



(12) **EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION**

(43) Date of publication:
30.04.2008 Bulletin 2008/18

(51) Int Cl.:
B26D 1/00 (2006.01) B26B 25/00 (2006.01)

(21) Application number: **08000011.0**

(22) Date of filing: **13.11.2002**

(84) Designated Contracting States:
DE FR GB

(30) Priority: **13.11.2001 US 338575 P**

(62) Document number(s) of the earlier application(s) in accordance with Art. 76 EPC:
02782295.6 / 1 453 642

(71) Applicant: **Acme United Corporation**
Fairfield, CT 06432 (US)

(72) Inventors:
• **Buchtmann, Larry**
Goldsboro
NC 27534 (US)

• **Pia, Gary**
Stratford
CT 06614 (US)

(74) Representative: **Crease, Devanand John et al**
Keltie
Fleet Place House
2 Fleet Place
London EC4M 7ET (GB)


Remarks:

This application was filed on 02-01-2008 as a divisional application to the application mentioned under INID code 62.

(54) **Coating for stationery cutting implements**

(57) A cutting implement having a pair of cutting blades and a coating is provided. The coating has titanium chromium nitride and provides the cutting blades with a satin silver appearance. In some embodiments, the ti-

tanium chromium nitride coating has a thickness in a range between about 0.3 microns and 0.5 microns, a surface roughness in a range of about 15 to 25 10^{-6} inch/inch, and a hardness in a range of about 5.7 to about 9.1 gigapascals



Description

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

1. Field of the Invention

[0001] The present invention is related to stationery cutting implements such as scissors, shears, guillotine style paper trimmers, and rotary style paper trimmers. More particularly, the present invention is related to a coating for such cutting implements.

2. Description of Related Art

[0002] Substantial effort has been expended in improving the construction and operation of stationery cutting implements for household and office use. Ever increasing demands have been placed on manufacturers of these products to increase the ease of use, the wear resistance, and the ease with which the products can be cleaned, while maintaining a competitive price. For example, typical household and office scissors have been unable to achieve a long-lasting cutting edge, which withstands the wide-variety of products being cut, at a competitive price.

[0003] Consequently, continued improvements in stationery cutting implements is desired.

BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

[0004] It is an object of the present invention to provide a cutting implement having a coating.

[0005] It is a further object of the present invention to provide a cutting implement having a coating that provides one or more of improved wear resistance, increased hardness, pleasing appearance, reduced user effort, and increased stain resistance.

[0006] These and other objects of the present invention are provided by a cutting implement comprising a pair of cutting blades and a coating. The coating comprises titanium chromium nitride, which provides the cutting blades with a satin silver appearance.

[0007] A cutting implement having a pair of complementary cutting blades and a titanium chromium nitride coating is also provided. The titanium chromium nitride coating is disposed on each of the cutting blades. The titanium chromium nitride coating has a thickness in a range between about 0.3 and 0.5 microns, a surface roughness in a range of about 15 to 25 10⁻⁶ inch/inch, and a hardness in a range of about 5.7 to about 9.1 gigapascals.

[0008] The above-described and other features and advantages of the present invention will be appreciated and understood by those skilled in the art from the following detailed description, drawings, and appended claims

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWINGS

[0009] Figure 1 is a first side view of an exemplary embodiment of a pair of scissors;

[0010] Figure 2 is a second, opposite side view of Figure 1;

[0011] Figures 3 and 4 are side views of the blades of Figure 1;

[0012] Figure 5 is a data table of testing results of various exemplary embodiments of a coating of the present invention for the scissors of Figure 1;

[0013] Figure 6 is a perspective view of an exemplary embodiment of a rotary style paper trimmer;

[0014] Figure 7 is a sectional view along line 7-7 of Figure 6;

[0015] Figure 8 is a perspective view of an exemplary embodiment of a guillotine style paper trimmer; and

[0016] Figure 9 is a side view of the guillotine style paper trimmer of Figure 8.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

[0017] Referring now to the figures and in particular to Figures 1 and 2, a cutting implement in the form of pair of scissors generally indicated by reference numeral 10 is illustrated.

