



(12) **EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION**

(43) Date of publication:
16.09.2015 Bulletin 2015/38

(51) Int Cl.:
G10H 1/00 (2006.01) **G10G 1/00** (2006.01)
G06F 3/048 (2013.01) **G06F 3/01** (2006.01)
G10H 1/40 (2006.01)

(21) Application number: **14382089.2**

(22) Date of filing: **12.03.2014**

(84) Designated Contracting States:
AL AT BE BG CH CY CZ DE DK EE ES FI FR GB GR HR HU IE IS IT LI LT LU LV MC MK MT NL NO PL PT RO RS SE SI SK SM TR
Designated Extension States:
BA ME

- **Peñalver Primo, Sergio**
31195 Larrageta, Navarra (ES)
- **Abrego Primo, Koldo**
31195 Larrageta, Navarra (ES)

(71) Applicant: **NewMusicNow, S.L.**
31195 Larrageta, Navarra (ES)

(74) Representative: **Stiebe, Lars Magnus et al**
Balder
Paseo de la Castellana 93
28046 Madrid (ES)

(72) Inventors:
• **Piñuela Irrisarri, Carlos**
31195 Larrageta, Navarra (ES)

(54) **Method, device and computer program product for scrolling a musical score**

(57) A method for scrolling a musical score on a screen of a device, comprising: loading a file having a digital score in a piece of memory of said device, said score comprising a plurality of measures; displaying a at least one measure from said score on the screen; scrolling said score by showing on the screen additional measures of music while the already scrolled measures disappear from the screen. The method further comprises: adjusting the scrolling speed according to the displayed measures, to a tempo and to a number of beats per measure, said tempo being selected from the following group of tempos: according to the real-time tempo of the user

who is actually playing, according to the tempo indicated in the digital score or, when a group of users are playing together, according to the tempo imposed by one of them; and said number of beats per measure being selected from the following group: according to the number of beats per measure imposed by the user who is actually playing, according to the number of beats per measure indicated in the digital score or, when a group of users are playing together, according to the number of beats per measure imposed by one of them. Device, computer program product and computer-readable memory/medium.




FIGURE 1

Description**TECHNICAL FIELD**

5 **[0001]** The present invention relates to the field of displaying and scrolling information on a displaying screen and, in particular, to methods and systems for displaying and scrolling music on a display screen.

STATE OF THE ART

10 **[0002]** It is well-known that musicians sometimes use virtual scores or electronic scores, rather than conventional paper ones. Electronic scores have meant, among other advantages, appreciable savings in terms of paper and, as a consequence, space.

[0003] One of the main challenges faced by electronic scores has been how to scroll or advance virtual sheet music for a user playing an instrument. Probably, the first related record, although not specific for music scores, is the so called teleprompter. The teleprompter is a device based on a display, which provides a person who is speaking with the electronic visual text that must be read. The person using the teleprompter does not need to look down to read his/her notes, as a consequence of which he/she gives an impression of having memorized the speech. Therefore, teleprompter is especially useful in television, for example for the news' readers. The development of software has led to modern teleprompters, which can work on desktop, laptop or tablet computers, and which enable the speaker to control the rate and flow of their speech.

[0004] It is also worth mentioning how specific the musical language is, to the point that it is incomparable to any language based on words. As a matter of example, digitalization of musical scores is still an unsolved problem (or at least a problem solved with tough restrictions). In comparison to language digitalization, which has become a routine thanks to the Optical Character Recognition (OCR) system, an existing equivalent system for music, called Optical Music Recognition (OMR), has not managed to work reliably. This is because an error in a word can easily be overcome by mechanisms based on logic, which work in a similar way as the human brain, in such a way that a missing or mistaken letter does not affect a word, which can be contextually reconstructed. A music note, however, cannot be guessed by context. As a matter of example, some studies have concluded that the error when digitalizing musical scores is around 40% for hand-written scores and around 24% for non-hand-written scores (David Carretero de la Rocha, Diploma Thesis, "Sistema de reconocimiento de partituras musicales", University Carlos III de Madrid).

[0005] Focusing on music scores, current solutions for displaying electronic scores are based on a page-by-page system. This means that electronic scores are stored page by page on a storage medium. The display device then scrolls the pages on a continuous way. The problem of how quickly or slowly to scroll or advance virtual scores for a user playing an instrument, has already been addressed. In other words, in the musical world, there is an unavoidable need for scrolling the music notes according either to the real-time tempo of the user who is actually playing, or to the tempo imposed by the person who conducts a group of musicians, or to the tempo established by the composer in the score itself.

[0006] For example, United States patent US8530735B2 describes a method for displaying music on a display screen in which, while a portion of musical notes of a song are displayed on the screen and at the same time the player is playing his/her instrument, a tempo of the user's performance of the song is detected. Once the user's tempo is known, it is calculated the time period required by the player to complete the performance of that displayed portion of musical notes. At the end of that time period, the portion of musical notes that is shown on the screen, is automatically replaced with a subsequent portion of musical notes.

