmittel, welches Äpfelsäure umfasst.

37. Das Feuchttuch gemäß Anspruch 36, wobei die Benetzungszusammensetzung umfasst:

ungefähr 92,88 Gewichtsprozent entionisiertes Wasser;
ungefähr 4,00 Gewichtsprozent Natriumchlorid;
ungefähr 1,00 Gewichtsprozent von einem oder mehreren Konservierungsstoffen, welche Glycerin, IPBC und DMDM-Hydantoin umfassen;
ungefähr 1,00 Gewichtsprozent von einem Tensid, welches Acylglutamat umfasst;
ungefähr 0,50 Gewichtsprozent von einer oder mehreren Silikonemulsionen, welche Dimethiconol und TEA-Dodecylbenzolsulfonat umfassen;
ungefähr 0,25 Gewichtsprozent von einem Weichmacher, welcher PEG-75 Lanolin umfasst;
ungefähr 0,05 Gewichtsprozent von einem oder mehreren Duftstoffen;
ungefähr 0,25 Gewichtsprozent von einem Duftstofflösungsvermittler, welcher Polysorbat 20 umfasst; und
ungefähr 0,07 Gewichtsprozent von einem pH-Einstellmittel, welches Äpfelsäure umfasst.

38. Das Feuchttuch gemäß einem der Ansprüche 1 bis 37, wobei das faserige Material Fasern umfasst, welche eine Länge von ungefähr 15 mm oder weniger aufweisen.

39. Das Feuchttuch gemäß einem der Ansprüche 1 bis 38, wobei das faserige Material natürliche Fasern, synthetische Fasern oder eine Kombination davon umfasst.

40. Das Feuchttuch gemäß Anspruch 39, wobei das faserige Material eine oder mehr Fasern umfasst, welche Baumwolle, Leinen, Jute, Hanf, Wolle, Holzpulpe, Viskose-Rayon, Kupferammonium-Rayon, Zelluloseacetat, Polyester, Polyamid und Polyacryl enthalten.

41. Das Feuchttuch gemäß Anspruch 40, wobei das faserige Material Holzpulpe umfasst.

42. Das Feuchttuch gemäß Anspruch 1, welches umfasst:

einen Stoff, welcher umfasst:

von ungefähr 78 bis ungefähr 83 Gewichtsprozent Holzpulpe, welche eine Faserlänge von weniger als ungefähr 15 mm aufweist; und
ungefähr 22 bis ungefähr 17 Gewichtsprozent einer Bindemittelzusammensetzung, welche dazugegeben und darauf getrocknet ist,
wobei die Bindemittelzusammensetzung ungefähr 65 bis ungefähr 75 Gewichtsprozent eines sulfonatanion-modifizierten Acrylsäure-Terpolymers umfasst, welches ungefähr 60 Mol% Acrylsäure, ungefähr 5 Molprozent NaAMPS, ungefähr 24,5 Molprozent Butylacrylat und ungefähr 10,5 Molprozent 2-Ethylhexylacrylat umfasst; und ungefähr 25 bis ungefähr 35 Gewichtsprozent eines nicht-vernetzenden Poly(Ethylen-Vinylacetat)s; und
eine Benetzungszusammensetzung, welche umfasst:

ungefähr 92,88 Gewichtsprozent entionisiertes Wasser;
ungefähr 4,00 Gewichtsprozent Natriumchlorid;
ungefähr 1,00 Gewichtsprozent von einem oder mehreren Konservierungsstoffen, welche Glycerin, IPBC und DMDM-Hydantoin umfassen;
ungefähr 1,00 Gewichtsprozent von einem Tensid, welches Acylglutamat umfasst;
ungefähr 0,50 Gewichtsprozent von einer oder mehreren Silikonemulsionen, welche Dimethiconol und TEA-Dodecylbenzolsulfonat umfassen;
ungefähr 0,25 Gewichtsprozent von einem Weichmacher, welcher PEG-75 Lanolin umfasst;
ungefähr 0,05 Gewichtsprozent von einem oder mehreren Duftstoffen;
ungefähr 0,25 Gewichtsprozent von einem Duftstofflösungsvermittler, welcher Polysorbat 20 umfasst; und
ungefähr 0,07 Gewichtprozent von einem pH-Einstellmittel, welches Äpfelsäure umfasst.