[0018] Scissors 10 have a first half 12 pivotally connected to a second half 14. First and second halves 12, 14 are pivotally connected by conventional connection means, such as a screw 16 and a post 18. First half 12 can have a handle 20 and a blade 22. Similarly, second half 14 can have a handle 24 and a blade 26.

[0019] Each blade 22, 26 has a cutting edge 28. Preferably, cutting edge 28 is formed by way of a bevel 30 disposed on each blade 22, 26, respectively. Thus, scissors 10 provide a pair of complementary cutting blades 22, 26 for cutting stationery products, such as paper, cardboard, bristol board, and others.

[0020] Handles 20, 24 are preferably overmolded onto blades 22, 26, respectively. However, it should be recognized that each half 12, 14 of scissors 10 is described as having separate handles 20, 24 overmolded onto blades 22, 26, respectively. Of course, it is contemplated by the present invention that each half 12, 14 have a unitary handle and blade portion.

[0021] Blade 22 is illustrated in Figure 3, while blade 26 is illustrated in Figure 4. Blades 22, 26 are preferably made of steel, more preferably stainless steel, such as 420 stainless steel. In addition, blades 22, 26 can be heat-treated to further increase the hardness of the blades.

[0022] Scissors 10 further include a coating 32 disposed on each blade 22, 26. Coating 32 provides cutting edges 28 with extremely tough, hard, wear resistant characteristics. The increased hardness of cutting edges 28 provides scissors 10 with substantially increased longevity, while also providing the scissors with corrosion resistance, as well as providing a smooth and uniform ap-

pearance and color. For example, scissors 10 having coating 32 were subjected to cycle testing of 10,000 openings and closing without showing any signs of wear to the coating.

[0023] In addition, coating 32 provides scissors 10 with an aesthetically acceptable color or appearance. Specifically, coating 32 differentiates blades 22,26 having coating 32 from uncoated blades. Coating 32 does not change the color of blades 22,26 to the point that the consumer no longer recognizes scissors 10 as being useable for general household and office use. However, coating 32 has an appearance sufficient to allow the consumer to recognize that the coating is present on scissors 10.

[0024] Further, coating 32 increases the ease of use of scissors 10 by providing blades 22,26 with a smooth surface finish, which reduces friction between the blades during use. Thus, blades 22,26 have less friction between the two inside blade faces, which provides a smoother cutting action and less cutting effort than in coated blades without coating 32.

[0025] Coating 32 is selected from the group consisting of titanium nitride (TiN), chromium nitride (CrN), and titanium chromium nitride (TiCrN). More preferably, coating 32 is a multiple component barrier of titanium chromium nitride.

[0026] Coating 32 is disposed on blades 22,26 such that the coating forms a metallurgical bond with the blades, which resists flaking, blistering, chipping, and peeling. In fact, coating 32 is adsorbed into the surface layer of the metal of blades 22,26. Coating 32 is disposed on blades 22,26 with a thickness in a range between about 0.3 and 0.5 microns, more preferably about 0.4 microns.

[0027] Figure 5 illustrates the test results for a range of compositions and processes for coating 32. Sample 1 is a control or uncoated blade formed of heat-treated 420 stainless steel. Heat-treated 420 stainless steel blades were also used in Samples 2 through 10.

[0028] In Samples 2 through 6, the blades were coated using a first process. Twelve (12) blades of each sample coating were prepared with a thickness of about 5.0 micrometers. In Samples 7 through 10, the blades were coated using a second process. All of the samples were then tested for surface smoothness, hardness, and color. The results of this testing are provided in Figure 5.