[0007] This disclosure has several limitations. The main one is that it is only capable of scrolling the music notes according to the real-time tempo of the user who is actually playing. In particular, it is unable to adapt to the tempo suggested by the composer (and represented by annotations in the score). Another disadvantage of this method, as well as of any other conventional method based on a page-by-page display, is that it is unable to manage repetitions, so frequent in musical scores. This means that the only way for a player to repeat a group of musical notes which must be repeated, is to manually go back to the beginning of the group to be repeated.

DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

[0008] It is an object of the present invention to provide a method for scrolling musical scores on a display or screen, which overcomes the mentioned disadvantages.

[0009] According to an aspect of the present invention, there is provided a method for scrolling a musical score on a screen of a device. The method comprises: loading a file having a digital score in a piece of memory of the device, the score comprising a plurality of measures; displaying a at least one measure from the score on the screen; scrolling the score by showing on the screen additional measures of music while the already scrolled measures disappear from the screen; adjusting the scrolling speed according to the displayed measures, to a tempo and to a number of beats per

measure. The tempo is selected from the following group of tempos: according to the real-time tempo of the user who is actually playing, according to the tempo indicated in the digital score or, when a group of users are playing together, according to the tempo imposed by one of them. The number of beats per measure are selected from the following group: according to the number of beats per measure imposed by the user who is actually playing, according to the number of beats per measure indicated in the digital score or, when a group of users are playing together, according to the number of beats per measure imposed by one of them.

[0010] In a particular embodiment, the digital score is scrolled vertically. In this case, the scrolling speed is preferably calculated as follows: estimating the time T required for playing said at least one measure according to the following formula: , wherein N is the total number of measures displayed on the screen, N being read from the digital score, and $T_{\text{measure}_i} = n_{\text{beats}_{\text{measure}_i}} / \text{tempo}$, wherein "tempo" is either the tempo indicated in the digital score or a tempo imposed by the user and $n_{\text{beats}_{\text{measure}_i}}$ is the number of beats that the measure number "i" has, said number being either read from the digital score or imposed by the user; obtaining from the device the dimensions of the screen, thus calculating the space to be covered by the at least one measure; calculating the scrolling speed from the estimated time T required for playing the measures displayed on the screen and from the calculated space to be covered by the measures and scrolling the score at the calculated speed; repeating the calculation and adjusting the scrolling speed every time the screen is refreshed.

[0011] In a more particular embodiment within the vertical scrolling, wherein a plurality of musical lines K is shown on the screen, each of the lines having at least one measure M_k , the number of measures per line being variable from line to line, the scrolling speed is calculated as follows: estimating the time T_k required for playing each musical line shown on the screen as follows: , wherein k varies from 1 to K , K being the total number of lines shown on the screen, wherein M_k is the number of measures in line k , and $M_1 M_2 \dots M_{k...} M_K$ being read from the digital score; calculating the total time T required for playing the M_k lines shown on the screen as follows: ; calculating an average time T_{av} per line:

$T_{\text{av}} = \frac{T}{K}$; rounding off said T_{av} to the lower natural number; calculating the scrolling speed from said estimated time

T_{av} required for playing the measures displayed on the screen and from said calculated space to be covered by said measures and scrolling the score at said calculated speed; repeating said calculation and adjusting the scrolling speed every time the screen is refreshed.

[0012] In an alternative embodiment, the digital score is scrolled horizontally. In this case, the scrolling speed is preferably calculated as follows: every time a measure i starts to be displayed on the screen: estimating the time T required for playing that measure as follows: $T_{\text{measure}_i} = n_{\text{beats}_{\text{measure}_i}} / \text{tempo}$, wherein "tempo" is either the tempo indicated in the digital score or a tempo imposed by the user and $n_{\text{beats}_{\text{measure}_i}}$ is the number of beats that the measure number "i" has, said number being either read from the digital score or imposed by the user; obtaining from the device the length of the screen, thus calculating the space to be covered by that measure i ; reading from the digital score the length of the measure i ; calculating the scrolling speed by dividing the sum of the space to be covered by that measure and the length of that measure by the estimated time T required for playing said measure; and scrolling the score at that calculated speed.

[0013] In a particular embodiment, the method further comprising the steps of: identifying in the digital score at least one mark which indicates the repetition of one block of music; copying that block to be repeated; -pasting in the file as many repetitions as indicated by the at least one mark, in a concatenated way, thus allowing the user to play the music in a linear way, avoiding the need of going back in the digital score.

[0014] In a particular embodiment, the method can be carried out by a plurality of users playing simultaneously the same score, the digital score being shown in a device of each user.

[0015] Preferably, the digital score is in the MusicXML format.

[0016] According to another aspect of the present invention, a device is provided. The device comprises means for carrying out the method previously explained. This device can be a personal digital assistant (PDA), a portable reader device, a tablet, a cell phone or any device which comprises a memory, a processor and a screen or display.

[0017] According to final aspects of the present invention, a computer program product comprising computer program instructions/code for performing the method already described, is provided. And a computer-readable memory/medium that stores program instructions/code for performing the method already described, is provided.

[0018] Additional advantages and features of the invention will become apparent from the detail description that follows and will be particularly pointed out in the appended claims.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

[0019] To complete the description and in order to provide for a better understanding of the invention, a set of drawings is provided. Said drawings form an integral part of the description and illustrate an embodiment of the invention, which

should not be interpreted as restricting the scope of the invention, but just as an example of how the invention can be carried out. The drawings comprise the following figures:

Figure 1 shows a scheme of horizontal scrolling (displacement) of a measure.