43. Ein Verfahren zur Herstellung eines Feuchttuchs, welches umfasst:

Bilden einer Bahn aus fasrigem Material;
Aufbringen einer Bindemittelzusammensetzung auf die Bahn, wobei die Bindemittelzusammensetzung ein sulfonatanion-modifiziertes Acrylsäure-Terpolymer und ein nicht-vernetzendes Poly(Ethylen-Vinylacetat) umfasst, wobei die Bindemittelzusammensetzung in einer neutralen Salzlösung unlöslich ist, welche mindestens ungefähr 1 Gewichtsprozent Salz enthält, wobei das Salz ein oder mehrere monovalente Ionen umfasst; und wobei die Bindemittelzusammensetzung in Wasser dispergierbar ist, welches bis zu ungefähr 200 ppm eines oder mehrerer multivalenter Ionen enthält; und
Aufbringen einer Benetzungszusammensetzung, welche mindestens ungefähr 1 Gewichtsprozent eines Salzes enthält, auf die Bahn.

44. Ein Verfahren zur Herstellung eines Feuchttuchs, welches Verfahren umfasst:

Bilden einer Bahn aus fasrigen Material;
Aufbringen einer Bindemittelzusammensetzung auf die Bahn, wobei die Bindemittelzusammensetzung (a) ein erstes Polymer umfasst, welches aus Acrylsäure; 2-Acrylamido-2-Methyl-1-Propansulfonsäure (AMPS), dem Natriumsalz von 2-Acrylamido-2-Methyl-1-Propansulfonsäure (NaAMPS) oder einer Kombination davon; Butylacrylat; und 2-Ethylhexylacrylat gebildet ist; und (b) ein zweites Polymer umfasst, welches ein nicht-vernetzendes Poly(Ethylen-Vinylacetat) umfasst; und
Aufbringen einer Benetzungszusammensetzung, welche mindestens ungefähr 1 Gewichtsprozent eines Salzes enthält, auf die Bahn.

Revendications

1. Lingette humide ayant, en service, une résistance à la traction au mouillé, dans le sens travers, d'au moins 100 g/pouce (100 g/2,54 cm), la lingette humide ayant une résistance à la traction dans le sens travers inférieure à environ 30 g/pouce (30 g/2,54 cm) après trempage, pendant environ une heure, dans de l'eau ayant une concentration en ions Ca^{2+} et/ou Mg^{2+} d'environ 50 ppm la lingette humide comprenant une feuille d'étoffe saturée d'une composition de mouillage, la feuille d'étoffe comprenant un matériau fibreux et un liant sensible aux ions comprenant un copolymère d'acide acrylique modifié par un anion sulfonate et un co-liant sélectionné parmi le poly(éthylène-acétate de vinyle) non-réticulant, le poly(styrène-butadiène) non-réticulant et le poly(styrène-acrylique) non-réticulant, et la composition de mouillage contenant moins d'environ 10% en poids de solvants organiques.

2. Lingette humide selon la revendication 1, dans laquelle la composition de mouillage contient moins d'environ 4% en poids de solvants organiques.

3. Lingette humide selon la revendication 2, dans laquelle la composition de mouillage contient moins d'environ 1% en poids de solvants organiques.

4. Lingette humide selon l'une quelconque des revendications 1 à 3, dans laquelle la composition de mouillage comprend un sel à une concentration d'au moins 1% en poids sur la base du poids de la composition de mouillage.

5. Lingette humide selon la revendication 4, dans laquelle le sel est un sel monovalent.

6. Lingette humide selon la revendication 4 ou la revendication 5, dans laquelle le sel est présent à une concentration comprise entre 1% en poids et environ 10% en poids sur la base du poids de la composition de mouillage.

7. Lingette humide selon la revendication 6, dans laquelle le sel est présent à une concentration d'environ 4% en poids.

8. Lingette humide selon l'une quelconque des revendications 4 à 7, dans laquelle le sel est le chlorure de sodium.

9. Lingette humide selon la revendication 1, dans laquelle le co-liant est un poly(éthylène-acétate de vinyle) non-réticulant.

