



(12) **EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION**

(43) Date of publication:
27.04.2022 Bulletin 2022/17

(21) Application number: **21214193.1**

(22) Date of filing: **08.02.2017**

(51) International Patent Classification (IPC):

B25J 9/16 ^(2006.01) **B25J 13/00** ^(2006.01)
B65G 43/00 ^(2006.01) **B65G 47/46** ^(2006.01)
B65F 7/00 ^(2006.01) **G06K 9/00** ^(2022.01)

(52) Cooperative Patent Classification (CPC):

B25J 9/1664; **B25J 9/1697**; G05B 2219/39102;
G05B 2219/40053; G05B 2219/40078;
G05B 2219/40431; G05B 2219/40519;
G05B 2219/45047; G05B 2219/45063

(84) Designated Contracting States:
**AL AT BE BG CH CY CZ DE DK EE ES FI FR GB
GR HR HU IE IS IT LI LT LU LV MC MK MT NL NO
PL PT RO RS SE SI SK SM TR**

(30) Priority: **08.02.2016 US 201662292538 P**

(62) Document number(s) of the earlier application(s) in
accordance with Art. 76 EPC:
17750666.4 / 3 414 060

(71) Applicant: **Berkshire Grey Operating Company,
Inc.**
Bedford, MA 01730 (US)

(72) Inventors:
• **WAGNER, Thomas**
Concord, 01742 (US)
• **AHEARN, Kevin**
Fort Mill, 29708 (US)
• **COHEN, Benjamin**
Somerville, 02143 (US)
• **DAWSON-HAGGERTY, Michael**
Pittsburgh, 15206 (US)
• **GEYER, Christopher**
Arlington, 02476 (US)
• **KOLETSCHKA, Thomas**
Cambridge, 02140 (US)

- **MARONEY, Kyle**
North Attleboro, 02760 (US)
- **MASON, Matthew T**
Pittsburgh, 15217 (US)
- **PRICE, Gene Temple**
Cambridge, 02140 (US)
- **SMITH, Daniel**
Canonsburg, 15317 (US)
- **ROMANO, Joseph**
Arlington, 02476 (US)
- **SRINIVASA, Siddhartha**
Seattle, 98115 (US)
- **VELAGAPUDI, Prasanna**
Pittsburgh, 15217 (US)
- **ALLEN, Thomas**
Reading, 01867 (US)

(74) Representative: **Haseltine Lake Kempner LLP**
Redcliff Quay
120 Redcliff Street
Bristol BS1 6HU (GB)

Remarks:


This application was filed on 13.12.2021 as a
divisional application to the application mentioned
under INID code 62.

(54) **SYSTEMS AND METHODS FOR PROVIDING PROCESSING OF A VARIETY OF OBJECTS
EMPLOYING MOTION PLANNING**

(57) A method of processing objects is disclosed.
The method comprises: storing in a trajectory database
a plurality of predetermined path sections for moving an
end effector of an articulated arm between a base loca-
tion and a destination location, the trajectory database
further storing a predetermined risk factor and a prede-
termined time factor for each of the plurality of predeter-
mined path sections; obtaining identification information
for an object presented in a plurality of objects at an input
location; determining the destination location from
among a plurality of destination locations based on the
identification information obtained for the object; acquir-

ing the object at the input location using the end effector;
sorting the plurality of predetermined path sections for
moving the end effector of the articulated arm from the
base location to the destination location according to at
least one of the predetermined risk factor and the prede-
termined time factor; selecting one of the plurality of pre-
determined path sections that satisfies at least one of a
risk requirement and a time requirement; and moving the
acquired object along a trajectory path from the input
location to the destination location via the base location
using the end effector of the articulated arm, the trajectory
path including the selected one of the plurality of prede-

terminated path sections from the base location to the destination location.



Description

PRIORITY

[0001] The present application claims priority to U.S. Provisional Patent Application Ser. No. 62/292,538 filed February 8, 2016, the disclosure of which is hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety.

BACKGROUND

[0002] The invention generally relates to robotic and other sortation systems, and relates in particular to programmable motion control systems that are intended to be employed in changing environments requiring the motion control system to accommodate processing a variety of objects in both homogenous and heterogeneous arrangements.