[0029] The concentration of coating 32 in all samples were tested and were in the range of about +/-3 to 4 percent of the target composition. The testing also showed that both the elements are uniformly deposited and there is no segregation or isolation of each to any particular region in the film.

[0030] The first process was a reactive magnetron sputtering with a pulsed dc source. The second process comprised a cathode arc plasma (CAP) process. The sputtering gas mixture in each process was argon and nitrogen.

[0031] In the first process, a four-inch circular target is used with a pulsed dc power supply. The target was a

combination target having one or more 90-degree sections of pure titanium and chromium. For example, in Sample #3 the target is three 90-degree sections of pure titanium and one 90-degree section of pure chromium.

[0032] In the second process, two different targets were used simultaneously, with each target being pure titanium and chromium.

[0033] The partial pressure of argon during the first process was maintained between 0 to 1 millitorr and that of nitrogen was maintained at 1 to 2 millitorr with the total sputtering gas pressure maintained between 2 to 3 millitorr. The stainless steel chamber was evacuated to 2×10^{-5} Torr prior to the deposition. Cleaning of the target was carried with argon alone. The sputtering current was kept at 0.3 amps during cleaning that was carried out for 3 minutes in all depositions. Deposition of the films on the blades during cleaning was prevented by a shutter that was withdrawn soon after cleaning the target. The sputtering current was chosen at two different values, 0.5 amperes and 0.7 amperes. Depositions were performed for two different total sputtering times, 15 minutes and 30 minutes. The resulting thickness of the films was found to be 0.3 micrometers and 0.6 micrometers, respectively. The deposition temperature has been optimized for the following conditions. Stainless steel scissors blades should not soften and therefore deposition temperature was kept at a temperature of about 150 ° and 200 °C.

[0034] The hardness of the samples was measured using a Vickers microhardness test according to American Society of Testing and Materials (ASTM) E384, last revised March 2001. Here, a diamond indenter is loaded to a desired amount, which causes the indenter to indent the sample. The indentation is measured and converted to a hardness value. The indenter is a three-sided, pyramidal-shaped diamond probe tip with angle of 136 °. The hardness values of Figure 5 represent the hardness of each sample with load of about 50 grams, with a load of about 400 grams for the uncoated sample 1.

[0035] The results of Figure 5 show that the coated samples (samples 2 to 10) are generally harder than the uncoated sample (sample 1). For example, sample 4 is about 125% harder than sample 1. Further, the results of Figure 5 show that the hardness of the coated samples (samples 2 to 10) generally tends to increase as the content of chromium increases.

[0036] In addition, the results of Figure 5 show that the silver appearance generally tends to increase as the content of chromium increases.

[0037] The samples having a majority of chromium (e.g., samples 6 and 10) had a gloss silver appearance, which was substantially similar to that of uncoated sample 1. In fact, it has been determined that consumers did not recognize that the scissors having a gloss silver appearance (e.g., the samples having a majority of chromium) had any coating at all.

[0038] In contrast, the samples having a majority of titanium (e.g., samples 2,3, 7, and 8) had a satin gold

appearance. Here, it has been determined that consumers recognized scissors having a satin gold appearance (e. g., the samples having a majority of titanium) as being for uses other than general household and office use. Namely, these scissors appear to the consumer to be specialty scissors.

[0039] However, the samples having a more balanced amount of titanium to chromium (e. g., samples 4,5, and 9) had a satin silver appearance. Here, it has been determined that consumers recognized scissors 10 having a satin silver appearance as being for general household use and as having a coating.

[0040] Chromium is typically about 2.5 times more expensive than titanium. Thus, forming coating 32 of a majority of chromium leads to a substantial increase in cost, with only minimal gains in hardness. For example, coating 32 having 75% chromium and 25% titanium is about 95% as hard as a coating of 100% chromium.