Figure 2 shows a scheme of vertical scrolling of a measure.

Figure 3 shows an example of vertical scrolling of a whole score.

Figure 4 shows an example of horizontal scrolling of a whole score.

DESCRIPTION OF A WAY OF CARRYING OUT THE INVENTION

[0020] In this text, the term "comprises" and its derivations (such as "comprising", etc.) should not be understood in an excluding sense, that is, these terms should not be interpreted as excluding the possibility that what is described and defined may include further elements, steps, etc.

[0021] In the context of the present invention, the term "approximately" and terms of its family (such as "approximate", etc.) should be understood as indicating values very near to those which accompany the aforementioned term. That is to say, a deviation within reasonable limits from an exact value should be accepted, because a skilled person in the art will understand that such a deviation from the values indicated is inevitable due to measurement inaccuracies, etc. The same applies to the terms "about" and "around" and "substantially".

[0022] The following description is not to be taken in a limiting sense but is given solely for the purpose of describing the broad principles of the invention. Next embodiments of the invention will be described by way of example, with reference to the above-mentioned drawings showing apparatuses and results according to the invention.

[0023] The method described herein is mainly designed to run on an electronic device, such as a personal digital assistant (PDA), a portable reader device, a tablet, a cell phone containing a display or any device which comprises a memory, a processor and a screen or display. The method is preferably implemented as a software application (APP). Also, the method is designed to run simultaneously in a plurality of such devices, for example when an orchestra or any other group of musicians is playing together. In that situation, one of the devices works as a "master" while the remaining devices are synchronized to the "master" one. In this context, "synchronized" means that the master device selects the portion of music to be displayed on the screen and/or selects the tempo to be played.

[0024] In the context of this invention, the terms "system" and "line", referred to a musical score, are interchangeable. Strictly speaking, a musical line is a stave ("pentagrama" in Spanish) corresponding to a single instrument, while a musical system ("sistema" in Spanish) comprises several lines referring to the same portion of music, each corresponding to a different instrument or to the two hands of a piano player. Typically, the score of an orchestra conductor has systems, while the scores of each of the players of different instruments, have lines.

[0025] Similarly, in this context, the term "score" is used to refer to both the sheet music used by the conductor, that is to say, the sheet music which contains simultaneously, all the sounds that all the musicians should make when they play together (in Spanish, "partitura"), and the sheet music of a single musician who plays one instrument (in Spanish, "particella").

[0026] Unlike conventional methods of scrolling scores, which are based on a page format, the method enables music to be read linearly, as music really is. Paper sheet music includes lines of music arranged horizontally on a page. Within each line, there are smaller units known as measures (in Spanish, "compases"). Within each measure, there are individual musical notes, depicted by a variety of different symbols.

[0027] Tempo, which is normally expressed as beats per minute, controls the rate at which the musical notes in a line of music are played.

[0028] The scores must be in a digital format. In a preferred embodiment, the scores are in the MusicXML format, which is a well-known XML-based file format for representing musical notation. It is a proprietary format, but fully and openly documented. The MusicXML standard contains information such as title, author, number of measures, number of systems, instrument number and name, position and duration of notes, and, generally, the same information as provided by a paper score.

[0029] The method is implemented as computer program instructions/code which runs on one or more of the previously mentioned devices. It also requires storage means for storing the music scores (in the form of digital files). This storage can be local or distributed, such as in the cloud. Optionally, additional hardware can be used, such as pedals for hands-free operation.

[0030] Once a score in a digital format, preferably MusicXML format, has been open at a local device (either stored locally or in the internet, for example with restricted access) the contents of the score are drawn on the screen. These contents are adapted to the screen of the device. From now on, the term "file" refers to a file in a digital format comprising

a whole musical score. The file is preferably loaded in the device and stored locally in a buffer within the memory of the device.

[0031] The process of displaying the score kept in the file is as follows: First, the contents of the file stored in the buffer are read. Then, the total height of the score is calculated in order to, by default, display the full score. The width is adapted to that of the screen in which it is displayed. In other words, by default, as many music lines as required are shown/drawn, in order to show on the screen all the notes of the score along the width of the screen. Since, however, for practical reasons, only a certain amount of "lines" can be shown on the screen (for the user to be able to read them), a scrolling or displacing function is activated, as detailed later on.

[0032] Once the contents of the file stored in the buffer are read, the repetitions are expanded. This means that those measures that should be played more than once, are concatenated in a row as many times as repetitions marked in the score, according to a specific notation in the score. The annotations corresponding to repetitions are marked in the digital file. Thanks to these marks, the algorithm knows which portions must be expanded and how many times they must be expanded (copied in a concatenated way). In other words, the measures which belong to a block (marked for repetition) are copied and pasted as many times as required, exactly at the point in the file where the mark is placed. This process fills the buffer with the score fully "expanded". In this process, a pre-buffer is stored in a temporary buffer for subsequent use. Due to the fact that musicians are used to read music with repetitions and their symbology, the repetitions are preferably expanded on the screen only at the moment of displaying the portion of music to be repeated (during the scrolling of the score). Otherwise, musicians might not "recognize" the score. Therefore, when the scrolling is not active, the non-expanded score is kept in a buffer. When the scroll is activated, the repetitions are expanded and the expanded score is stored in another buffer. Finally, the score is drawn on the screen according to the contents of this buffer (expanded score). The user can therefore read and interpret music in a linear fashion, avoiding the need of going back in the digital score.