[0003] Many order fulfillment operations achieve high efficiency by employing dynamic processes in which orders are picked from warehouse shelves and placed into bins that are sorted downstream. At the sorting stage individual articles are identified, and multi-article orders are consolidated into a single bin or shelf location so that they may be packed and then shipped to customers. The process of sorting these articles (or objects) has traditionally been done by hand. A human sorter picks an object from an incoming bin, finds the barcode on the object, scans the barcode with a handheld barcode scanner, determines from the scanned barcode the appropriate bin or shelf location for the object, and then places the object in the so-determined bin or shelf location where all objects for that order are placed.

[0004] Each object however, must be individually handled and processed, requiring that the programmable motion device accommodate a wide variety of objects of different sizes, shapes and weights. There remains a need therefore, for an object sortation and motion planning system for a programmable motion control system that is able to efficiently and effectively perform the automated sortation and handling of a variety of objects.

SUMMARY

[0005] In accordance with an embodiment, the invention provides a processing system for providing processing of homogenous and non-homogenous objects in both structured and cluttered environments. The processing system includes a programmable motion device including an end effector, a perception system for recognizing any of the identity, location, and orientation of an object presented in a plurality of objects at an input location, a grasp acquisition system for acquiring the object using the end effector to permit the object to be moved from the plurality of objects to one of a plurality of processing locations, and a motion planning system for determining a trajectory path from the input location to one of the plurality of processing locations. The trajectory path in-

cludes at least one changing portion that is determined specific to the object's location or orientation at the input location, and at least one unchanging portion that is generally used in determining trajectory paths for a plurality of objects.

[0006] In accordance with another embodiment, the invention provides a processing system for providing sortation of homogenous and non-homogenous objects in both structured and cluttered environments. The processing system includes a programmable motion device including an end effector, a perception system for recognizing any of the identity, location, and orientation of an object presented in a plurality of objects at an input location, a grasp acquisition system for acquiring the object using the end effector to permit the object to be moved from the plurality of objects to one of a plurality of processing locations, and a motion planning system for determining a trajectory path from the input location to one of the plurality of processing locations. The trajectory path includes at least one changing portion that is determined specific to the object's location or orientation at the input location, and at least one unchanging portion that is predetermined and is not specific to the object, the object's location or the object's orientation at the input area.

[0007] In accordance with a further embodiment, the invention provides a method of providing processing of homogenous and non-homogenous objects in both structured and cluttered environments. The method includes the steps of acquiring an object from an input location using an end effector of a programmable motion device to permit the object to be moved from the plurality of objects to one of a plurality of processing locations, and determining a trajectory path of the end effector from the object to one of the plurality of processing locations. The trajectory path includes at least one changing portion that is determined specific to the object's location or orientation at the input location, and at least one unchanging portion that is predetermined and is not specific to the object, the object's location or the object's orientation at the input area.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

[0008] The following description may be further understood with reference to the accompanying drawings in which:

Figure 1 shows an illustrative diagrammatic view of a system in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention;

Figure 2 shows an illustrative photographic view of an image captured by a perception device in the system as shown in Figure 1;

Figure 3 shows an illustrative diagrammatic view of stations in an object processing system in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention;

Figure 4 shows an illustrative diagrammatic view of

the system of Figure 3 showing possible trajectory paths;

Figure 5 shows an illustrative diagrammatic view of the system of Figure 3 showing additional possible trajectory paths as well as connectivity to through an network such as the Internet;

Figure 6 shows an illustrative diagrammatic view of the system of Figure 3 mapping trajectory paths for multiple sortation stations for achieving minimum time;

Figure 7 shows an illustrative diagrammatic view of the system of Figure 3 mapping trajectory paths for multiple sortation stations for achieving minimum risk;

Figure 8 shows an illustrative diagrammatic view of the system of Figure 3 including an additional processing unit;

Figure 9 shows an illustrative diagrammatic view of the system of Figure 3 including bin removal motion planning;

Figure 10 shows an illustrative diagrammatic view of the system of Figure 3 including bin removal motion planning and a conveyor for empty bins;

Figure 11 shows an illustrative diagrammatic view of a system in accordance with a further embodiment of the present invention involving multiple processing stations;

Figure 12 shows an illustrative diagrammatic view of a system in accordance with a further embodiment of the present invention involving multiple processing stations that communicate via a network such as the Internet;

Figure 13 shows an illustrative diagrammatic view of a robotic system employing motion planning in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention;

Figures 14A - 14C show illustrative diagrammatic views of the end effector of Figure 13 grasping and moving an object in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention;

Figure 15 shows an illustrative diagrammatic view of an end effector that includes feedback sensors for use in systems in accordance with certain embodiments of the present invention;

Figure 16 shows an illustrative diagrammatic view of the end effector of Figure 13 grasping an object in accordance with a further embodiment of the present invention;

Figure 17 shows an illustrative diagrammatic view of the end effector of Figure 16 moving an object in accordance with a further embodiment of the present invention; and

Figure 18 shows an illustrative diagrammatic view of the end effector of Figure 16 placing the object at a destination location in a desired orientation.

