



(12) **EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION**

(43) Date of publication:
27.04.2016 Bulletin 2016/17

(51) Int Cl.:
H01P 7/10 (2006.01)

(21) Application number: **14290315.2**

(22) Date of filing: **21.10.2014**

(84) Designated Contracting States:
AL AT BE BG CH CY CZ DE DK EE ES FI FR GB GR HR HU IE IS IT LI LT LU LV MC MK MT NL NO PL PT RO RS SE SI SK SM TR
Designated Extension States:
BA ME

• **Gimersky, Martin**
Dublin 15 (IE)

(74) Representative: **Sarup, David Alexander et al**
Alcatel-Lucent Telecom Ltd
Intellectual Property Business Group
Christchurch Way
Greenwich
London SE10 0AG (GB)

(71) Applicant: **ALCATEL LUCENT**
92100 Boulogne-Billancourt (FR)


(72) Inventors:
• **Bulja, Senad**
Dublin 15 (IE)

(54) **A resonator, a radio frequency filter, and a method of filtering**

(57) A resonator is provided comprising a resonant chamber, each chamber comprising a first wall, a second wall opposite the first wall, and side walls; in which the resonant chamber houses two resonator posts, the two resonator posts being separated by a gap and in proximity with each other for magnetic field coupling of the

two posts; one of the two posts being grounded on the first wall so as to extend into the chamber from the first wall; the other of the two posts being grounded on the second wall so as to extend into the chamber from the second wall.

FIG. 5



Description**Field of the Invention**

[0001] The present invention relates to filters for telecommunications, in particular to radio-frequency filters.

Description of the Related Art

[0002] Filters are widely used in telecommunications. Applications include base stations for wireless cellular communications, radar systems, amplifier linearization systems, point-to-point radio, and RF signal cancellation systems, to name just a few. Although a specific filter is chosen or designed dependent on the particular application, it is generally desirable for a filter to have low insertion loss in the pass-band and high attenuation in the stop-band. Furthermore, in some applications, the frequency separation (known as the guard-band) between stop-band and pass-band needs to be small, so a filter of a high order is required. Of course as the order of a filter is increased so does its complexity in terms of the number of components the filter requires and hence the filter's size. Furthermore, although increasing the order of a filter increases stop-band attenuation, insertion loss in the pass-band is also thereby increased.

[0003] It is sometimes important for a filter to have good tunability, in other words to be able to vary its operating frequency and percentage bandwidth. This is particularly desirable if the variation in operating frequency and bandwidth of the filter do not significantly deteriorate other important filter characteristics, for example pass-band loss and rejection.

[0004] Several known approaches exist, depending on the topology and the technology of the filter.

[0005] For printed-circuit-board (PCB) filtering applications, electronic tunability is achieved using a varactor diode suitably connected to an open-ended part of a resonator. However, both power handling of such a resonator/filter is reduced due to the poor intermodulation performance of the varactor diode and, at the same time, the insertion losses of such a resonator/filter are increased, due to the parasitic resistance of the diode.

[0006] In high-power applications, such as those found in mobile cellular communication base stations, there is still no real practical alternative to cavity filters. The standard building block of a cavity filter is a combline resonator, depicted in its basic form in Figure 1. The combline resonator includes a resonator post in a cavity, and resonates at the frequency at which the resonator post's height is one quarter-wavelength of the electric current, I , induced on the surface of the resonator post.

[0007] As shown in Figure 1, a single combline resonator is provided, and as there is no significant capacitive loading at the top of the resonator post, the electrical length of the combline resonator needs to be approximately 90 degrees at the frequency of operation. This electrical length of 90 degrees means that the resonator behaves as an impedance transformer, namely where the resonator post has a short-circuit ended bottom and an open-circuit ended top.

[0008] Since manufacturing is not perfect, the practical realization of a combline resonator is typically as shown in Figure 2. In the combline resonator shown in Figure 2, a tuning screw extends from the top of the cavity toward the resonator post's ungrounded end so as to effectively balance undesired effects caused by manufacturing tolerances. To explain another way, the tuning screw allows the resonator to be tuned to the resonant frequency for which the resonator was designed.