[0033] One of the main advantages of the method is that it provides a means for adapting the speed at which the score is shown on the screen, based on the displayed measures and on the tempo. As already explained, music is a very particular "language" in which the content of a line is of variable time length. Therefore, it is desirable to adapt the scrolling according to the content of the score, and in particular, to the music displayed on the screen. Besides, it is desirable to adapt the scrolling according to different "tempos": according to the real-time tempo of the user who is actually playing, according to the tempo suggested by the composer (and represented by annotations in the score) or even, when a group of musicians are playing together, according to the tempo imposed by one of them. How this speed is calculated is explained next.

[0034] Once drawn, the speed at which to scroll is calculated, according to a default tempo as specified in the score. This default tempo is read or understood thanks to the score being in a digital format, preferably MusicXML. Alternatively, the user can set this tempo manually.

[0035] The score can be scrolled either vertically or horizontally. The algorithm calculates the speed at which music (measures) should move on the screen, either vertically or horizontally, in such a way that the user is able to read it and interpret it, thus playing his/her instrument without interruptions and in a linear way. In other words, the scrolling speed should be the one a musician would need, to read a music line from left to right according to the established tempo.

[0036] Tempo is indicated in beats per time unit. Preferably, tempo is indicated in beats per minute (bpm).

[0037] In terms of a single measure the speed can be seen as the amount of space covered by the measure on the screen, divided by the amount of time that a user would need to read or interpret that measure. Figure 1 shows a scheme of horizontal scrolling (displacement). Figure 2 shows a scheme of vertical scrolling. In both cases, the measure starts at a position "0" (X=0 in figure 1 and Y=0 in figure 2) and covers a certain space E in time T. E represents the space to be covered. E is the width or length of the screen, respectively. The scrolling speed is obtained after dividing E by the time T which is required for playing that measure.

[0038] In order to calculate the time T, the beat and the tempo must be taken into account. As already mentioned, the number of beats per measure is not a fixed "universal" value: Although the score rules a specific number of beats per measure (expressed on the score with specific coding of the musical language), this can be changed manually by the user.

[0039] In sum, the algorithm reads (scans) the content of the score and is able to detect, within the digital file (preferably MusicXML), the number of beats that each measure has by default.

[0040] Again referring to a single measure, the time T required for playing a measure is obtained by dividing the number of beats of a measure by the specified tempo.

$$T_{\text{measure}} = n_{\text{beats}_{\text{measure}}} / \text{tempo}$$

[0041] For example, a tempo = 60 means that there must be 60 beats per minute. Similarly, a tempo = 120 means that there must be 120 beats per minute (that is, 2 beats per second).

[0042] Thus, if a measure has, for example, 4 beats, and the tempo is 120, then, the time required for playing a measure (T_{measure}) is 4 beats / (120 beats/minute):

$$T_{\text{measure}} = \frac{4 \text{ beats}}{\frac{120 \text{ beats}}{\text{minute}}} = \frac{1}{30} \text{ minutes} = 2 \text{ seconds}$$

[0043] As a consequence, the calculation of the speed at which a single measure moves on the screen, is as follows:

First, the dimensions of the screen (length and width) are obtained from the device. With this information, the space E to be covered is obtained.

Second, the number of beats per measure ($n_{\text{beats}_{\text{measure}}}$) is obtained from the score file. Alternatively, this is imposed by the user.

[0044] Then, the tempo applied to the beats is obtained. Alternatively, this is imposed by the user.

[0045] Finally, $T_{\text{measure}} = n_{\text{beats}_{\text{measure}}} / \text{tempo}$ is the time length that the measure needs to cover space E.

[0046] And the speed of the measure is $v_{\text{measure}} = \frac{E}{T_{\text{measure}}}$

[0047] The previous formula represents a very specific situation in which a score is formed by a single measure or for a very specific visualization scale, in which a single measure is shown on the screen. The scrolling speed of a whole score (or rather, of a portion of a score which is at a time displayed on the screen) is explained as follows. Showing several measures on the display is a typical situation, either with vertical or horizontal scrolling, as illustrated in figures 3 and 4.

[0048] First, a file having a digital score is loaded in a device. As said, the score comprises a plurality of measures. Then, at least one measure from the score is displayed on the screen. If vertical scrolling is used, normally a plurality of measures is displayed on the screen in different lines (staves or systems), corresponding to the scrolling score. If, however, horizontal scrolling is used, normally less measures are displayed, since there is a single line on the screen. The score is scrolled continuously, by showing on the screen additional measures of music while the already scrolled measures disappear from the screen. As directly derived from the definition of the term "scrolling", the measures do not suddenly show up "complete" on the screen, but they turn up continuously. This means that, in vertical scrolling, a whole line starts to show up, wherein all the measures contained therein are firstly incomplete until the whole line is simultaneously completed. In horizontal scrolling, when a new measure starts to turn up, it is incomplete, the size or length of the measure being increasing while the score scrolls.