[0041] It has also been found that the chromium nitride forms a strong bond to the blade, but does not form a strong bond with itself. For example, chromium nitride can form a strong bond with the chromium oxide of blade 22,26, but does not form a strong bond with other chromium nitride molecules. Thus, the samples having a majority of chromium exhibited a higher tendency to peel than other samples having a minority of chromium.

[0042] The diffusion barrier properties exhibited by the samples having a majority of titanium were superior to those having less titanium. Thus, the samples having a majority of titanium exhibited better stain and corrosion resistance than other samples having a minority of titanium.

[0043] Coating 32 having the desired hardness, smoothness, and diffusion barrier properties preferably is formed of titanium chromium nitride having about 35 percent by weight of titanium nitride and about 65 percent by weight of chromium nitride and with a hardness in a range of about 5.7 to about 9.1 gigapascals. More preferably, coating 32 has about 50 percent by weight of titanium nitride and about 50 percent by weight of chromium nitride and a hardness in a range of about 7.2 to about 7.6 gigapascals.

[0044] It has been determined that coating 32 having the aforementioned ratios of chromium nitride and titanium nitride provided scissors 10 with a visual indication that the coating had been applied, without affecting the consumer's impression of the target use of the scissors (i. e., general household use). Moreover, coating 32 having the aforementioned ratios of chromium nitride and titanium nitride provided scissors 10 with drastically improved hardness over the uncoated sample 1.

[0045] The surface roughness of blades 22,26 before and after the application of coating 32 was also measured. For example, the surface roughness of the outside surface of blades 22,26 before coating 32 was in a range of about 20 to 25 10⁻⁶ inch/inch, but was reduced to about 15 to 20 10⁻⁶ inch/inch after the coating was applied. Similarly, the surface roughness of the inside surface of

blades 22,26 before coating 32 was about 25 to 30 10⁻⁶ inch/inch, but was reduced to about 20 to 25 10⁻⁶ inch/inch after the coating was applied. It is believed that the roughness of blades 22,26 was reduced because the molecules of coating 32 predominantly bond with the valleys and indentations in the blades.

[0046] Of course, it should be recognized that scissors 10 are described above by way of example only as having a coating applied by reactive magnetron sputtering and CAP processes. Any thin film forming method such as chemical vapor deposition, physical vapor deposition, thermal spraying and sintering after a dip coating may be employed for providing coating 32 to scissors 10. Preferably, the method of forming coating 32 has a maximum temperature sufficient to not soften or affect the heat-treatment of the uncoated blades.

[0047] It should also be recognized that coating 32 has been described above by way of example only as finding use with a cutting implement in the form of scissors 10. Of course, coating 32 can provide the aforementioned benefits to other stationary cutting implements. For example, Figures 6 to 9 illustrate alternate exemplary embodiments of cutting implements, which have a coating.

[0048] An exemplary embodiment of a cutting implement in the form of a rotary style paper trimmer 110 is illustrated in Figures 6 and 7. Rotary style paper trimmer 110 is as shown and described in U. S. Provisional Application Serial No. 60/421,581 filed on October 28,2002, the contents of which are incorporated herein by reference.

[0049] Trimmer 110 has a base 112 and a rotary cutting mechanism 114. Base 112 has a rail 116 and a cutting blade or anvil 118. Rotary cutting mechanism 114 has a circular cutting blade 120 disposed in a housing 122. Housing 122 is slideably received on rail 116.

[0050] Blade 120 is disposed in housing 122 opposite blade 118 as illustrated in Figure 7. Blade 120 has a cutting edge 124 formed at its outer periphery, while blade 118 has a corresponding cutting edge 126. Rotary cutting mechanism 114 is also movably received on rail 116 such that cutting edges 124,126 can be moved into contact with one another. Accordingly, trimmer 110 has a pair of complementary cutting blades 118, 120.