[0009] The tuning screw can also be used to retune the resonator to a different frequency. However, the range of tunability achievable this way is in practice only a few per cent. This is primarily because the volume of space between the cavity top and the ungrounded end of the resonator is the region within the entire cavity where, at resonance, the electric field in the cavity is the strongest, i.e., the region is very susceptible to arcing. The tuning screw further reduces the size of the gap between the cavity top and the ungrounded end of the resonator, thus reducing the power-handling capability of the resonator. For reasons of power handling, the minimal size of the gap found in practical filters for wireless cellular-communication applications is about 1 mm.

[0010] Furthermore, the change of resonant frequency achieved by tuning the resonator shown in Figure 2 varies very nonlinearly with the intrusion depth of the tuning screw into the cavity; namely, the larger the intrusion depth the more rapidly the resonant frequency varies. Consequently, precisely tuning the resonator is often difficult and time-consuming.

[0011] There is no more widely accepted way to achieve greater frequency tunability than that achievable by the conventionally located tuning screw as seen in Figure 2, although it is known to seek to incorporate an electronically controllable device inside the cavity of a filter for this purpose. The electronically controllable device is usually a varactor diode (in which case the resultant filter exhibits the same problems as its PCB counterpart) or in the form of micro-electro-mechanical systems (MEMS). A cavity filter with MEMS performs substantially similarly to one with varactor diodes, with the exception that its power handling capability is relatively increased to some extent, while its tunable range is decreased due to the existence of stray capacitance between metallic contacts of the MEMS switch.

[0012] The equivalent circuit of the resonator shown in Figure 2 is shown in Figure 3.

[0013] For an example of the resonator shown in Figure 2, the variation in resonant frequency as a function of tuning screw insertion is shown in Figure 4. In this example, the cavity is 20 mm by 20 mm by 40 mm where 40 mm is the

height, and the resonator post is 39 mm long. The screw is inserted between 0 and 0.5 mm. Bearing in mind that for engineering reasons that depth of screw insertion is limited, it will be seen from Figure 4 that a frequency tuning range of only about 2% is achievable.

5 Summary

[0014] The reader is referred to the appended independent claims. Some preferred features are laid out in the dependent claims.

[0015] An example of the present invention is a resonator comprising a resonant chamber, each chamber comprising a first wall, a second wall opposite the first wall, and side walls; in which the resonant chamber houses two resonator posts, the two resonator posts being separated by a gap and in proximity with each other for magnetic field coupling of the two posts; one of the two posts being grounded on the first wall so as to extend into the chamber from the first wall; the other of the two posts being grounded on the second wall so as to extend into the chamber from the second wall.

[0016] It may be considered that in preferred embodiments, due to this configuration of resonator posts, the magnetic fields created by the currents in the resonator posts act to reinforce each other in the gap between the resonators. This makes the coupling between the resonator posts readily adjustable, and hence the resonant frequency readily adjustable.

[0017] The first wall may be a top wall and the second wall may be a bottom wall.

[0018] Some embodiments include the two resonator posts for reduced size and increased frequency tenability. Some embodiments provide a mechanically tunable resonator structure with high power handling and low insertion loss.

[0019] Some embodiments provide (a) reduced dimensions (as compared to using a single resonator post) and (b) a large tunable frequency range. Regarding (a), filters are made up of one or more of the resonator structures; for example the resonator structure are put together with inlet and outlet apertures between their chambers so as to form a radio-frequency Combline filter. Filter minituarisation is desirable as in a typical base station for mobile communications filters are among the heaviest and bulkiest components, for example taking 30 % or more of the volume of the remote radiohead part of a base station.

[0020] Regarding (b), many operators of mobile telecommunications networks are in the process of moving to new radio frequency bands of operation. Rather than having to replace filters having a narrow frequency range of tunability, filters made of the resonators with wide band tunability may simply be re-tuned rather than needing to be replaced.

[0021] In some embodiments a size reduction by a factor of 2 and a tunable frequency range of 30% is possible.

[0022] Frequency tuning is possible without the need to open the filter so there is no consequential risk of degradation of RF characteristics of the filter by contamination from the outside.

[0023] In some embodiments, the resultant filters are suitable for Remote Radio Heads, being relatively small and so of lighter weight compared to known filters.

[0024] In some embodiments, the electromagnetic characteristics that arise from replacing a single resonator post by two resonator posts are exploited in which a tuning element is introduced between the two posts.