[0049] The scrolling speed is adjusted according to the displayed measures and to the tempo. The tempo can be selected from the following group of tempos: according to the real-time tempo of the user who is actually playing, according to the tempo indicated in the digital score or, when a group of users are playing together, according to the tempo imposed by one of them. Similarly, the beats (number of beats per measure) can also be selected from the following group of beats: imposed by the user who is actually playing, according to the beats indicated in the digital score or, when a group of users are playing together, imposed by one of them.

[0050] In this last case (a group of players) each player has a device which enables to perform the current method of scrolling the musical score on the screen of the devices. All the devices are synchronized to a master one, which is capable of imposing the tempo to be group.

[0051] This prompts to an additional difficulty: In vertical scrolling or displacement, in which a musical line scrolls from bottom to top, several lines are shown at a time instant, each of said lines having several measures (the number of measures per line generally being variable). As a consequence, each line can have a different number of beats. In other words, the number of beats per line is not constant.

[0052] It is inadvisable to calculate the scrolling speed per line of music (v_{line}), because this would imply that lines would move (scroll) at different speeds from each other (considering the general situation in which the number of beats per line was not constant). The score would then be illegible. As a consequence, it is necessary to adjust the scrolling speed of what is being shown on the screen.

[0053] Next, the calculation of this adjustment of the scrolling speed in the event of vertical scrolling is explained.

[0054] The number of measures shown on the screen must be obtained, in order to estimate the time required to read/play them. In a particular embodiment, an average number of measures per line is estimated, in order to estimate the time required to read/play them.

[0055] The time T required for playing the measures which are simultaneously displayed on the screen is estimated according to the following formula:

$$T = \sum_{i=1}^N T_{\text{measure}_i}$$

wherein N is the total number of measures displayed on the screen, N being read from the digital score, and

$$T_{\text{measure}_i} = n_{\text{beats}_{\text{measure}_i}} / \text{tempo}$$

wherein "tempo" is either the tempo indicated in the digital score or a tempo imposed by the user (or by the conductor) and $n_{\text{beats}_{\text{measure}_i}}$ is the number of beats that the measure number "i" has, said number being either read from the digital score or imposed by the user (or conductor).

[0056] A similar estimation is done in the event of horizontal scrolling. The only difference is that, in horizontal scrolling, it is not necessary to calculate the time required to read several measures. In fact, in horizontal scrolling each measure can have a different speed, since depending on their length, each measure might have to cover a different space. This is explained in detail later on.

[0057] Then, the dimensions of the screen (length and width) are obtained from the device. Thus, the space to be covered by the displayed measures can be calculated. One must take into account that the number of measures which fit in the screen is calculated from the dimensions of the screen and from the scale which the user has applied (this last parameter is a parameter totally controlled by the player). Another requirement is that measures cannot be split in two lines (staves). The system is always adjusted for scaling the measures such that they can be seen in a single line on the screen.

[0058] Now, the scrolling speed can be calculated from the estimated time T required for playing the measures displayed on the screen and from the calculated space to be covered by said measures and scrolling the score at said calculated speed:

$$V = \frac{E}{T}$$

[0059] This calculation is repeated and the scrolling speed is adjusted every time the screen is refreshed. In fact, only when a whole line disappears from the screen and a complete new line shows up on the screen, the speed is adjusted (except if, of course, a player or conductor imposes any change externally). For example, a user can change the tempo by several taps on the screen of the device, or using pedals or any other system to send signals to the device. The time intervals between two consecutive signals sent are the tempo that the user desires. Similarly, a user can change the number of beats per measure, by acting on the available menu options.

[0060] As already mentioned, in a particular embodiment, an average number of measures per line is estimated, in order to estimate the time required to read/play them. In this case, we need to introduce the concept of "line", which we use to generally refer to either a stave ("pentagrama") or a system ("sistema"). Except for the particular exception explained next, a line corresponds to a stave.

[0061] That is to say, since each line has generally a different number of measures, an average number of measures is calculated. For example, if there are four lines on the screen, having in total 22 measures, the average number of measures per line is $22/4 = 5.5$ measures per line. In a preferred embodiment, this number is rounded off to the lower number (in this example, to 5). In other words, for practical reasons, an average of the speed of all the lines (systems) shown in the display, is calculated. Besides, whenever a new system (line) is displayed on the screen, the average speed is recalculated for all the current lines on the screen, and its displacement / scrolling is adjusted automatically. After calculating the speed, displacement of the score upwards is processed, by changing the position of each of the system (lines). This is repeated until the last line of the score is drawn on the screen.

[0062] So, the screen of the device shows, simultaneously, a plurality of musical lines K. Each of these K lines has at least one measure, but in general can have a number M_k of measures: for example, line 1 has M_1 measures, line 2 has M_2 measures and line K has M_K measures. In general, the number of measures per line is variable from line to line (in general $M_1 \neq M_2 \dots \neq M_K$). The scrolling speed is calculated as follows:

First, the time T_k required for playing each musical line shown on the screen is calculated as follows:

$$T_k = \sum_{i=1}^{M_k} T_{measure_i}$$

wherein k varies from 1 to K, K being the total number of lines shown on the screen, wherein M_k is the number of measures in line k, and $M_1 M_2 \dots M_k \dots M_K$ are read from the digital score.

