



(12) **EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION**

(43) Date of publication:
07.04.2010 Bulletin 2010/14

(51) Int Cl.:
B63B 39/06 (2006.01)

(21) Application number: **09171591.2**

(22) Date of filing: **29.09.2009**

(84) Designated Contracting States:
AT BE BG CH CY CZ DE DK EE ES FI FR GB GR HR HU IE IS IT LI LT LU LV MC MK MT NL NO PL PT RO SE SI SK SM TR

(72) Inventor: **Cappiello, Alessandro**
I-22020, Pare (Como) (IT)

(74) Representative: **Marchitelli, Mauro Buzzi, Notaro & Antonielli d'Oulx**
Via Maria Vittoria 18
10123 Torino (IT)

(30) Priority: **02.10.2008 IT TO20080725**

(71) Applicant: **CMC Marine S.r.l.**
22100 Como (IT)

(54) **Automatic anti-roll stabilization system of a watercraft**


(57) An automatic system for anti-roll stabilization of watercraft, comprising:

- a stabilizing fin (12) that can turn about an axis (18);
- an actuator assembly (14), designed to govern rotation of said fin (12) about said axis (18); and

- a regulating system (16), designed to govern said actuator assembly (14) as a function of signals indicating rolling of the watercraft.

Said actuator assembly (14) comprises an electric motor (26) connected to said stabilizing fin (12).

FIG. 1



Description

[0001] The present invention relates to an automatic system for anti-roll stabilization of watercraft, comprising a stabilizing fin that can turn about an axis, sensor means for detecting the motions of roll of the watercraft, and a control device for governing rotation of said fin about said axis as a function of signals supplied by said sensor means.

[0002] Stabilizing fins are basically hydrodynamic profiles (similar to airfoil profiles), which are mounted in a transverse direction with respect to the hull in order to reduce the transverse motions of roll (rotations about the longitudinal axis of the hull) induced by the action of the waves. The hydrodynamic profile impinged upon by the flow of water in relative motion with respect to the hull generates a force of hydrodynamic lift that varies, among other things, as a function of the angle of incidence of the profile. The stabilizing fin is associated to a control device that varies the angle of incidence of the hydrodynamic profile as a function of signals indicating rolling of the watercraft.

[0003] In traditional solutions, the stabilizing fin is associated to a mechanical actuator having a rotating shaft, the bottom end of which comes out of the hull and is connected to the stabilizing fin. The top part of the shaft of the mechanical actuator is fixed to a rocker, to which two hydraulic cylinders are mechanically connected. The linear motion of the hydraulic cylinders is converted into a motion of rotation of the shaft of the mechanical actuator via the rocker, which also has the function of torque amplifier.

[0004] A typical system of anti-roll stabilization of a known type is characterized by the following operating parameters:

- angular motion of the stabilizing fin with respect to its central position: $\pm 30^\circ$;
- driving speed: between $22^\circ/\text{s}$ up to a maximum of $60^\circ/\text{s}$;
- driving at high torque and low power;
- maximum speed of rotation: $6\text{-}8\text{g}/1'$.

[0005] The motion of the hydraulic cylinders is ensured by an on-board hydraulic system governed by a proportional directional valve. The proportional valve receives a voltage signal of $\pm 10\text{ V}$ processed by an electronic control system.

[0006] In the known solutions, the electronic control system comprises a control panel containing a regulator of a PLC type. The electronic control system is associated to a system of sensors for detecting motions of roll, comprising an inclinometer that measures the angle of roll and a gyroscope that measures the speed of roll.

[0007] The electronic regulator processes the signal supplied by the sensors and generates a voltage signal that determines opening of the proportional valve. The flow of oil that traverses the proportional valve governs

linear advance of the cylinders and hence rotation of the rocker. There follows a rotation of the axis of the mechanical actuator and hence of the stabilizing fin. Corresponding to the presumed new angle of incidence is a new value of the force of hydrodynamic lift and hence of the rectifying moment generated on the watercraft. Said rectifying moment tends to offset the destabilizing moment generated by the impact of the waves on the watercraft.

[0008] The performance of the entire stabilizing system is markedly affected by the dynamic response of the following three subassemblies:

- mechanical actuator and stabilizing fin;
- hydraulic control system;
- electronic regulating system.