[0025] Some preferred embodiments provide a resonator, in which the resonator posts are shaped so that the gap between the resonator posts allows a body portion of a tuning screw to be extended into the gap between the resonator posts. Preferably, the resonator posts each have a respective longitudinal channel along their gap facing surface. Preferably, each resonator post is at least substantially C-shaped in cross-section.

[0026] Alternatively preferably the two resonator posts are each of at least substantially circular cross-section.

[0027] Alternatively preferably the resonator posts are at least substantially semi-circular in cross-section so the gap is of a substantially constant width.

[0028] Preferably the resonator comprises a tuning element mounted in proximity to an end of one of the resonator posts that extends into the chamber, the tuning element between mounted on the wall opposite to the wall on which that resonator post is grounded. Preferably the tuning element is a screw.

[0029] The present invention also relates to corresponding radio frequency filters and methods of filtering.

[0030] Another example of the present invention relates to a radio frequency filter comprising at least one resonator as outlined above.

[0031] Another example of the present invention relates to a method of radio frequency filtering comprising passing a signal for filtering through at least one resonator; each resonator comprising a resonant chamber, each chamber comprising a first wall, a second wall opposite the first wall, and side walls, in which the resonant chamber houses two resonator posts, the two resonator posts being separated by a gap and in proximity with each other for magnetic field coupling of the two posts; one of the two posts being grounded on the first wall so as to extend into the chamber from the first wall; the other of the two posts being grounded on the second wall so as to extend into the chamber from the second wall.

Brief Description of the Drawings

[0032] Embodiments of the present invention will now be described by way of example and with reference to the drawings, in which:

Figure 1 is a diagram illustrating a known combline resonator (PRIOR ART),
 Figure 2 is a diagram illustrating a known combline resonator including a tuning screw (PRIOR ART),
 Figure 3 is a diagram illustrating an equivalent circuit of the resonator shown in Figure 2 (PRIOR ART),
 Figure 4 is a graph illustrating resonant frequency against screw insertion in an example of the resonator shown in Figure 2 (PRIOR ART),
 Figure 5 is a diagram of a resonator according to a first embodiment of the invention,
 Figure 6 is a diagram illustrating an equivalent circuit of the resonator shown in Figure 5,

Figure 7 is a graph of frequency variation as a function of transformer impedance, $Z_t = \frac{I}{Y_t}$ according to equation

(5) below for the coupled resonator structure shown in Figure 5,

Figure 8 is a diagram of resonator comprising two coupled resonator posts connected to opposite sides of a cavity according to a second embodiment of the invention where (a) is a side view and (b) is a cross-sectional view from top,
 Figure 9 is a graph shown variation of resonant frequency as a function of tuning screw insertion in the resonator shown in Figure 8,

Figure 10 is a diagram of a resonator according to an alternative proposal for comparison (ALTERNATIVE PROPOSAL),

Figure 11 is a resonator comprising two coupled resonator posts connected to the same side of a cavity, where (a) is a side view and (b) is a cross-sectional view from top, for comparison (ALTERNATIVE PROPOSAL), and

Figure 12 is a graph shown variation of resonant frequency as a function of tuning screw insertion in the resonator shown in Figure 11 for comparison (ALTERNATIVE PROPOSAL).

Detailed Description

[0033] Embodiments of the invention are described with reference to Figures 5 to 9.

[0034] Examples of an alternative proposal which are not prior art nor embodiments are then described with reference to Figure 10 to 12 for comparison with the embodiments of the invention.

First Example

[0035] As shown in Figure 5, the inventors realised that a useful resonator structure 2 may be provided in which there are two resonator posts 4, 6, one 4 of which is grounded on the bottom 8 of a resonator cavity 10 and the other 6 of which is grounded on the top 12 of the resonator cavity 10.

[0036] It will be understood that the nomenclature top wall, bottom wall, sides walls, is intended to distinguish the walls from each other and resonators may function in any orientation relative to the Earth.

[0037] The equivalent circuit 14 to this resonator structure 2 is shown in Figure 6.

Equivalent Circuit Analysis

[0038] Figure 6 corresponds to two of the resonators each represented by their own equivalent - parallel LC (inductor-capacitor) - circuit connected through an admittance transformer, Y_t .