[0009] The drawings are shown for illustrative purposes only.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

[0010] Systems of various embodiments of the invention, automate part of the sorting process in conjunction with a programmable motion control system (such as for example, a linear indexing pick and place system, a drone system, or any of a wide variety of robotic systems, including articulated arm robot systems, concentric tube robot systems, and parallel arm (Delta-type arm) robot systems). In particular, systems of various embodiments of the invention involve the steps of identifying and moving selected objects. A programmable motion control system picks an object from an input area, passes the object near a scanner, and then, having obtained identification information for the object (such as a barcode, QR codes SKU codes, other identification codes, information read from a label on the object, or size, weight and/or shape information), places the object in the appropriate location in accordance with a manifest.

[0011] In accordance with certain embodiments, the invention provides a novel motion planning system for the purposes of efficiently and effectively moving individual objects to a set of destination locations, e.g., sorting locations. In applications such as order fulfillment, objects (articles or goods etc.) are collected into heterogeneous sets and need to be sorted. Individual objects need to be identified and then routed to object-specific locations. In accordance with certain embodiments, the system reliably automates the movement of such objects by employing automated programmable motion (e.g., robotic) systems and motion planning.

[0012] Important components of an automated processing (e.g., robotic sortation) system in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention are disclosed with reference to Figure 1. Figure 1 shows a programmable motion system 10 (e.g., a robotic system) that includes an articulated arm 12 that includes an end effector 14 and articulated sections 16, 18 and 20. The articulated arm 12 selects objects from an input area such as a conveyor 22 that are either in an input bin on the conveyor 22 or are on the conveyor itself. A stand 24 includes an attached perception unit 26 that is directed toward the conveyor from above the conveyor. The perception unit 26 may be, for example, a 2D or 3D camera, or a scanner such as a laser reflectivity scanner or other type of bar-code reader, or a radio frequency ID scanner. An image display system is also provided as shown at 28 for providing an image of the perception unit's view on a touch screen input device. The robotic system 10 may further include the robotic environment and a target station 30 that includes a number of processing locations (e.g., sortation bins) 32 into which objects may be placed after identification. A central computing and control system 34 may communicate with the perception unit 26 and the image display system 28, as well as with the articulated arm 12 via wireless communication, or, in certain embodiments, the central computing and control system may be provided within the base section 20 of the artic-

ulated arm.

[0013] The system provides in an embodiment, an automated article identification system that includes a robotic pick and place system that is able to pick articles up, move them in space, and place them. The system may also include: the set of objects themselves to be identified, the manner in which inbound objects are organized (commonly in a heterogeneous pile in a bin or in a line on a conveyor), the manner in which outbound objects are organized (commonly in an array of outbound bins, or shelf cubbies), the manner in which objects are labeled with barcodes or radio-frequency identification tags, a fixed primary scanner operating above the incoming stream of objects, a scanning station where one or more scanners and illuminators are activated when the object is held at the station, and a central computing and control system determines the appropriate location for placing the object (which is dependent on the object's decoded barcode).

[0014] As noted, the *robotic pick and place system* may include a robotic arm equipped with sensors and computing, that when combined is assumed herein to exhibit the following capabilities: (a) it is able to pick objects up from a specified class of objects, and separate them from a stream of heterogeneous objects, whether they are jumbled in a bin, or are singulated on a motorized or gravity conveyor system; (b) it is able to move the object to arbitrary places within its workspace; (c) it is able to place objects in an outgoing bin or shelf location in its workspace; and, (d) it is able to generate a map of objects that it is able to pick, represented as a candidate set of grasp points in the workcell, and as a list of polytopes enclosing the object in space.