[0009] The dynamic response of the electronic regulating system is certainly better than the performance required. Also the mechanical actuator in practice can yield good results in terms of dynamic response. The critical aspect of the system is represented by the dynamic behaviour of the hydraulic system.

[0010] The design and construction of the hydraulic control system is subject to numerous constraints, amongst which:

- need to use standard oleodynamic elements available on the market;
- head losses generated by the system of tubes and within the proportional regulating valve;
- noise of the system, which limits the working pressure to values of not higher than $120\text{-}135\text{ bar}$;
- low efficiency of the oleodynamic system (the efficiency between the installed power of the motor and the shaft of the mechanical actuator is lower than 50%);
- need to provide a cooling system for dissipating the heat generated by the losses of efficiency; and
- weight and complexity of the oleodynamic system, and difficulty of installation and of management.

[0011] The aforesaid constraints affect and penalize the dynamic response of the hydraulic control system and consequently the entire stabilizing system.

[0012] The majority of stabilizing systems used today envisage the option of stabilization at anchor, i.e., with the watercraft stationary. In these conditions, since the speed of the flow that impinges upon the hydrodynamic profile is practically zero, the amplifying effect of the force of hydrodynamic lift ceases, and the mode of control is purely of an impulsive nature. Frequently, the motions of stabilization at anchor are at a high frequency, so that it is necessary to have available a actuation system with a high dynamic range. This calls for an available power higher than the one used in navigation.

[0013] To achieve a good stabilization at anchor it is indispensable to be able to turn the stabilizing fin at a high speed, in the region of $45\text{-}60^\circ/\text{s}$. To obtain these

performance levels, the hydraulic control system must work at a high power and, in these conditions, there are high levels of dissipation. The efficiency of the hydraulic transmission in these operating conditions is estimated in the region of 30-35%. In such conditions, also the dynamic loads to which the mechanical actuator is subjected become high, and this entails an oversizing of the mechanics and hence an increase in the inertias of the mechanical actuator system. This markedly penalizes the dynamic response of the entire system, rendering at times impossible the use of such a system for the function of stabilization at anchor.

[0014] The purpose of the present invention is to provide an automatic system of anti-roll stabilization that will enable the aforesaid problems to be overcome.

[0015] According to the present invention, the stabilizing fin is governed by a permanent-magnet d.c. motor. To overcome the problem of the torque necessary to operate the stabilizing fin, set between the electric motor and the axis of rotation of the stabilizing fin is a motor-reducer unit, preferably an epicyclic motor reducer, which performs a multiplication of the torque supplied by the electric motor.

[0016] The electronic regulating system of the system according to the present invention is simpler and at the same time more flexible and powerful than the electronic systems used for control of oleodynamic systems.

[0017] The electronic control system associated to an electric motor enables creation of new, very sophisticated, and highly adaptive algorithms of regulation. This aspect is of fundamental importance for providing a stabilizing system at anchor without penalizing the system as a whole, as occurs in traditional solutions.

[0018] Further characteristics and advantages of the present invention will emerge clearly in the course of the ensuing detailed description, which is provided purely by way of non-limiting example with reference to the attached drawings, wherein:

- Figure 1 is a schematic perspective view of a system for anti-roll stabilization of watercraft according to the present invention;
- Figure 2 is a schematic cross-sectional view according to the line II-II of Figure 1; and
- Figure 3 is an exploded perspective view of the actuator assembly designated by the arrow III in Figure 1.

[0019] With reference to Figure 1, designated as a whole by 10 is an automatic system for anti-roll stabilization of watercraft. The stabilizing system 10 comprises a stabilizing fin 12, an actuator assembly 14 and an electronic regulating system 16.

[0020] The stabilizing fin 12 is able to turn about an axis 18 and is shaped according to a hydrodynamic profile. The actuator assembly 14 is designed to govern rotation of the stabilizing fin 12 about the axis 18.

[0021] As illustrated in greater detail in Figures 2 and

3, the actuator assembly 14 comprises a fixed support 20, rotatably supported within which is a shaft 22, to which the stabilizing fin 12 is fixed. Preferably, two taper bearings 24 are provided for support of the shaft 22.