[0039] The resonant frequency of each resonator is obtained from the condition that the admittance of the parallel circuit, Y_o , is equal to zero

$$Y_o = j\omega_o C_o + \frac{I}{j\omega_o L_o} = 0 \quad (1)$$

to yield $\omega_o = \frac{I}{\sqrt{L_o C_o}}$.

[0040] The resonant frequency of the circuit shown in Figure 6 is, similarly, obtained from the condition that the input admittance, Y_{in} , is equal to zero. In order to do so, the expression for Y_{in} is obtained:

$$Y_{in} = \frac{I}{j\omega L_0} + j\omega \left(C_0 + \frac{L_0 Y_t^2}{1 - \omega^2 L_0 C_0} \right) \quad (2)$$

[0041] The inventors then inferred from equation (2) that the first term on the right corresponds to the susceptance of inductor L_0 , while the second term represents the equivalent capacitive susceptance, composed of the susceptance of capacitor C_0 and the susceptance contribution of the second resonator. The susceptance contribution of the second resonator is of capacitive character for frequencies below the resonant frequency of the individual resonators,

$\omega_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L_0 C_0}}$, and of inductive character for frequencies above the resonant frequency of the individual resonators.

The resonant frequencies of the resonator structure shown in Figure 6 are obtained by setting $Y_{in} = 0$, to yield

$$(\omega^2 L_0 C_0 - 1 - \omega L_0 Y_t)(\omega^2 L_0 C_0 - 1 + \omega L_0 Y_t) = 0 \quad (3).$$

[0042] Since (3) is a polynomial of order four, it has four roots, two out of which are always negative and the remaining two are positive. Discarding the negative roots as unphysical, the two positive roots are

$$\omega_1 = \frac{L_0 Y_t + \sqrt{L_0^2 Y_t^2 + 4 L_0 C_0}}{2 L_0 C_0} \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_2 = \frac{-L_0 Y_t + \sqrt{L_0^2 Y_t^2 + 4 L_0 C_0}}{2 L_0 C_0} \quad (4).$$

[0043] Equation (4), upon substitution of $\omega_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L_0 C_0}}$, becomes

$$\omega_1 = \omega_0 \frac{\left(\sqrt{\omega_0^2 L_0^2 Y_t^2 + 4} + L_0 \omega_0 Y_t \right)}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_2 = \omega_0 \frac{\left(\sqrt{\omega_0^2 L_0^2 Y_t^2 + 4} - L_0 \omega_0 Y_t \right)}{2} \quad (5).$$

[0044] Equation (5) indicates that the introduction of an admittance transformer, Y_t , results in two resonant frequencies: one above and the other below the resonant frequency of an individual resonator. In other words, for a given resonant frequency of an individual resonator post, the resonant frequencies of the resonator structure 2 shown in Figure 6 can be adjusted by a selection of the admittance transformer, Y_t .

[0045] The frequency difference between the two roots of (4) or (5) may be written as

$$\Delta\omega = \frac{Y_t}{2C_0} = \frac{\omega_0^2 L_0}{2} Y_t \quad (6)$$

which states that the frequency separation between the two resonant frequencies is proportional to the admittance transformation between the two resonators. The inventors realised that this enables a way of obtaining frequency tunability, which, rather than focusing on the variation of the equivalent capacitance of a single resonator, introduces frequency tunability as a function of the coupling between two adjacent resonators.

[0046] By way of illustration, as a numerical example, let us consider the resonator structure shown in Figure 6, where each of the resonator posts is operating at a frequency of 2 GHz. In this example, Figure 7 shows frequency variation

as a function of transformer impedance, $Z_t = \frac{1}{Y_t}$ according to equation (5). The admittance transformer, Y_t , is allowed

to vary from 0.0033 S (equivalent to 300 Ω) to 0.05 S (equivalent to 20 Ω). In Figure 7, circles represent resonant frequency of each of the two resonator posts 4,6, squares represent the lower bound to the operating frequency range, and triangles represent the upper bound to the operating frequency range.

[0047] As seen in Figure 7, the inventors realised that, frequency tunability is obtained by controlling the impedance transformation between the two resonator posts.

[0048] The inventors also realised that by using two resonator posts not only is frequency tunability achievable, but also the frequency of operation is reduced, leading to reduced physical dimensions (miniaturization).

Electromagnetic Conditions

[0049] This lead the inventors to consider electromagnetic conditions that must be satisfied.