[0051] During use of trimmer 110, a piece of paper 128 is positioned between cutting edges 124,126. Rotary cutting mechanism 114 is moved such that cutting edge 124 is contact with cutting edge 126. Then, rotary cutting mechanism 114 is slid along rail 116 such that the interaction of cutting edge 124 on cutting edge 126 severs paper 128 disposed therebetween.

[0052] Blades 118, 120 are preferably made of steel, more preferably stainless steel, such as 420 stainless steel. In addition, blades 118,120 can be heat-treated to further increase the hardness of the complementary cutting blades.

[0053] Trimmer 110 has a coating 132 disposed on blades 118,120. As described in detail above with respect to the exemplary embodiments of Figures 1 to 5, coating

132 has a thickness in a range between about 0.3 microns and 0.5 microns, more preferably about 0.4 microns.

[0054] Coating 132 provides the complementary cutting blades 118,120 with extremely tough, hard, wear resistant characteristics. This increased hardness provides trimmer 110 with substantially increased longevity, while also providing the trimmer with corrosion resistance, as well as providing a smooth and uniform appearance and color. Coating 132 provides trimmer 110 with an aesthetically acceptable color or appearance and reduces friction between the cutting blades during use.

[0055] Coating 132 can be made of titanium nitride (TiN), chromium nitride (CrN), or titanium chromium nitride (TiCrN). More preferably, coating 32 is a multiple component barrier of titanium chromium nitride.

[0056] Coating 132 having the desired hardness, smoothness, and diffusion barrier properties preferably is formed of titanium chromium nitride having about 35 percent by weight of titanium nitride and about 65 percent by weight of chromium nitride and with a hardness in a range of about 5.7 to about 9.1 gigapascals. More preferably, coating 32 has about 50 percent by weight of titanium nitride and about 50 percent by weight of chromium nitride and a hardness in a range of about 7.2 to about 7.6 gigapascals. Thus, coating 132 provides trimmer 110 with a visual indication that the coating had been applied, without affecting the consumer's impression of the target use of the scissors (i. e., general household use). Moreover, coating 132 provides trimmer 110 with drastically improved hardness over the uncoated trimmers.

[0057] An exemplary embodiment of a stationery cutting implement in the form of a guillotine style paper trimmer 210 is illustrated in Figures 8 and 9. Trimmer 210 is as shown and described in U. S. Provisional Application Serial No. 60/392,942 filed on July 1,2002, the contents of which are incorporated herein by reference.

[0058] Trimmer 210 has a base 212 and a pivoting cutting mechanism 214. Base 212 has a fixed cutting blade 216. Pivoting cutting mechanism 214 has a movable cutting blade 220 pivotally secured to base 212 at a pivot point 218. Each blade 216,220 has a cutting edge 224. Accordingly, trimmer 210 has a pair of complementary cutting blades 216,220.

[0059] During use of trimmer 210, a piece of paper (not shown) is positioned on base 212 so that the portion to be cut is disposed between the cutting edges 224. Pivoting cutting mechanism 214 is moved about pivot point 218 in the direction of arrow 226 such that the cutting edges 224 shear the paper.

[0060] Cutting blades 216,220 are preferably made of steel, more preferably stainless steel, such as 420 stainless steel. In addition, cutting blades 216,220 can be heat-treated to further increase the hardness of the complementary cutting blades.

[0061] Trimmer 210 has a coating 232 disposed on cutting blades 216,220. Again, coating 232 has a thickness in a range between about 0.3 microns and 0.5 mi-

crons, more preferably about 0.4 microns.

[0062] Coating 232, like coating 132, provides the complementary cutting blades with extremely tough, hard, wear resistant characteristics. This increased hardness provides trimmer 210 with substantially increased longevity, while also providing the trimmer with corrosion resistance, as well as providing a smooth and uniform appearance and color. Coating 232 provides trimmer 210 with an aesthetically acceptable color or appearance and reduces friction between the cutting blades during use.