10. Lingette humide selon l'une quelconque des revendications 1 à 9 ayant, en service, une résistance à la traction d'au moins 200 g/pouce (200 g/2,54 cm) et une résistance à la traction inférieure à environ 20 g/pouce (20 g/2,54 cm) après trempage, pendant environ une heure, dans de l'eau ayant une concentration en ions Ca^{2+} et/ou Mg^{2+} d'environ 200 ppm.

11. Lingette humide selon la revendication 1, comprenant :

un matériau fibreux ;
une composition de liant pour lier ledit matériau fibreux en un voile d'un seul tenant, ladite composition de liant comprenant un terpolymère d'acide acrylique modifié par un anion sulfonate et un poly(éthylène-acétate de vinyle) non-réticulant, la composition de liant étant insoluble dans une solution saline neutre contenant au moins environ 1% en poids de sel, ledit sel comprenant un
ou plusieurs ions monovalents et la composition de liant étant dispersible dans de l'eau contenant jusqu'à environ 200 ppm d'un ou plusieurs ions multivalents ; et
une composition de mouillage contenant au moins environ 1% en poids d'un sel.

12. Lingette humide selon la revendication 11, dans laquelle la composition de liant est dispersible dans de l'eau contenant d'environ 15 ppm à environ 200 ppm d'un ou plusieurs ions multivalents.

13. Lingette humide selon la revendication 12, dans laquelle la composition de liant est dispersible dans de l'eau contenant d'environ 15 ppm à environ 100 ppm d'un ou plusieurs ions multivalents.

14. Lingette humide selon la revendication 13, dans laquelle la composition de liant est dispersible dans de l'eau contenant d'environ 15 ppm à environ 50 ppm d'un ou plusieurs ions multivalents.

15. Lingette humide selon l'une quelconque des revendications 11 à 14, dans laquelle la composition de liant est insoluble dans une solution saline neutre contenant d'environ 1% en poids à environ 5,0% en poids de sel.

16. Lingette humide selon l'une quelconque des revendications 11 à 15, dans laquelle les ions multivalents comprennent des ions Ca^{2+}, des ions Mg^{2+}, des ions Zn^{2+}, ou une combinaison de ceux-ci.

17. Lingette humide selon l'une quelconque des revendications 11 à 16, dans laquelle les ions monovalents comprennent les ions Na^{+}, les ions Li^{+}, les ions K^{+}, les ions NH_4^{+}, ou une combinaison de ceux-ci.

18. Lingette humide selon l'une quelconque des revendications 1 à 17, dans laquelle le copolymère d'acide acrylique modifié par un anion sulfonate comprend (a) au moins l'un d'un acide acrylique et d'un acide méthacrylique, et (b) un ou plusieurs acrylates d'alkyle.

19. Lingette humide selon la revendication 18, dans laquelle le copolymère d'acide acrylique modifié par un anion sulfonate est formé à partir d'acide acrylique, d'acide 2-acrylamido-2-méthyl-1-propane sulfonique (AMPS), du sel de sodium de l'acide 2-acrylamido-2-méthyl-1-propane sulfonique (Na-AMPS), ou d'une combinaison de ceux-ci ; d'acrylate de butyle ; et d'acrylate de 2-éthylhexyle.

20. Lingette humide selon la revendication 19, dans laquelle le copolymère d'acide acrylique modifié par un anion sulfonate est formé à partir d'acide acrylique ; de AMPS; de NaAMPS ; d'acrylate de butyle et d'acrylate de 2-éthylhexyle.

21. Lingette humide selon la revendication 20, dans laquelle le copolymère d'acide acrylique modifié par un anion sulfonate est formé d'environ 35 à moins de 80% en mole d'acide acrylique ; de plus de 0 à environ 20% en mole d'acide 2-acrylamido-2-méthyl-1-propane sulfonique (AMPS), de sel de sodium de l'acide 2-acrylamido-2-méthyl-1-propane sulfonique (Na-AMPS), ou d'une combinaison de ceux-ci ; de plus de 0 à environ 65% en mole d'acrylate de butyle ; et de plus de 0 à environ 45% en mole d'acrylate de 2-éthylhexyle.

22. Lingette humide selon la revendication 21, dans laquelle le copolymère d'acide acrylique modifié par un anion sulfonate est formé d'environ 50 à moins de 67% en mole d'acide acrylique, de plus de 0 à environ 10% en mole de AMPS, de Na-AMPS ou d'une combinaison de ceux-ci ; d'environ 15 à environ 28% en mole d'acrylate de butyle ; et d'environ 7 à environ 15% en mole d'acrylate de 2-éthylhexyle.