[0050] It follows from electromagnetic theory that for the coupling between two resonator posts to be strong, they must be placed in the vicinity of each other. The term "coupling" represents the amount of energy that one resonator post intercepts from another resonator post and can be expressed equally well by an equivalent loading "impedance" that one resonator post exhibits when another resonator post is placed in its vicinity.

[0051] In particular, the higher the equivalent loading "impedance" of a resonator post, the less amount of coupling exists between the two adjacently placed resonator posts. In the limiting case, when the loading impedance is infinite, no coupling exists between the resonator posts. In practice, this corresponds to the case of infinite physical separation between resonator posts.

Resonator Structure

[0052] In view of the above the inventors realised that a strong but controllable coupling between the two posts 4, 6 in the resonant cavity 12 is obtained by placing the resonator posts in the vicinity of each other such that one resonator post 4 extends from the bottom 8 of the cavity 10 and one resonator post 6 extends from the top 12.

[0053] Looking further at the resonator structure shown in Figure 5, it is seen that the resonators are positioned at opposite sides from each other. This means that the directions of the surface currents on the respective resonator posts 4,6 are such that the magnetic fields created by these two currents reinforce each other in the space 16 between the resonators. This implies that the coupling between the two resonator posts 4, 6 is strong, the resonator posts 4,6 exhibit a great deal of influence on each other, and this influence can be controlled by manipulating the amount of coupling between the two resonator posts 4,6. As explained earlier with reference to Figure 6, coupling can be represented by an equivalent impedance/admittance transformer between the two resonators.

[0054] It can be considered that depending on the coupling between the two resonators, this notional impedance/admittance transformer has a tunable electrical length.

[0055] Furthermore, given that each individual resonator post has an electrical length of 90° in isolation and that the electrical length of the transformer is adjustable, the overall electrical length of the resonant structure shown in Figure 5 can be arbitrarily long, resulting in reduced frequencies of operation compared to a single resonator in isolation.

[0056] By adjusting the coupling between two resonators, one not only significantly alters the frequency of operation of the individual resonator posts, but also makes the resonant structure widely tuneable. The theoretical basis for this has been explained above with reference to Figure 7.

Second Example

[0057] Another example is shown in Figure 8.

[0058] As shown in Figure 8, in a further resonator structure 24', the two resonator posts 4', 6' are shaped to take a C-shape cross-section for strong coupling between the resonators. The resonator post 4' is mounted on the inner bottom surface 8' of a resonant cavity 10', and resonator post 6' is mounted on an inner top surface 12' of the cavity 10'. The cavity is defined by metallic walls 11, which provide the inner top 8' and inner bottom 12' surfaces, on which a respective resonator post 4', 6' is grounded.

[0059] The resonator posts 4,6' may be considered as together constituting a split resonator where each resonator post 4'6' has two respective flat faces 26. Each flat face 26 of a resonator post 4',6' is located proximal to but not touching a corresponding flat face 26 of the other resonator post 6',4'.

[0060] The resonator posts 4',6' when located proximally in this way provide a recess 28 within which a tuning screw 30 that intrudes into the cavity 10' may extend. The length of extension 32 of the tuning screw 30 is adjustable and may be substantial as indicated schematically in Figure 8 (a).

[0061] By C-shape, we mean each resonator post 4',6' has a semicircular cross-section with a semicircular cross-section cut-out that forms the recess 28 which accommodates the extending portion 34 of the tuning screw 30.

[0062] With this resonator configuration, the surface current distribution around circumference of the portion of the tuning screw that extends into the cavity 10' is essentially constant, giving the tuning screw a high tuning effect and allowing good power handling by the resonator structure 24'.

[0063] The tuning screw 30 has the effect of decreasing the capacitive coupling between the two split resonators and, as such, to increase the overall coupling. As elaborated earlier, increased coupling results in a reduced frequency of operation. To be precise, the overall coupling between two resonator posts 4',6' is a combination of magnetic and electric couplings:

$$k = k_m - k_e \quad (7)$$

where k , k_m and k_e respectively stand for the overall coupling, magnetic coupling and electrical coupling. The overall coupling, k , can be increased by either increasing the amount of magnetic coupling, k_m , or reducing the amount of capacitive coupling, k_e . In the present example, the increase of the overall coupling, k , is achieved by the reduction of capacitive coupling, k_e , by virtue of a tuning screw 30.