[0015] The allowable objects are determined by the capabilities of the robotic pick and place system. Their size, weight and geometry are assumed to be such that the robotic pick and place system is able to pick, move and place them. These may be any kind of ordered goods, packages, parcels, or other articles that benefit from automated sorting. Each object is associated with a universal product code (UPC) or other unique object identifier, which identifies the item or provides information (such as an address) that itself directs object processing.

[0016] As discussed above, the system of an embodiment includes a perception system 26 that is mounted above a bin of objects to be sorted, looking down into the bin. A combination of 2D and 3D (depth) data is acquired. The system uses this imagery and a variety of algorithms to generate a set of candidate grasp locations for the objects in the bin.

[0017] Figure 2 shows a diagrammatic image of a camera view from the perception unit 26, and the image may appear on the image display system 28 of Figure 1 with superimposed images of an end effector seeking to grasp each object 40, 42, 44, 46, 48, 50, 52 and 54 in a bin 56, showing the location of each grasp. Candidate grasp locations 58 are indicated using a 3D model of the robot end effector placed in the location where the actual end

effector would go to use as a grasp location as shown in Figure 2. The image shows several grasp locations 58 that would be considered good (e.g., they are close to the center of mass of the object to provide greater stability during grasp and transport) and they avoid places on an object such as caps, seams etc. where a good vacuum seal might not be available. The image also shows two grasp locations 60 that are not good grasp locations, where the perception system did not correctly perceive the object 54, and in particular, did not perceive that another object 48 is lying on top of the object 54.

[0018] In accordance with various embodiments, the invention provides a programmable motion system that may learn object grasp locations from experience and human guidance. Most robotic systems, for example, designed to localize objects and pick them up, rely on a suite of sensors to give the system information about the location, size, pose, and even identity of an object. Such systems designed to work in the same environments as human workers will face an enormous variety of objects, poses, etc. The 2D/3D imagery in conjunction with the human-selected grasp points can be used as input to machine learning algorithms, to help the robotic system learn how to deal with such cases in the future, thereby reducing the need for operator assistance over time. A combination of 2D and 3D (depth) data is acquired, the system uses this imagery and a variety of algorithms to generate a set of candidate grasp points for the objects in the bin.

[0019] In addition to geometric information the system may learn the location of fiducial markers such as barcodes on the object, which can be used as indicator for a surface patch that is flat and impermeable, hence suitable for a suction cup. One such example is shipping boxes and bags, which tend to have the shipping label at the object's center of mass and provide an impermeable surface, as opposed to the raw bag material which might be slightly porous and hence not present a good grasp. In accordance with further examples, the fiducial marker itself may not be the target, but may provide a reference for finding a target grasp location. Once a product is identified and its orientation is known for example, a certain distance (e.g., x, y) from a fiducial marker may be used as an optimal grasp location.

[0020] The robotic system may employ motion planning using a trajectory database that is dynamically updated over time, and is indexed by customer metrics. The problem domains contain a mix of changing and unchanging components in the environment. For example, the objects that are presented to the system are often presented in random configurations, but the target locations into which the objects are to be placed are often fixed and do not change over the entire operation.

[0021] One use of the trajectory database is to exploit the unchanging parts of the environment by pre-computing and saving into a database trajectories that efficiently and robustly move the system through these spaces. Another use of the trajectory database is to constantly im-

prove the performance of the system over the lifetime of its operation. The database communicates with a planning server that is continuously planning trajectories from the various starts to the various goals, to have a large and varied set of trajectories for achieving any particular task. In various embodiments, a trajectory path may include any number of changing and unchanging portions that, when combined, provide an optimal trajectory path in an efficient amount of time.

[0022] Figure 3 for example, shows a diagrammatic view of a robotic sortation system 70 that includes a conveyor 72 for providing input bins 56, 74, 76 along a direction as indicated at A to a sortation station. A robotic system is shown diagrammatically at 80 and includes an end effector 82 for moving objects from an input bin (e.g., 56) to processing locations, e.g., destination bins 86, 88, 90, 92, 94, 96, 98, 100, 102. Once emptied, the empty bins 77 continue on the conveyor 72.

[0023] The robotic system may include a defined home or base location 84 to which each object may initially be brought upon acquisition from the bin (e.g., 56). In certain embodiments, the system may include a plurality of base locations, as well as a plurality of predetermined path portions associated with the plurality of base locations. The trajectories taken by the articulated arm of the robot system from the input bin to the base location 84 are constantly changing based in part, on the location of each object in the input bin, the orientation of the object in the input bin, and the shape, weight and other physical properties of the object to be acquired.