23. Lingette humide selon la revendication 22, dans laquelle le copolymère d'acide acrylique modifié par un anion sulfonate est formé d'environ 60% en mole d'acide acrylique, d'environ 5% en mole de AMPS, de Na-AMPS ou d'une combinaison de ceux-ci ; d'environ 24,5% en mole d'acrylate de butyle ; et d'environ 10,5% en mole d'acrylate de 2-éthylhexyle.

24. Lingette humide selon l'une quelconque des revendications 1 à 23, dans laquelle la composition de liant comprend d'environ 65 à environ 75% en poids du copolymère d'acide acrylique modifié par un anion sulfonate et d'environ 35 à environ 25% en poids du poly(éthylène-acétate de vinyle) non-réticulant.

25. Lingette humide selon la revendication 24, dans laquelle la composition de liant comprend environ 75% en poids du copolymère d'acide acrylique modifié par un anion sulfonate et environ 25% en poids du poly(éthylène-acétate de vinyle) non-réticulant.

26. Lingette humide selon l'une quelconque des revendications 1 à 25, dans laquelle le matériau fibreux comprend une ou plusieurs couches d'une étoffe tissée, d'une étoffe non tissée, d'une étoffe tricotée, ou d'une combinaison de celles-ci.

27. Lingette humide selon la revendication 26, dans laquelle le matériau fibreux comprend une ou plusieurs couches d'une étoffe non tissée.

28. Lingette humide selon la revendication 1, comprenant :

un matériau fibreux ;
une composition de liant pour lier ledit matériau fibreux en un voile d'un seul tenant, ladite composition de liant comprenant (a) un premier polymère formé à partir d'acide acrylique, d'acide 2-acrylamido-2-méthyl-1-propane sulfonique (AMPS), du sel de sodium de l'acide 2-acrylamido-2-méthyl-1-propane sulfonique (Na-AMPS), ou d'une combinaison de ceux-ci ; d'acrylate de butyle ; et d'acrylate de 2-éthylhexyle ; et (b) d'un second polymère comprenant un poly(éthylène-acétate de vinyle) non-réticulant ; et
une composition de mouillage contenant au moins environ 1% en poids d'un sel.

29. Lingette humide selon la revendication 28, dans laquelle le premier polymère est formé d'environ 35 à moins de 80% en mole d'acide acrylique ; de plus de 0 à environ 20% en mole de AMPS, de Na-AMPS ou d'une combinaison de ceux-ci ; de plus de 0 à environ 65% en mole d'acrylate de butyle ; et de plus de 0 à environ 45% en mole d'acrylate de 2-éthylhexyle.

30. Lingette humide selon la revendication 29, dans laquelle le premier polymère comprend d'environ 50 à moins de 67% en mole d'acide acrylique ; de plus de 0 à environ 10% en mole de AMPS, de Na-AMPS ou d'une combinaison de ceux-ci ; d'environ 15 à environ 28% en mole d'acrylate de butyle ; et d'environ 7 à environ 15% en mole d'acrylate de 2-éthylhexyle.

31. Lingette humide selon la revendication 30, dans laquelle le premier polymère comprend environ 60% en mole d'acide acrylique ; environ 5% en mole de AMPS, de Na-AMPS
ou d'une combinaison de ceux-ci ; environ 24,5% en mole d'acrylate de butyle ; et environ 10,5% en mole d'acrylate de 2-éthylhexyle.

32. Lingette humide selon l'une quelconque des revendications 28 à 31, dans laquelle le premier polymère est présent en une quantité d'environ 65 à environ 75% en poids, et le second polymère est présent en une quantité d'environ 35 à environ 25% en poids.

33. Lingette humide selon la revendication 32, dans laquelle le premier polymère est présent en une quantité d'environ 75% en poids, et le second polymère est présent en une quantité d'environ 25% en poids.

34. Lingette humide selon la revendication 28, dans laquelle le premier polymère comprend environ 60% en mole d'acide acrylique ; environ 5% en mole de AMPS, de Na-AMPS
ou d'une combinaison de ceux-ci ; environ 24,5% en mole d'acrylate de butyle ; et environ 10,5% en mole d'acrylate de 2-éthylhexyle, et est présent en une quantité d'environ 75% en poids, et le second polymère est présent en une quantité d'environ 25% en poids ; et la composition de mouillage contient environ 4% en poids du sel.