Alternative Proposal - for comparison with First Example

[0064] For comparison with the embodiment shown in Figure 5, an alternative approach was considered as shown in Figure 10 in which both resonators were grounded on one side.

[0065] As shown in Figure 10, the surface currents on the first and second resonator posts 20,20 give rise to magnetic fields. Due to the current directions, the magnetic fields introduced by these two currents are such that in the space 22 between the resonator posts 20,20 the magnetic fields tend to cancel each other. In simple terms, provided that the resonator posts 20,20 are of the same shape and size, the resultant magnetic field between the two resonator posts 20,20 is very low. The low density of the magnetic field between the two resonator posts 20,20 implies there is little interaction between the two resonator posts 20,20 and, as such, the frequency behaviour of the resonant structure 24 is similar to the behaviour of an individual resonator shown in Figure 1 (PRIOR ART).

[0066] In other words, placing the resonator posts 20,20 in proximity of each other as shown in Figure 10 does not yield the benefits of tunability or size reduction. It is possible that this configuration may bring certain benefits with regards to a higher unloaded Q-factor for a given volume, but these gains would likely be of limited significance.

Alternative Proposal - for comparison with Second Example

[0067] For comparison with the example embodiment shown in Figure 8, a further example of the alternative proposal is shown in Figure 11. This is similar in structure to the Figure 8 example except that the two resonator posts are mounted on the same bottom surface.

[0068] In both the example embodiment shown in Figure 8 and the alternative proposal comparative example shown in Figure 11, the cavity size is identical, 20mm x 20mm x 40 mm, where 40mm is the height.

[0069] A comparison between the operating frequencies, f_0 , of the resonant structures of Figures 8 and 11 for different values of tuning screw intrusion, Z_{screw} , is presented in Table I. By the way, these frequency values were obtained by utilizing the full-wave analysis software tool known as CST Studio Suite 2013 from CST AG www.cst.com/Products/CSTS2.

Table 1: Comparison of frequency tunability of resonant structures of Figures 8 and 11.

Z_{screw} [mm]	f_0 (resonator posts grounded on same side) [MHz]	f_0 (resonator posts grounded on opposite sides) [MHz]
0.1	1460	767
2	1460	758
5	1460	734
10	1460	704
20	1460	651
30	1460	608

(continued)

Z_{screw} [mm]	f_0 (resonator posts grounded on same side) [MHz]	f_0 (resonator posts grounded on opposite sides) [MHz]
35	1460	589
37	1460	582
39	1455	575

[0070] The results shown in Table 1 are plotted and shown in Figures 9 and 12.

[0071] The resonant frequency for the case of a corresponding single resonator (Figure 1 (PRIOR ART)) is equal to 1543 MHz.

[0072] It will be seen from Figure 12 (ALTERNATIVE PROPOSAL) that the alternative proposal shows virtually no variation in the resonant frequency versus tuning screw intrusion, albeit its frequency of operation is reduced compared to the case of an isolated resonator. This implies that a limited amount of coupling exists between the two resonators; however, the structure is not tunable.

[0073] In contrast, Figure 9 which relates to an example embodiment shows not only high frequency tunability of nearly 30%, but also reduction in the operating frequency of over two times ($1543 \text{ MHz}/767 \text{ MHz} = 2.01$). Moreover, frequency tunability exhibits a nearly linear dependence with regards to the amount of screw intrusion, which is of importance for sensitive tuning of resonators.

[0074] It follows that the example embodiment described with reference to Figures 8 and 9 has significant advantages over the alternative proposal described with reference to Figures 11 and 12.

Comparison of Second Example embodiment with prior art

[0075] The tunable resonator shown in Figure 8 can be compared with the obtainable tunable frequency range of a single resonator, Figure 2 (PRIOR ART) having a tuning screw on top.

[0076] For a direct comparison, the same size of cavity was considered in the single resonator post example (Figure 2) and the second example embodiment (Figure 8). Specifically, the cavity is 20 by 20 by 40 mm where 40 mm is the height. The resonator post is 39 mm long. The screw is inserted between 0 and 0.5 mm. It is not advisable to have a gap between the top of the resonator and the tuning screw smaller than 0.5 mm, as that would negatively influence the power-handling capability of the device. For comparison, in the structure shown in Figure 8, a minimum gap of 1 mm was allowed.