[0024] Once the articulated arm has acquired an object and is positioned at the base location, the paths to each of the destination bins 86 - 102 are not changing. In particular, each destination bin 86 - 102 is associated with a unique destination bin location 106, 108, 110, 112, 114, 116, 118, 220, 222 and the trajectories from the base location 84 to each of the destination bin locations individually is not changing. A trajectory, for example, may be a specification for the motion of a programmable motion device over time. In accordance with various embodiments, such trajectories may be generated by experience, by a person training the system, and/or by automated algorithms. For a trajectory that is not changing, the shortest distance is a direct path to the target destination bin, but the articulated arm is comprised of articulated sections, joints, motors etc. that provide specific ranges of motion, speeds, accelerations and decelerations. Because of this, the robotic system may take any of a variety of trajectories between, for example, base location 84 and destination bin location 106.

[0025] Figure 4 for example, shows three such trajectories ($_1T^1$, $_2T^1$ and $_3T^1$) between base location 84 and the destination bin location 106. The elements of Figure 4 are the same as those of Figure 3. Each trajectory will have an associated time as well as an associated risk factor. The time is the time it takes for the articulated arm of the robotic system to accelerate from the base location 84 move toward the destination bin 86, and decelerate

to the destination bin location 106 in order to place the object in the destination bin 86.

[0026] The risk factor may be determined in a number of ways including whether the trajectory includes a high (as pre-defined) acceleration or deceleration (linear or angular) at any point during the trajectory. The risk factor may also include any likelihood that the articulated arm may encounter (crash into) anything in the robotic environment. Further, the risk factor may also be defined based on learned knowledge information from experience of the same type of robotic arms in other robotic systems moving the same object from a base location to the same destination location.

[0027] As shown in the table at 130 in Figure 4, the trajectory $_1T^1$ (as shown at 132) from the base location 84 to the destination location 106 may have a fast time (0.6s) but a high risk factor (18.2). The trajectory $_2T^1$ (as shown at 134) from the base location 84 to the destination location 106 may have a much slower time (1.4s) but still a fairly high risk factor (16.7). The trajectory $_3T^1$ from the base location 84 to the destination location 106 may have a relatively fast time (1.3s) and a moderate risk factor (11.2). The choice of selecting the fastest trajectory is not always the best as sometimes the fastest trajectory may have an unacceptably high risk factor. If the risk factor is too high, valuable time may be lost by failure of the robotic system to maintain acquisition of the object.

[0028] Figure 5 shows the three trajectories ($_1T^1$, $_2T^1$, $_3T^1$) to destination bin location 106 discussed with reference to Figure 4, as well as two further trajectories ($_4T^1$, $_5T^1$) between base location 84 and the destination bin location 106. In the system of Figure 5, the local control system 146 is able to communicate with one or more remote databases 148 via a network such as the Internet. The elements of Figure 5 are the same as those of Figure 4. Again, each trajectory will have an associated time as well as an associated risk factor. As shown in the table at 140 in Figure 5, the trajectory $_4T^1$ (as shown at 142) from the base location 84 to the destination location 106 may have a fast time (0.4s) and a moderate risk factor (13.2). The trajectory $_5T^1$ (as shown at 144) from the base location 84 to the destination location 106 may have a relatively fast time (1.1s) and a very low risk factor (6.4).

[0029] Figure 6, for example, shows minimum time-selected trajectories from the base location 84 to each of the destination bin locations 106 - 122. In particular, the tables shown at 150 show the time and risk factors for a plurality of the destination bins (e.g., 1 - 3), and the trajectories from the base location 84 to each of the destination bin locations 106, 108 and 110 (as shown at 152, 154 and 156 respectively) are chosen to provide the minimum time for motion planning for motion planning under a risk factor of 14.0. Similarly, the trajectories from the base location 84 to each of the destination bin locations 112, 114 and 116 (as shown at 156, 158 and 160 respectively) are chosen to provide the minimum time for motion planning for motion planning under a risk factor of 14.0, and the trajectories from the base location 84 to each of

the destination bin locations 118, 120 and 122 (as shown at 162, 164 and 166 respectively) are chosen to provide the minimum time for motion planning under a risk factor of 14.0.