[0063] Coating 232, like coating 132, can be made of titanium nitride (TiN), chromium nitride (CrN), or titanium chromium nitride (TiCrN). More preferably, coating 232 is a multiple component barrier of titanium chromium nitride. Coating 232 is formed of titanium chromium nitride having about 35 percent by weight of titanium nitride and about 65 percent by weight of chromium nitride and with a hardness in a range of about 5.7 to about 9.1 gigapascals. More preferably, coating 232 has about 50 percent by weight of titanium nitride and about 50 percent by weight of chromium nitride and a hardness in a range of about 7.2 to about 7.6 gigapascals.

[0064] The coating described herein for stationery cutting implements provides a cost competitive manner to improve the hardness, longevity, stain resistance, and ease of use of the cutting implement. For example, it has been found that the coating of the present invention, when applied using the aforementioned CAP process, increases the cost of the cutting implement by about seventeen cents, or less than 30% of the cost of manufacture the implement.

[0065] It should also be noted that the terms "first", "second", and "third" and the like may be used herein to modify various elements. These modifiers do not imply a spatial, sequential, or hierarchical order to the modified elements unless specifically stated.

[0066] While the invention has been described with reference to one or more exemplary embodiments, it will be understood by those skilled in the art that various changes may be made and equivalents may be substituted for elements thereof without departing from the scope of the invention. In addition, many modifications may be made to adapt a particular situation or material to the teachings of the disclosure without departing from the essential scope thereof. Therefore, it is intended that the invention not be limited to the particular embodiment (s) disclosed as the best mode contemplated for carrying out this invention, but that the invention will include all embodiments falling within the scope of the appended claims.

[0067] The invention is further described in the following clauses:

1. A cutting implement comprising: a pair of complementary cutting blades, each having a cutting edge; and a coating disposed on each of said pair of complementary cutting blades, wherein said coating has titanium chromium nitride and provides said pair of complementary cutting blades with a satin silver ap-

pearance.

2. The cutting implement as in clause 1, wherein said coating has about 35 percent by weight of titanium nitride and about 65 percent by weight of chromium nitride 5

3. The cutting implement as in claim 2, wherein said coating has a hardness in a range of about 5.7 to about 9.1 gigapascals. 10

4. The cutting implement as in clause 2, wherein said coating has a thickness in a range between about 0.3 and 0.5 microns. 15

5. The cutting implement as in clause 1, wherein said coating has about 50 percent by weight of titanium nitride and about 50 percent by weight of chromium nitride. 20

6. The cutting implement as in clause 5, wherein said coating has a hardness in a range of about 7.2 to about 7.6 gigapascals.

7. The cutting implement as in clause 5, wherein said coating has a thickness of about 0.4 microns. 25

8. The cutting implement as in clause 1, wherein said coating provides each of said pair of cutting blades with a surface roughness in a range of about 15 to 25 10^{-6} inch/inch. 30

9. The cutting implement as in clause 1, wherein the cutting implement is selected from the group consisting of a pair of scissors, a rotary style paper trimmer, and a guillotine style paper trimmer. 35

10. The cutting implement as in clause 9, wherein each of said pair of cutting blades is formed of a material selected from the group consisting of steel, stainless steel, 420 stainless steel, heat-treated steel, heat treated stainless steel, and heat treated 420 stainless steel. 40

11. The cutting implement as in clause 10, wherein said coating is disposed on each of said pair of cutting blades such that the coating forms a metallurgical bond with the blades, said metallurgical bond resisting one ore more of flaking, blistering, chipping, and peeling. 45 50

12. The cutting implement as in clause 10, wherein said coating is adsorbed into a surface layer of each of said cutting blades. 55

13. A cutting implement comprising: a pair of complementary cutting blades ; and a titanium chromium nitride coating disposed on each of said pair of com-

plementary said cutting blades, wherein said titanium chromium nitride coating has a thickness in a range between about 0.3 and 0.5 microns, a surface roughness in a range of about 15 to 25 10^{-6} inch/inch, and a hardness in a range of about 5.7 to about 9.1 gigapascals.