[0077] The variation of the resonant frequency of the known resonator of Figure 2 (PRIOR ART), for screw intrusions between 0 and 0.5 mm, was presented earlier in Figure 4 (PRIOR ART).

[0078] Bearing in mind that for engineering reasons that depth of screw insertion is limited, it will be seen from Figure 4 (PRIOR ART) that a frequency tuning range of only about 2% is achievable.

[0079] Comparing Figure 9 and Figure 4, it is seen that the example shown in Figure 8 has tunability over a much larger frequency range.

[0080] Also the resonator structure 24' example shown in Figure 8 has a useful reduction in frequency of operation as compared to if there were a single resonator post (Figure 2).

[0081] In some embodiments (not shown), the proposed relative compact and tunable resonator structure is used together with an additional tuning screw near the top of the or each resonator post. Consequently, additional fine-tuning using the additional tuning screw(s) is possible following the tuning using the first tuning screw having the portion that extends into the space between the two resonator posts.

[0082] In a nutshell, good coarse tuning in a wide frequency range is then possible using the first tuning screw having the portion that extends into the space between the two resonator posts; this is followed by fine-tuning using the known approach of using a further tuning screw extending towards the resonator top.

[0083] The present invention may be embodied in other specific forms without departing from its essential characteristics. The described embodiments are to be considered in all respects only as illustrative and not restrictive. The scope of the invention is, therefore, indicated by the appended claims rather than by the foregoing description. All changes that come within the meaning and range of equivalency of the claims are to be embraced within their scope.

[0084] A person skilled in the art would readily recognize that steps of various above-described methods can be performed by programmed computers. Some embodiments relate to program storage devices, e.g., digital data storage media, which are machine or computer readable and encode machine executable or computer-executable programs of instructions, wherein said instructions perform some or all of the steps of said above-described methods. The program storage devices may be, e.g., digital memories, magnetic storage media such as a magnetic disks and magnetic tapes,

hard drives, or optically readable digital data storage media. Some embodiments involve computers programmed to perform said steps of the above-described methods.

Claims

1. A resonator comprising a resonant chamber, each chamber comprising a first wall, a second wall opposite the first wall, and side walls; in which
the resonant chamber houses two resonator posts, the two resonator posts being separated by a gap and in proximity with each other for magnetic field coupling of the two posts;
one of the two posts being grounded on the first wall so as to extend into the chamber from the first wall;
the other of the two posts being grounded on the second wall so as to extend into the chamber from the second wall.
2. A resonator according to claim 1, in which the resonator posts are shaped so that the gap between the resonator posts allows a body portion of a tuning screw to be extended into the gap between the resonator posts.
3. A resonator according to claim 2, in which each of the resonator posts has a respective longitudinal channel along its gap-facing surface.
4. A resonator according to claim 2 or claim 3, in which each resonator post is at least substantially C-shaped in cross-section.
5. A resonator according to claim 1, in which the two resonator posts are each of at least substantially circular cross-section.
6. A resonator according to claim 1, in which the resonator posts are at least substantially semi-circular in cross-section so as to have opposing flat surfaces between which the gap is of a substantially constant width.
7. A resonator according to any preceding claim, comprising a tuning element mounted in proximity to an end of one of the resonator posts that extends into the chamber, the tuning element between mounted on the wall opposite to the wall on which that resonator post is grounded.
8. A resonator according to claim 7, in which the tuning element is a screw.
9. A radio frequency filter comprising at least one resonator according to any preceding claim.
10. A method of radio frequency filtering comprising passing a signal for filtering through at least one resonator; each resonator comprising a resonant chamber, each chamber comprising a first wall, a second wall opposite the first wall, and side walls, in which
the resonant chamber houses two resonator posts, the two resonator posts being separated by a gap and in proximity with each other for magnetic field coupling of the two posts;
one of the two posts being grounded on the first wall so as to extend into the chamber from the first wall;
the other of the two posts being grounded on the second wall so as to extend into the chamber from the second wall.
11. A method according to claim 10, in which the resonator posts are shaped so that the gap between the resonator posts allows a body portion of a tuning screw to be extended into the gap between the resonator posts.
12. A method according to claim 11, in which the resonator posts each have a respective longitudinal channel along their gap facing surface.
13. A method according to claim 11 or claim 12, in which each resonator post is at least substantially C-shaped in cross-section.
14. A method according to claim 10, in which the resonator posts are at least substantially semi-circular in cross-section so as to have opposing flat surfaces between which the gap is of a substantially constant width.
15. A method according to any of claims 10 to 14, in which each or at least one of said at least one resonator further comprises a tuning element mounted in proximity to an end of one of the resonator posts that extends into the

EP 3 012 901 A1

chamber, the tuning element between mounted on the wall opposite to the wall on which that resonator post is grounded.