[0063] Then the total time T required for playing the M_k lines shown on the screen is calculated as follows:

$$T = \sum_{k=1}^K T_k$$

[0064] An average time T_{av} per line is calculated: $T_{av} = \frac{T}{K}$;

And it is rounded off to the lower natural number.

Finally, the scrolling speed is calculated from the estimated time T_{av} required for playing the measures displayed on the screen and from the calculated space to be covered by the measures. The score is then scrolled at the calculated speed.

[0065] This calculation and adjustment of the scrolling is repeated every time the screen is refreshed or updated, that is to say, every time the first line disappears and a new one shows up at the bottom of the screen.

[0066] In an alternative embodiment, the score scrolls horizontally instead of vertically. This implies that there is one single line of music. In this situation, the complexity in the calculation is based on the generally different size (in particular, length) of each measure, taking into account that they all have the same number of beats, so that the time length is the same for them all.

[0067] In this embodiment, the calculation of the scrolling speed is done by taking into account the last measure shown on the screen or, more precisely, taking into account every new measure that shows up on the screen (first a small piece of the measure turns up and the measure "grows" while the score scrolls).

[0068] So, every time a measure i starts to be displayed on the screen, the time T required for playing the measure is estimated as follows:

$$T_{measure_i} = n_beats_{measure_i} / tempo$$

wherein "tempo" is either the tempo indicated in the digital score or a tempo imposed by the user (or conductor) and $n_beats_{measure_i}$ is the number of beats that the measure number "i" has, this number being either read from the digital score or imposed by the user or conductor.

[0069] Next, the length of the screen is obtained from the device, thus calculating the space to be covered by the measure i. Also, from the digital score the length of the current measure i is read. This is done because the file is in a digital format and a mark identifying the start and end of measures is present in the digital file.

[0070] The sum of the space to be covered by the measure and the length of the measure is obtained: $E = \text{space to be covered by the measure} + \text{length of measure}$.

[0071] Finally, this sum is divided by the estimated time T required for playing the measure.

[0072] The score can then be scrolled at that calculated speed.

[0073] This is recalculated every time the screen is refreshed. In particular, every time a new measure "i+1" turns up on the screen.

[0074] The method provides an additional advantage, which is the elimination of the concept of "page" in a score, which results in the score being a continuous string of musical signs, similar to an infinite paper roll. Using a digital format, preferably the MusicXML, all the musical notes are drawn on the screen in a consecutive way, forgetting the groups "page by page". In other words, the musical symbols are drawn on the screen in the same way as they would turn up on a page, but simulating a single infinite page. In order to achieve this, the dimensions of the screen of the device are detected. Preferably also the orientation of the screen is detected. In a particular embodiment, the different modes of visualization are also detected: either grouped by systems (or lines) or as a single line of measures.

[0075] The size of the musical signs is calculated and they are adjusted to the dimensions of the screen. Besides, a buffer having the required size is created, so that a linear representation of the music contained in the digital file is possible. In order to correctly visualize the score, the content of the digital file (such as MusicXML) is adjusted to the width of the screen. The musical signs are always scaled by adjusting them to the width of the screen. However, a

condition that must always be achieved is that at least one whole measure must be simultaneously (at a certain time instant) seen (drawn) on the screen.

[0076] Additionally, the method can be carried out by a plurality of users playing simultaneously the same score. In that case, each user has a device of the ones already described (at least with a processor, memory and screen), the digital score being shown in a device of each user. In this case, one of the devices can work as a master one, in the sense that its user can impose the tempo or beats used when playing the score. In this case, the remaining devices are synchronized to the master one. The remaining devices, however, keep the possibility of scaling the screen according to their needs (for example, visual needs) and, as a consequence, of controlling the scrolling speed of their devices.

[0077] As already mentioned along this text, upon completion of loading the digital file in a piece of memory of the device, the user can interact with the application, imposing his/her preferences in relation to several aspects. For example, the score can be read by externally imposing the scrolling speed, such as, but not limited to, touching the screen with the fingers, using a pencil or any other device, or through pedals controlled with the feet, thus allowing hands-free operation. When pedal are used, in a preferred embodiment, the right pedal controls the tempo, while the left one controls the auto-scrolling. Sometimes, a wireless connection, such as Bluetooth or wifi, is required. Also, annotations on the score can be made and shared.

[0078] When playing an instrument, the automatic scroll (the option based on the annotations on the digital file) is preferably started by default, but at any moment its control can be switched from automatic one to external one (controlled by a user). Automatic scrolling can also be paused. Also the display scale can be externally adjusted to adapt to the user preferences (depending, for example, on the instrument the user is playing, which might oblige the user to stay closer to or farther from the screen). When the user selects a new value of scale, the content of the score is recalculated in the buffer, adapting said content to the new size. Subsequently, the content stored in the buffer is redrawn on the screen.