35. Lingette humide selon l'une quelconque des revendications 1 à 34, dans laquelle la composition de mouillage comprend :

- d'environ 86 à environ 98% en poids d'eau déionisée ;
- d'environ 1 à environ 6% en poids de chlorure de sodium ;

- jusqu'à environ 2% en poids d'un ou plusieurs conservateurs ;
- jusqu'à environ 2% en poids d'un ou plusieurs tensioactifs ;
- jusqu'à environ 1% en poids d'une ou plusieurs émulsions de silicone ;
- jusqu'à environ 1% en poids d'un ou plusieurs émollients ;
- jusqu'à environ 0,3% en poids d'un ou plusieurs parfums ;
- jusqu'à environ 0,5% en poids d'un ou plusieurs solubilisants pour parfums ; et
- jusqu'à environ 0,5% en poids d'un ou plusieurs ajusteurs de pH.

36. Lingette humide selon la revendication 35, dans laquelle la composition de mouillage comprend :

- d'environ 86 à environ 98% en poids d'eau déionisée ;
- d'environ 1 à environ 6% en poids de chlorure de sodium ;
- de plus de 0 à environ 2% en poids d'un ou plusieurs conservateurs comprenant la glycérine, le butylcarbamate d'iodopropynyle (IPBC) et la diméthyloldiméthyl(DMDM)hydantoïne ;
- de plus de 0 à environ 2% en poids d'un tensio-actif comprenant le glutamate d'acyle ;
- de plus de 0 à environ 1% en poids d'une ou plusieurs émulsions de silicone comprenant le diméthiconol et le dodécylbenzènesulfonate de triéthanolamine (TEA) ;
- de plus de 0 à environ 1% en poids d'un émollient comprenant la PEG-15 lanoline ;
- de plus de 0 à environ 0,3% en poids d'un ou plusieurs parfums ;
- de plus de 0 à environ 0,5 % en poids d'un solubilisant pour parfum comprenant le polysorbate 20 ; et
- de plus de 0 à environ 0,2% en poids d'un ajusteur de pH comprenant l'acide malique.

37. Lingette humide selon la revendication 36, dans laquelle la composition de mouillage comprend :

- environ 92,88% en poids d'eau déionisée ;
- environ 4,00% en poids de chlorure de sodium ;
- environ 1,00% en poids d'un ou plusieurs conservateurs comprenant la glycérine, le IPBC et la DMDM hydantoïne ;
- environ 1,00% en poids d'un tensio-actif comprenant un glutamate d'acyle ;
- environ 0,50% en poids d'une ou plusieurs émulsions de silicone comprenant le diméthiconol et le dodécylbenzènesulfonate de TEA ;
- environ 0,25% en poids d'un émollient comprenant la PEG-15 lanoline ;
- environ 0,05% en poids d'un ou plusieurs parfums ;
- environ 0,25% en poids d'un solubilisant pour parfum comprenant le polysorbate 20 ; et
- environ 0,07% en poids d'un ajusteur de pH comprenant l'acide malique.

38. Lingette humide selon l'une quelconque des revendications 1 à 37, dans laquelle le matériau fibreux comprend des fibres ayant une longueur d'environ 15 mm ou moins.

39. Lingette humide selon l'une quelconque des revendications 1 à 38, dans laquelle le matériau fibreux comprend des fibres naturelles, des fibres synthétiques ou une combinaison de celles-ci.

40. Lingette humide selon la revendication 39, dans laquelle le matériau fibreux comprend une ou plusieurs fibres contenant du coton, du lin, du jute, du chanvre, de la laine, de la pâte de bois, de la rayonne viscose, de la rayonne cuprammonium, de l'acétate de cellulose, du polyester, du polyamide et d'un matériau polyacrylique.