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

40

45

50

55

FIG. 1
(PRIOR ART)




FIG. 2
(PRIOR ART)




FIG. 3
(PRIOR ART)




FIG. 4
(PRIOR ART)




FIG. 5




FIG. 6




FIG. 7




FIG. 8




FIG. 9




FIG. 10
ALTERNATE PROPOSAL




FIG. 11
ALTERNATE PROPOSAL





FIG. 12
ALTERNATE PROPOSAL





EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT

Application Number
EP 14 29 0315

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

40

45

50

55

DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT			
Category	Citation of document with indication, where appropriate, of relevant passages	Relevant to claim	CLASSIFICATION OF THE APPLICATION (IPC)
X	US 2004/051603 A1 (PANCE KRISTI DHIMITER [US] ET AL) 18 March 2004 (2004-03-18)	1,5,7-10,15	INV. H01P7/10
Y	* paragraph [0049] - paragraph [0050]; figures 5A,5B *	2,6,11,14	
X	EP 1 575 118 A1 (MA COM INC [US]) 14 September 2005 (2005-09-14)	1,5,10	
Y	* paragraph [0046]; figure 4 *		
Y	* paragraph [0053] - paragraph [0054]; figures 6,7 *		
X	EP 0 038 996 A1 (OKI ELECTRIC IND CO LTD [JP]) 4 November 1981 (1981-11-04)	1,10	TECHNICAL FIELDS SEARCHED (IPC) H01P
Y	* page 2, line 1 - page 3, line 18; figures 1A, 1B *	2,11	
Y	JP S57 14201 A (MURATA MANUFACTURING CO) 25 January 1982 (1982-01-25)	6,14	
A	* abstract; figures 3,5 *	1	
X	WO 2007/149423 A2 (MA COM INC [US]; ZHANG ZHENGXUE [US]; PANCE KRISTI DHIMITER [US]) 27 December 2007 (2007-12-27)	1,5,7-10	
	* paragraph [0041] - paragraph [0042]; figures 4A,4B *		
The present search report has been drawn up for all claims			
Place of search The Hague		Date of completion of the search 4 May 2015	Examiner Pastor Jiménez, J
CATEGORY OF CITED DOCUMENTS X : particularly relevant if taken alone Y : particularly relevant if combined with another document of the same category A : technological background O : non-written disclosure P : intermediate document		T : theory or principle underlying the invention E : earlier patent document, but published on, or after the filing date D : document cited in the application L : document cited for other reasons & : member of the same patent family, corresponding document	

EPO FORM 1503 03/02 (P04C01)

**ANNEX TO THE EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT
ON EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION NO.**

EP 14 29 0315

5 This annex lists the patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned European search report.
The members are as contained in the European Patent Office EDP file on
The European Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

04-05-2015

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 2004051603 A1	18-03-2004	AU 2003284015 A1	04-05-2004
		US 2004051603 A1	18-03-2004
		US 2005200435 A1	15-09-2005
		WO 2004034503 A2	22-04-2004

EP 1575118 A1	14-09-2005	CN 1691404 A	02-11-2005
		EP 1575118 A1	14-09-2005
		JP 2005260976 A	22-09-2005
		KR 20060043849 A	15-05-2006
		US 2005200437 A1	15-09-2005
		US 2006197631 A1	07-09-2006

EP 0038996 A1	04-11-1981	CA 1162622 A1	21-02-1984
		DE 3164402 D1	02-08-1984
		EP 0038996 A1	04-11-1981
		US 4386328 A	31-05-1983

JP S5714201 A	25-01-1982	NONE	

WO 2007149423 A2	27-12-2007	JP 2009542096 A	26-11-2009
		US 2007296529 A1	27-12-2007
		WO 2007149423 A2	27-12-2007