[0079] The tempo at which the automatic scrolling runs, can also be changed (imposed externally) without giving up automatic scrolling. The tempo of the score, which affects the speed of automatic scrolling, can be changed (by a user) in two ways: (1) by selecting a particular value (via an interface with the device, such as its screen or keyword) or (2) marking it by making periodic pulsations. This second possibility emulates what musicians usually do, which is to keep pace, using their hands or feet. So, a first tap is detected and it is waited for a second tap. The time between two consecutive taps represents the selected tempo. Taps can be made manually directly on the screen of the device, through pedals, using the user's feet, or by any other means.

[0080] The user (musician or conductor) can also change the number of beats per measure (which are predefined in the digital score) by externally selecting a certain value, for example via an interface with the device, such as its screen or keyword.

[0081] Concerning the scores, they can be stored either in the device itself (locally) or in an external site in the Internet (cloud). In this last case, the user normally accesses this restricted area via identity name and password.

[0082] The software application also permits the user to purchase scores. Preferably, once a score has been purchased, it is stored in an external system restricted to a particular classification of metadata.

[0083] On the other hand, the invention is obviously not limited to the specific embodiment(s) described herein, but also encompasses any variations that may be considered by any person skilled in the art (for example, as regards the choice of materials, dimensions, components, configuration, etc.), within the general scope of the invention as defined in the claims.

Claims

1. A method for scrolling a musical score on a screen of a device, comprising:

- loading a file having a digital score in a piece of memory of said device, said score comprising a plurality of measures;
- displaying a at least one measure from said score on the screen;
- scrolling said score by showing on the screen additional measures of music while the already scrolled measures disappear from the screen;

the method being **characterized in that** it further comprises:

- adjusting the scrolling speed according to the displayed measures, to a tempo and to a number of beats per measure, said tempo being selected from the following group of tempos: according to the real-time tempo of the user who is actually playing, according to the tempo indicated in the digital score or, when a group of users are playing together, according to the tempo imposed by one of them; and said number of beats per measure being selected from the following group: according to the number of beats per measure imposed by the user

who is actually playing, according to the number of beats per measure indicated in the digital score or, when a group of users are playing together, according to the number of beats per measure imposed by one of them.

2. The method of claim 1, wherein the digital score is scrolled vertically, the scrolling speed being calculated as follows:

- estimating the time T required for playing said at least one measure according to the following formula:

$$T = \sum_{i=1}^N T_{\text{measure}_i}$$

wherein N is the total number of measures displayed on the screen, N being read from the digital score, and

$$T_{\text{measure}_i} = n_{\text{beats}_{\text{measure}_i}} / \text{tempo}$$

wherein "tempo" is either the tempo indicated in the digital score or a tempo imposed by the user and $n_{\text{beats}_{\text{measure}_i}}$ is the number of beats that the measure number "i" has, said number being either read from the digital score or imposed by the user;

- obtaining from the device the dimensions of the screen, thus calculating the space to be covered by said at least one measure;
- calculating the scrolling speed from said estimated time T required for playing the measures displayed on the screen and from said calculated space to be covered by said measures and scrolling the score at said calculated speed;
- repeating said calculation and adjusting the scrolling speed every time the screen is refreshed.

3. The method of claim 2, wherein a plurality of musical lines K is shown on the screen, each of said lines having at least one measure M_k , said number of measures per line being variable from line to line, the scrolling speed being calculated as follows:

- estimating the time T_k required for playing each musical line shown on the screen as follows:

$$T_k = \sum_{i=1}^{M_k} T_{\text{measure}_i}$$

wherein k varies from 1 to K, K being the total number of lines shown on the screen, wherein M_k is the number of measures in line k, and $M_1 M_2 \dots M_K$ being read from the digital score,

- calculating the total time T required for playing the M_k lines shown on the screen as follows:

$$T = \sum_{k=1}^K T_k$$

- calculating an average time T_{av} per line: $T_{\text{av}} = \frac{T}{K}$;

- rounding off said T_{av} to the lower natural number;

- calculating the scrolling speed from said estimated time T_{av} required for playing the measures displayed on the screen and from said calculated space to be covered by said measures and scrolling the score at said calculated speed;

- repeating said calculation and adjusting the scrolling speed every time the screen is refreshed.

4. The method of claim 1, wherein the digital score is scrolled horizontally, the scrolling speed being calculated as follows:

every time a measure i starts to be displayed on the screen:

- estimating the time T required for playing said measure as follows:

$$T_{\text{measure}_i} = n_{\text{beats}_{\text{measure}_i}} / \text{tempo}$$

wherein "tempo" is either the tempo indicated in the digital score or a tempo imposed by the user and $n_{\text{beats}_{\text{measure}_i}}$ is the number of beats that the measure number "i" has, said number being either read from the digital score or imposed by the user;

- obtaining from the device the length of the screen, thus calculating the space to be covered by said measure i;
- reading from the digital score the length of said measure i;
- calculating the scrolling speed by dividing the sum of the space to be covered by said measure and the length of said measure, by said estimated time T required for playing said measure;
- and scrolling the score at said calculated speed.

5. The method of any preceding claim, further comprising the steps of:

- identifying in the digital score at least one mark which indicates the repetition of one block of music;
- copying said block to be repeated;
- pasting in the file as many repetitions as indicated by said at least one mark, in a concatenated way, thus allowing the user to play the music in a linear way, avoiding the need of going back in the digital score.