[0022] The actuator assembly 14 according to the present invention comprises an electric motor 26 and a motor reducer 28. The electric motor 26 is preferably constituted by a permanent-magnet d.c. electric motor (brushless motor). The motor reducer 28 is preferably an epicyclic motor reducer with input and output at 90° apart. The input shaft of the motor reducer 28 is fitted on the output shaft of the electric motor 26. The output shaft of the motor reducer 28 is fixed with respect to the shaft 22 that bears the stabilizing fin 12.

[0023] The electronic regulating system 16 comprises sensor means 30 for detecting the motions of rolling of the watercraft, a microprocessor regulating unit 32, and a driving unit 34.

[0024] The sensor means 30 preferably comprise at least one sensor for detecting the angle of roll and at least one sensor for detecting the speed of roll. The sensor of the angle of roll can be an inclinometer, and the sensor of the speed of roll can be a gyroscope. The microprocessor regulating unit 32 receives the data on the motions of roll of the watercraft and processes the reference signals to be sent to the driving unit 34. Preferably, the driving unit 34 carries out a control of the angular position of the stabilizing fin 12 by means of an absolute encoder 36 mounted on the shaft of the electric motor 26.

[0025] The electronic regulating system 16 according to the present invention enables adjustment of the stabilizing fin 12 in a way that is far more sophisticated than is possible with electro-hydraulic systems according to the known art. Traditional PLC regulators supply only a voltage signal corresponding to an angle of rotation of the fin, from which there is presumed a force of hydrodynamic lift generated by the profile and hence a torque that counters the torque of roll.

[0026] With the regulating system 16 according to the present invention it is possible to carry out control of different reference values of the electric motor 26, amongst which: power, torque, speed, position, power absorption/load.

[0027] The reading and control of the aforesaid parameters enables creation of very sophisticated and highly adaptive algorithms of regulation.

[0028] Thanks to the fact that the actuator assembly 14 has a high dynamics and supplies satisfactory responses over a wide range of operating frequencies, the electronic regulating system 16 can create algorithms and laws of control not only as a function of the disturbance generated by the waves but, above all, as a function of the actual responses of the watercraft. This aspect is fundamental for the construction of a good stabilizing system at anchor, without penalizing the system as a whole, as occurs in the known art.

[0029] In the traditional solutions with oleodynamic control, the adjustment of the stabilizing fin 12 is based

upon hydrodynamic laws, assuming that the actual operation approaches the calculated one. This approach, however, neglects a series of phenomena, amongst which the interference between the hull and the stabilizing fin, that are difficult to evaluate with numerical simulations or tank tests.

[0030] With the system according to the present invention it is possible to monitor the operating parameters of the actuator assembly 14 easily (power, torque, speed, position, power absorption/load). This enables evaluation of the real dynamic behaviour of the stabilizing fin 12 and identification of its dynamics. The real operating data enable identification of the hydrodynamic profiles used and enable the due modifications to be made.

[0031] As compared to the known art, the advantages that derive from the use of the stabilizing system according to the present invention are the following:

- the actuator assembly 14 has a better dynamics as compared to actuators of a mechanical type;
- the coefficient of efficiency of the control device is higher, and a reduction of the installed power is consequently obtained;
- a reduction of the dynamic loads on the actuator 14 is obtained: in fact, the loads act exclusively in the axial direction, and there are no mechanical linkages subjected to high dynamic loads;
- a reduction of the structural loads on the hull is obtained;
- a drastic reduction of the noise is obtained, and hence a higher comfort on board;
- a better dynamics of the system is achieved, so that it is possible to obtain a regulator with higher performance both in navigation and in stabilization at anchor;
- installation on board is simplified as compared to the known solutions since no intervention of skilled labour is required;
- a better reliability of the system is achieved thanks to the smaller number of components installed (in the oleodynamic system according to the known art leakage in a hydraulic connector is sufficient to jeopardize operation of the stabilizing system).

[0032] Tests conducted by the present applicant show that the actuator assembly 14 with electric motor and motor reducer affords a higher performance than an oleodynamic actuator according to the known art. The lower power losses of the electric motor moreover enable variation of the controller gains so as to improve further the efficiency of the system. This enables an adaptive controller to be obtained that is able to pass from one configuration of gains to a different one as required.

[0033] Of course, without prejudice to the principle of the invention, the details of construction and the embodiments may vary widely with respect to what is described and illustrated herein, without thereby departing from the scope of the invention as defined in the ensuing claims.