41. Lingette humide selon la revendication 40, dans laquelle le matériau fibreux comprend de la pâte de bois.

42. Lingette humide selon la revendication 1, comprenant
une étoffe comprenant :

d'environ 78 à environ 83% en poids de pâte de bois ayant une longueur de fibres inférieure à environ 15 mm ; et d'environ 22 à environ 17% en poids d'une composition de liant appliquée et séchée sur celle-ci, la composition de liant comprenant d'environ 65 à environ 75% en poids d'un terpolymère d'acide acrylique modifié par un anion sulfonate comprenant environ 60% en mole d'acide acrylique, environ 5% en mole de Na-AMPS ; environ 24,5% en mole d'acrylate de butyle ; et environ 10,5% en mole d'acrylate de 2-éthylhexyle ; et d'environ 25 à environ 35% en poids d'un poly(éthylène-acétate de vinyle) non-réticulant ; et
une composition de mouillage comprenant :

- environ 92,88% en poids d'eau déionisée ;
- environ 4,00% en poids de chlorure de sodium ;
- environ 1,00% en poids d'un ou plusieurs conservateurs comprenant la glycérine, le IPBC et la DMDM hydantoïne ;
- environ 1,00% en poids d'un tensio-actif comprenant un glutamate d'acyle ;
- environ 0,50% en poids d'une ou plusieurs émulsions de silicone comprenant le diméthiconol et le dodécylbenzènesulfonate de TEA ;
- environ 0,25% en poids d'un émollient comprenant la PEG-15 lanoline ;
- environ 0,05% en poids d'un ou plusieurs parfums ;
- environ 0,25 % en poids d'un solubilisant pour parfum comprenant le polysorbate 20 ; et
- environ 0,07% en poids d'un ajusteur de pH comprenant l'acide malique.


43. Procédé de fabrication d'un lingette humide comprenant :

la formation d'un voile de matériau fibreux ;
l'application d'une composition de liant sur ledit voile, ladite composition de liant comprenant un terpolymère d'acide acrylique modifié par un anion sulfonate et un poly(éthylène-acétate de vinyle) non-réticulant, la composition de liant étant insoluble dans une solution saline neutre contenant au moins environ 1% en poids de sel, ledit sel comprenant un ou plusieurs ions monovalents ; et la composition de liant étant dispersible dans de l'eau contenant jusqu'à environ 200 ppm d'un ou plusieurs ions multivalents ; et
l'application d'une composition de mouillage contenant au moins environ 1% en poids d'un sel.

44. Procédé de fabrication d'un lingette humide comprenant :

la formation d'un voile de matériau fibreux ;
l'application d'une composition de liant sur ledit voile, ladite composition de liant comprenant (a) un premier polymère formé à partir d'acide acrylique, d'acide 2-acrylamido-2-méthyl-1-propane sulfonique (AMPS), du sel de sodium de l'acide 2-acrylamido-2-méthyl-1-propane sulfonique (Na-AMPS), ou d'une combinaison de ceux-ci ; d'acrylate de butyle ; et d'acrylate de 2-éthylhexyle ; et
l'application, sur le voile, d'une composition de mouillage contenant au moins environ 1% en poids d'un sel.

Figure 1: CDWT (in 1.5% and 4.0% NaCl) and soaked CD tensiles (1 and 3 hour soak in hard water) for NaAMPS-SSB/Dur-O-Set RB-based basesheets





CDWT versus Soak Time in Calcium Ion Solutions - Basesheet with 85% Lion SSB-3b Ion-Sensitive Binder and 15% Dur-O-Set RB Cobinder - Wetted with a Solution Containing 0.9% NaCl
0 ppm Ca++
13 ppm Ca++
29 ppm Ca++
109 ppm Ca++
CDWT (gm/2.54 cm)
Soak Time (minutes)
0
50
100
150
200
250
300
350
400
450
0
20
40
60
80
100
120
140

FIG. 2



CD Wet Tensile vs Soak Time in Calcium Ion Solutions
CDWT (gm/2.54 cm)
Soak Time (min.)
Code 3300 (G-007)
85% Lion SSB-3b/15% Dur-O-Set RB
109 ppm Ca++
Code 3300 (G-007)
85% Lion SSB-3b/15% Dur-O-Set RB
29 ppm Ca++
Code 2102 (G-021)
75% NaAMPS SSB-6/25% Dur-O-Set RB
257 ppm Ca++
0
50
100
150
200
250
300
350
400
450
0
10
20
30
40
50
60
70

FIG. 3

REFERENCES CITED IN THE DESCRIPTION

This list of references cited by the applicant is for the reader's convenience only. It does not form part of the European patent document. Even though great care has been taken in compiling the references, errors or omissions cannot be excluded and the EPO disclaims all liability in this regard.