14. The cutting implement as in clause 13, wherein said coating comprises about 35 percent by weight of titanium nitride and about 65 percent by weight of chromium nitride.

15. The cutting implement as in clause 13, wherein said coating comprises about 50 percent by weight of titanium nitride and about 50 percent by weight of chromium nitride.

16. The cutting implement as in clause 15, wherein said coating has a thickness of about 0.4 microns.

17. The cutting implement as in clause 13, wherein said coating provides said pair of complementary cutting blades with a satin silver appearance.

18. The cutting implement as in clause 13, wherein the cutting implement is selected from the group consisting of a pair of scissors, a rotary style paper trimmer, and a guillotine style paper trimmer.

19. The pair of complementary cutting surfaces as in clause 13, wherein said pair of complementary cutting blades is formed of a material selected from the group consisting of steel, stainless steel, 420 stainless steel, heat-treated steel, heat treated stainless steel, and heat treated 420 stainless steel.

Claims

1. A cutting implement (10) comprising:

a pair of complementary cutting blades (22, 26), each having a cutting edge (28), **characterized in that**

each of said pair of complementary cutting blades (22, 26) has a coating disposed thereon, wherein said coating has less than or equal to about 50% by weight of titanium nitride and greater than or equal to about 50% by weight of chromium nitride.

2. The cutting implement according to Claim 1, wherein the coating provides the cutting blades (22, 26) with a satin silver appearance.

3. The cutting implement according to Claim 1 or Claim 2, wherein the coating is applied to the blades (22, 26) by a process selected from the group consisting

of chemical vapor deposition, physical vapor deposition, reactive magnetron sputtering, and cathode arc plasma.

4. The cutting implement according to any previous claim, wherein the cutting implement (10) is selected from the group consisting of a pair of scissors, a rotary style paper trimmer, and a guillotine paper trimmer. 5
10
5. The cutting implement according to any previous claim, wherein the coating has a thickness less than about 0.6 microns.
6. The cutting implement according to any previous claim, wherein the coating further comprises titanium chromium nitride. 15
7. The cutting implement according to any previous claim, wherein the coating has a thickness in a range between 0.3 and 0.5 microns. 20
8. The cutting implement according to any previous claim, wherein the coating includes titanium nitride in a range of about 50% by weight to about 10% by weight and includes chromium nitride in a range of about 50% by weight to about 90% by weight. 25
9. The cutting implement according to any of Claims 1 to 7, wherein the coating has about 50 percent by weight of titanium nitride and about 50 percent by weight chromium nitride. 30
10. The cutting implement according to any of Claims 1 to 7, wherein the coating is has about 25 percent by weight of titanium nitride and about 75 percent by weight chromium nitride. 35
11. The cutting implement according to any of Claims 1 to 7, wherein the coating has about 10 percent by weight of titanium nitride and about 90 percent by weight chromium nitride. 40
12. The cutting implement according to any of Claims 1 to 7, wherein the by weight percentage of chromium nitride is greater than or equal to about twice the by weight percentage of titanium nitride. 45

50

55

FIG.1




Fig. 2




FIGURE 4




FIGURE 3




Figure 5

Sample No.	Target Composition	Average Hardness (Gegapascals)	Hardness Range (Gegapascals)	Appearance
1	None	5.9	5.4-6.3	Medium gloss silver
2	100% Ti	7.0	5.8-7.2	Satin gold
3	75% Ti / 25% Cr	7.3	5.8-8.8	Satin gold
4	50% Ti / 50% Cr	7.4	7.2-7.6	Satin silver
5	25% Ti / 75% Cr	7.4	5.7-9.1	Satin silver
6	100% Cr	7.9	6.5-9.3	Medium gloss silver
7	90% Ti / 10% Cr	6.5	6.1-6.9	Satin gold
8	60% Ti / 40% Cr	7.1	6.8-7.4	Satin gold
9	25% Ti / 75% Cr	7.4	6.9-7.9	Satin silver
10	10% Ti / 90% Cr	9.0	8.9-9.1	Medium gloss silver

*Shank formed of heat-treated 420 stainless steel in all samples.