Claims

1. An automatic system for anti-roll stabilization of watercraft, comprising:

- a stabilizing fin (12) that can turn about an axis (18);
- an actuator assembly (14), designed to govern rotation of said fin (12) about said axis (18); and
- a regulating system (16), designed to govern said actuator assembly (14) as a function of signals indicating rolling of the watercraft,

said system being **characterized in that** said actuator assembly (14) comprises an electric motor (26) connected to said stabilizing fin (12).

2. The system according to Claim 1, **characterized in that** said regulating system comprises:

- sensor means (30), designed to supply a signal indicating rolling of the watercraft;
- a microprocessor regulating unit (32), designed to process the data on rolling of the watercraft supplied by said sensor means (30); and
- a driving unit (34) for governing said electric motor (16).

3. The system according to Claim 2, **characterized in that** said regulating system (16) is arranged for carrying out control of the angular position of the stabilizing fin (12) by means of an encoder (36) associated to said electric motor (26).

4. The system according to Claim 2 or Claim 3, **characterized in that** the regulating system (16) is arranged for controlling one or more of the following operating parameters of the electric motor (26): power, torque, speed, position, electrical power input, load.

5. The system according to Claim 1, **characterized in that** said electric motor (26) is a permanent-magnet d.c. motor.

6. The system according to Claim 1, **characterized in that** said electric motor is connected to said stabilizing fin via a reduction gear (28).

FIG. 1




FIG. 2





FIG. 3





EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT

Application Number
EP 09 17 1591

DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT			
Category	Citation of document with indication, where appropriate, of relevant passages	Relevant to claim	CLASSIFICATION OF THE APPLICATION (IPC)
X	US 4 926 778 A (JOHNSTON DANIEL D [US]) 22 May 1990 (1990-05-22)	1,5-6	INV. B63B39/06
Y	* abstract; claims 4,12,16; figures * * column 3, line 52 - column 5, line 33 *	1-6	
Y	US 3 371 642 A (JOY WILLIAM M) 5 March 1968 (1968-03-05) * column 3, lines 27-36; figures * * column 4, line 61 - column 5, line 3 *	1-6	
Y	US 3 020 869 A (BEACH LENNOX F) 13 February 1962 (1962-02-13) * column 1, lines 9-65; figures * * column 3, lines 27-43 *	1-6	
Y	US 4 644 893 A (ZEPP LAWRENCE P [US]) 24 February 1987 (1987-02-24) * abstract; figures *	2-4	
Y	US 5 507 672 A (IMAEDA HIROFUMI [JP]) 16 April 1996 (1996-04-16) * abstract; figures * * column 1, lines 5-10 * * column 1, line 40 - column 2, line 43 * * column 4, lines 34-52 *	2-4	
Y	US 2007/272143 A1 (KOOP MATTHEUS THEODORUS [BE] ET AL KOOP MATTHEUS THEODORUS [BE] ET AL) 29 November 2007 (2007-11-29) * abstract; figures * * paragraph [0042] *	1-6	B63B
The present search report has been drawn up for all claims			
Place of search Munich		Date of completion of the search 4 February 2010	Examiner Nicol, Yann
CATEGORY OF CITED DOCUMENTS X : particularly relevant if taken alone Y : particularly relevant if combined with another document of the same category A : technological background O : non-written disclosure P : intermediate document T : theory or principle underlying the invention E : earlier patent document, but published on, or after the filing date D : document cited in the application L : document cited for other reasons & : member of the same patent family, corresponding document			

 1
EPO FORM 1503 03.02 (P04C01)

**ANNEX TO THE EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT
ON EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION NO.**

EP 09 17 1591

This annex lists the patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned European search report.
The members are as contained in the European Patent Office EDP file on
The European Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

04-02-2010

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 4926778	A	22-05-1990	NONE	
US 3371642	A	05-03-1968	NONE	
US 3020869	A	13-02-1962	GB 956567 A	29-04-1964
US 4644893	A	24-02-1987	NONE	
US 5507672	A	16-04-1996	NONE	
US 2007272143	A1	29-11-2007	NONE	

EPO FORM P0459

For more details about this annex : see Official Journal of the European Patent Office, No. 12/82