Patent documents cited in the description

- GB 2241373 A **[0002]**
- US 4186233 A **[0002]**
- JP 6207162 B **[0004]**
- JP 6192527 B **[0004]**
- JP 51003248 B **[0004]**
- JP 81035703 B **[0004]**
- JP 6233809 B **[0004]**
- US 5509913 A, Richard S. Yeo **[0004]**
- US 5312883 A **[0005] [0151]**
- US 5317063 A **[0005] [0020]**
- US 5384189 A **[0005]**
- EP 608460 A1 **[0005]**
- US 22399998 A **[0006]**
- US 223999 A **[0006]**
- US 5281306 A **[0007]**
- US 91290697 A **[0010]**
- US 5429686 A, Chiu **[0010]**
- US 5399412 A, S.J. Sudall and S.A. Engel **[0010]**
- US 5672248 A, Wendt **[0010]**
- US 5607551 A, Farrington **[0010]**
- US 4157724 A, Person **[0011]**
- US 31775 A **[0011]**
- US 4278113 A, Persson **[0011]**
- US 4264289 A, Day **[0011]**
- US 4352649 A, Jacobsen **[0011]**
- US 4353687 A, Hosler **[0011]**
- US 4494278 A, Kroyer **[0011]**
- US 4627806 A, Johnson **[0011]**
- US 4650409 A, Nistri **[0011]**
- US 4724980 A, Farley **[0011]**
- US 4640810 A, Laursen **[0011]**
- US 09223999 B **[0017]**
- US 113020481 B **[0017]**
- US 3624069 A **[0031]**
- US 4419403 A, Varona **[0031]**
- US 5522967 A, Shet **[0031]**
- US 4220739 A, Walles **[0031]**
- US 5783200 A, Motley **[0031]**
- US 2400720 A **[0031]**
- US 2937066 A **[0031]**
- US 2786780 A **[0031]**
- US 2832696 A **[0031]**
- US 3613957 A **[0031]**
- US 3740258 A **[0031]**
- US 4667890 A, Gietman **[0070]**
- US 6051749 A, Schulz **[0078]**
- WO 9826808 A **[0079]**
- EP 408199 A **[0080]**
- US 6037407 A **[0106]**
- WO 9710100 A **[0109]**
- US 5891126 A, Osborn, III **[0112]**
- US 4690821 A, Smith **[0114]**
- US 4559157 A, Smith **[0117]**
- US 3554862 A, Hervey **[0118]**
- US 4144122 A, Emanuelsson **[0118]**
- US 5573637 A, Ampulski **[0118]**
- US 4476323 A, Hellsten **[0118]**
- US 4018647 A **[0143]**
- US 3255140 A **[0143]**
- US 3255141 A **[0143]**
- US 3483240 A **[0143]**
- US 3484394 A **[0143]**

Non-patent literature cited in the description

- **R.G. Pearson.** *Journal of the American Chemical Society,* 1963, vol. 85, 3533 **[0018]**
- **N. S. Isaacs.** Physical Organic Chemistry. Longman Scientific and Technical with John Wiley & Sons, Inc, 1987 **[0018]**
- Alcohol and Ether Alcohol Sulfates. **Samuel Shore ; D.R. Berger.** Anionic Surfactants. Marcel Dekker, Inc, 1976, 135-149 **[0032]**
- The Mechanisms of Sulfonation and Sulfation. **Ben E. Edwards.** Anionic Surfactants. Marcel Dekker, Inc, 1976, 111-134 **[0032]**
- **Dr. Klaus Kohlhammer.** New Airlaid Binders. *Nonwovens Report International,* September 1999, 20-2228-31 **[0052]**
- **McCutcheon's.** Functional Materials. MC Publishing Company, 1999, vol. 2 **[0082]**
- **S. Lee et al.** Antimicrobial and Blood Repellent Finishes for Cotton and Nonwoven Fabrics Based on Chitosan and Fluoropolymers. *Textile Research Journal,* February 1999, vol. 69 (2), 104-112 **[0085]**
- New Chemical Perspectives. *Soap and Cosmetics,* March 2000, vol. 76 (3), 12 **[0109]**