[0030] Figure 7 shows minimum risk-factor-selected set of trajectories from the base location 84 to each of the destination bin locations 106 - 122. Again, the tables shown at 150 show the time and risk factors for the plurality of the destination bins (e.g., 1 - 3). The trajectories from the base location 84 to each of the destination bin locations 106, 108 and 110 (as shown at 172, 174 and 176 respectively) are chosen to provide the minimum risk factor for motion planning for motion planning under a maximum time of 1.2 seconds. Similarly, the trajectories from the base location 84 to each of the destination bin locations 112, 114 and 116 (as shown at 176, 178 and 180 respectively) are chosen to provide the minimum risk factors for motion planning for motion planning under a maximum time of 1.2 seconds, and the trajectories from the base location 84 to each of the destination bin locations 118, 120 and 122 (as shown at 182, 184 and 186 respectively) are chosen to provide the minimum risk factors for motion planning under a maximum time of 1.2 seconds.

[0031] The choice of fast time vs. low risk factor may be determined in a variety of ways, for example, by choosing the fastest time having a risk factor below an upper risk factor limit (e.g., 12 or 14), or by choosing a lowest risk factor having a maximum time below an upper limit (e.g., 1.0 or 1.2). Again, if the risk factor is too high, valuable time may be lost by failure of the robotic system to maintain acquisition of the object. An advantage of the varied set is robustness to small changes in the environment and to different-sized objects the system might be handling: instead of re-planning in these situations, the system iterates through the database until it finds a trajectory that is collision-free, safe and robust for the new situation. The system may therefore generalize across a variety of environments without having to re-plan the motions.

[0032] Further, in accordance with certain embodiments, the system of Figure 7 may be used in the reverse order. In other words, the programmable motion system may be used to gather desired objects from the bins 86 - 102 and place them into combined sets or packages (break-packs) on a conveyor. Such break-packs may generally contain specific quantities of desired products for a variety of purposes. In such a system, the planned motion would be used when needed, but pre-planned trajectory portions that are pulled from a database would be used as much as possible to conserve computation time.

[0033] Figure 8 shows a processing system similar to that of Figure 7 except that the system of Figure 8 includes an additional processing unit 190 such as a labeling machine. As products are selected from the bin (changing format), they may be brought to a first home position 84, and then moved to the processing unit 190.

Once processed, the processing unit 190 then serves as a second home position, and unchanging paths from the processing unit 190 to the various bins may be chosen as discussed above.

[0034] Overall trajectories therefore, may include any number of changing and unchanging sections. For example, networks of unchanging trajectory portions may be employed as commonly used paths (roads), while changing portions may be directed to being objects to a close by unchanging portion (close road) to facilitate moving the object without requiring the entire route to be planned. For example, the programmable motion device (e.g., a robot) may be tasked with orienting the grasped object in front of an automatic labeler before moving towards the destination. The trajectory to sort the object therefore, would be made up of the following trajectory portions. First, a grasp pose to a home position (motion planned). Then, from home position to an auto-labeler home (pulled from a trajectory database). Then, from the auto-labeler home to a labelling pose (motion planned). Then, from the labelling pose to an auto-labeler home (either motion planned or just reverse the previous motion plan step). Then, from the auto-labeler home to the intended destination (pulled from the trajectory database). A wide variety of changing and unchanging (planned and pulled from a database) portions may be employed in overall trajectories. In accordance with further embodiments, the object may be grasped from a specific pose (planned), and when the object reaches a destination bin (from the trajectory database), the last step may be to again place the object in the desired pose (planned) within the destination bin.

[0035] In accordance with further embodiments, each programmable movement system 80 may be provided with a plurality of home positions, and motion paths may be identified from each of the home positions in various embodiments. In accordance with further embodiments, multiple processing stations may be provided. In certain embodiments, therefore, a system may use the motion planning to plan a shorter overall distance by requiring that the system plan a path from the object grasp pose to a closest home position of several (e.g., a grid of) home positions.

[0036] With reference to Figure 9, the system may also provide that emptied bins 77 are removed from the conveyor 72 and stacked as shown, and with reference to Figure 10, the system may instead place emptied bins 77 on a conveyor 79 that carries the empty bins away from the programmable motion device 80 as shown. In each of these further systems, the movement of the end effector of the programmable motion device in moving a bin, may also involve determining a trajectory path for the empty bin from the input location to the stacking location (Figure 9) or to the empty bin conveyor 79 (Figure 10). The trajectory path for the empty bin may include at least one changing portion that is determined specific to the bin's location or orientation at the input location, and at least one unchanging portion that is generally used in

determining trajectory paths for a plurality of bins. In other words, the same type of motion planning may be employed in processing the emptied input bins 77.