Figure 6







Figure. 7









European Patent
Office

EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT

Application Number
EP 08 00 0011

DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT			
Category	Citation of document with indication, where appropriate, of relevant passages	Relevant to claim	CLASSIFICATION OF THE APPLICATION (IPC)
A	US 5 301 431 A (CERA ET AL) 12 April 1994 (1994-04-12) * the whole document *	3	INV. B26D1/00 B26B25/00
A	DE 34 47 962 A1 (FRITZ BRACHT GMBH & CO KG; KRUPP STAHL AG) 21 November 1985 (1985-11-21) * the whole document *	3	
A	US 5 528 833 A (SAKUMA ET AL) 25 June 1996 (1996-06-25) * the whole document *	3	
A	US 5 152 774 A (SCHROEDER WILLIAM A [US]) 6 October 1992 (1992-10-06) * the whole document *	3	
A	US 4 981 756 A (RHANDHAWA HARBHAJAN S [US]) 1 January 1991 (1991-01-01) * the whole document *	3	
A	US 4 436 830 A (ANDREEV ANATOLY A [SU] ET AL) 13 March 1984 (1984-03-13) * the whole document *	3	TECHNICAL FIELDS SEARCHED (IPC)
			B26B
The present search report has been drawn up for all claims			
Place of search Munich		Date of completion of the search 14 March 2008	Examiner Maier, Michael
<p>CATEGORY OF CITED DOCUMENTS</p> <p>X : particularly relevant if taken alone Y : particularly relevant if combined with another document of the same category A : technological background O : non-written disclosure P : intermediate document</p> <p>T : theory or principle underlying the invention E : earlier patent document, but published on, or after the filing date D : document cited in the application L : document cited for other reasons & : member of the same patent family, corresponding document</p>			

6
EPO FORM 1503 03.82 (P04C01)

**ANNEX TO THE EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT
ON EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION NO.**

EP 08 00 0011

This annex lists the patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned European search report.
The members are as contained in the European Patent Office EDP file on
The European Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

14-03-2008

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 5301431	A	12-04-1994	NONE
DE 3447962	A1	21-11-1985	NONE
US 5528833	A	25-06-1996	NONE
US 5152774	A	06-10-1992	NONE
US 4981756	A	01-01-1991	NONE
US 4436830	A	13-03-1984	AT 381264 B 25-09-1986
		AT 909981 A 15-02-1986	
		AU 561172 B2 30-04-1987	
		AU 8904082 A 28-09-1982	
		BR 8108987 A 01-03-1983	
		CA 1169887 A1 26-06-1984	
		CA 1204125 A2 06-05-1986	
		CH 652629 A5 29-11-1985	
		DE 3152761 C2 10-11-1988	
		DE 3152761 T 24-02-1983	
		DK 477782 A 28-10-1982	
		FI 823672 A 27-10-1982	
		FR 2513154 A1 25-03-1983	
		GB 2108535 A 18-05-1983	
		IE 51910 B1 29-04-1987	
		NL 8201814 A 01-12-1983	
		NO 823492 A 20-10-1982	
		SE 451002 B 24-08-1987	
		SE 8206163 A 29-10-1982	
		WO 8203036 A1 16-09-1982	

REFERENCES CITED IN THE DESCRIPTION

This list of references cited by the applicant is for the reader's convenience only. It does not form part of the European patent document. Even though great care has been taken in compiling the references, errors or omissions cannot be excluded and the EPO disclaims all liability in this regard.

Patent documents cited in the description

- US 60421581 B [0048]
- US 60392942 B [0057]