6. The method of any preceding claim, which can be carried out by a plurality of users playing simultaneously the same score, the digital score being shown in a device of each user.

7. The method of any preceding claim, wherein said digital score is in the MusicXML format.

8. A device comprising means for carrying out the method according to any preceding claim, said device being a personal digital assistant (PDA), a portable reader device, a tablet, a cell phone or any device which comprises a memory, a processor and a screen or display.

9. A computer program product comprising computer program instructions/code for performing the method according to any of claims 1-7.

10. A computer-readable memory/medium that stores program instructions/code for performing the method according to any of claims 1-7.




FIGURE 1




FIGURE 2




FIGURE 3




FIGURE 4



EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT

 Application Number
EP 14 38 2089

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

40

45

50

55

DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT			
Category	Citation of document with indication, where appropriate, of relevant passages	Relevant to claim	CLASSIFICATION OF THE APPLICATION (IPC)
X	US 2003/110925 A1 (SITRICK DAVID H [US] ET AL) 19 June 2003 (2003-06-19)	1,8-10	INV. G10H1/00 G10G1/00
Y	* auto-advance operation; paragraph [0033] * * real time conversion and analysis of syntax; paragraph [0102] * * The music workstation performs conductor tasks; paragraph [0129] * * performance tracking; paragraph [0144]; figures 15, 18 * * identical to printed sheet music; paragraph [0235] * * Tracking of "page turning" based on central control (e.g. conductor) or on real time feedback. Also vertically or horizontally moving score.; paragraph [0240] * * tempo, rhythm adaptable to performers; paragraph [0146] * * best fit to display width and height; paragraph [0165]; figure 16 * * conductor mode; paragraph [0255]; figure 14 * * non linear music display; paragraph [0162] *	2-7	ADD. G06F3/048 G06F3/01 G10H1/40
			TECHNICAL FIELDS SEARCHED (IPC)
			G10H G06F G09B G10G
The present search report has been drawn up for all claims			
Place of search Munich		Date of completion of the search 18 June 2014	Examiner Glasser, Jean-Marc
CATEGORY OF CITED DOCUMENTS X : particularly relevant if taken alone Y : particularly relevant if combined with another document of the same category A : technological background O : non-written disclosure P : intermediate document T : theory or principle underlying the invention E : earlier patent document, but published on, or after the filing date D : document cited in the application L : document cited for other reasons & : member of the same patent family, corresponding document			

EPO FORM 1503 03.82 (P04C01)



EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT

Application Number
EP 14 38 2089

DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT			
Category	Citation of document with indication, where appropriate, of relevant passages	Relevant to claim	CLASSIFICATION OF THE APPLICATION (IPC)
Y	JP 2009 230006 A (YAMAHA CORP) 8 October 2009 (2009-10-08) * music score display range adapted to time position. Focus area; claim 5; figures 5,10 * * scroll method; paragraph [0031] * * tempo mark, time signature; paragraph [0010] * * expanded vertical direction; paragraph [0023] * -----	2-4	TECHNICAL FIELDS SEARCHED (IPC)
Y,D	US 8 530 735 B2 (MAEBIUS STEPHEN [US] ET AL) 10 September 2013 (2013-09-10) * scroll rate controlled by performer's tempo; claims 4, 21 * -----	2-7	
Y	EP 2 690 618 A1 (CASTING MEDIA INC [JP]) 29 January 2014 (2014-01-29) * displays beat change; paragraph [0053]; claim 25 * * synchronisation; paragraph [0046]; figures 11, 12 * -----	4,5	
The present search report has been drawn up for all claims			
Place of search Munich		Date of completion of the search 18 June 2014	Examiner Glasser, Jean-Marc
CATEGORY OF CITED DOCUMENTS X : particularly relevant if taken alone Y : particularly relevant if combined with another document of the same category A : technological background O : non-written disclosure P : intermediate document T : theory or principle underlying the invention E : earlier patent document, but published on, or after the filing date D : document cited in the application L : document cited for other reasons & : member of the same patent family, corresponding document			

EPO FORM 1503 03.82 (P04C01)

**ANNEX TO THE EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT
ON EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION NO.**

EP 14 38 2089

This annex lists the patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned European search report. The members are as contained in the European Patent Office EDP file on
The European Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

18-06-2014

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 2003110925 A1	19-06-2003	US 2003110925 A1	19-06-2003
		US 2006288842 A1	28-12-2006
		US 2010218098 A1	26-08-2010

JP 2009230006 A	08-10-2009	NONE	

US 8530735 B2	10-09-2013	NONE	

EP 2690618 A1	29-01-2014	EP 2690618 A1	29-01-2014
		JP 5190157 B1	24-04-2013
		US 2014033903 A1	06-02-2014
		WO 2013111293 A1	01-08-2013

REFERENCES CITED IN THE DESCRIPTION

This list of references cited by the applicant is for the reader's convenience only. It does not form part of the European patent document. Even though great care has been taken in compiling the references, errors or omissions cannot be excluded and the EPO disclaims all liability in this regard.

Patent documents cited in the description

- US 8530735 B2 [0006]