[0037] Figure 11 for example, shows a multi-stage robotic processing system 200 that include multiple robotic processing stations 202, 204, 206, each of which includes a robotic system 210 that acquires objects from a single input conveyor 208. Each robotic processing station 202, 204 206 includes a defined robotic system base location 212 and a plurality of destination bins 214 into which objects from input bins 216 may be placed. Each of the destination bins includes a defined destination bin location as discussed above, and each of the sortation systems also includes a local processor 218. Each of the local processors 218 communicates with a central processor 220 that includes a database to provide feedback and learning information regarding experiences in moving objects along different trajectories. As the database acquires more data points, the system should become more efficient and robust. By having all stations index into the same database or data sets therefore, different systems working in different places may have a common infrastructure for sharing information and planned trajectories.

[0038] Another advantage of the varied set is the ability to address several customer metrics without having to re-plan motions. The database is sorted and indexed by customer metrics like time, robustness, safety, distance to obstacles etc. and given a new customer metric, all the database needs to do is to reevaluate the metric on the existing trajectories, thereby resorting the list of trajectories, and automatically producing the best trajectory that satisfies the new customer metric without having to re-plan motions.

[0039] Another advantage is that even if they are invalid due to changes in the environment or customer metrics, these stored trajectories can serve as seeds for trajectory optimization algorithms, thereby speeding up the generation of new trajectories in new situations.

[0040] A further advantage is that the database offers a mechanism for different systems to share information remotely or over a network such as the Internet. Figure 12 for example, shows a multi-stage robotic processing system 300 that include multiple robotic processing stations 302, 304, 306 and 308, each of which includes a robotic system 310 that acquires objects from an input conveyor. Each robotic processing station 302, 304, 306 and 308 includes a defined robotic system base location 312 and a plurality of destination bins 314 into which objects from input bins 316 may be placed. Each of the destination bins includes a defined destination bin location as discussed above, and each of the processing systems also includes a local processor 318. Each of the local processors 318 communicates with a central processor 320 that includes a database to provide feedback and learning information regarding experiences in moving objects along different trajectories. The robotic processing stations 302, 304, 306, 308 may be in remote

locations and communicate with the central processor (and each other) via a wireless network such as the Internet 322. As the database acquires more data, the system should become more efficient and robust. By all indexing into the same database or data sets therefore, different systems working in different places may have a common infrastructure for sharing information and planned trajectories.

[0041] Motion planning systems of the invention may also be tailored to achieve further objectives such as reducing shear force between a gripper and an object, or moving an object that is open at the top. For example, Figure 13 shows a programmable motion system 350, e.g., a robotic system, with an articulated arm 352 and an end effector 354 that includes a vacuum cup 356 for engaging objects 358. With reference to Figure 14A, when the object 358 is lifted, a force of gravity (F_g) acts on the object, and if the object is moved rapidly in a direction that is transverse to the force of gravity, a sheer force (F_s) will act on the object with respect to the vacuum cup. A vacuum gripper may withstand a higher tensile force than a sheer force, and in an embodiment (and with reference to Figures 14B and 14C), the articulated arm may lift the object as it begins turning (Figure 14B) such that when the object is rotated rapidly (Figure 14C), a centrifugal force is applied at the end effector (F_c), maintaining the vacuum cup's grip on the object in tension (F_t). Such a system, for example, may be particularly well suited for applications in which the vacuum cup encounters heavy objects. Information therefore, regarding the size, shape and weight of an object (as well as its destination) may well also influence a chosen trajectory.

[0042] With reference to Figure 15, in accordance with a further embodiment, the system may include an articulated arm 400 to which is attached an end effector 402 that may, for example, be a tubular or conical shaped bellows. The end effector 402 also includes a sensor 404 that includes an attachment band 406 on the bellows, as well as a bracket 408 attached to magnetic field sensor 404, and a magnet 412 is mounted on the articulated arm 400. As the bellows moves in any of three directions (e.g., toward and away from the articulated arm as shown diagrammatically at Z, in directions transverse to the direction Z as shown at X, and directions transverse to both the directions Z and X as shown at Y. The magnetic field sensor 404 may communicate (e.g., wirelessly) with a controller 410, which may also communicate with a flow monitor 414 to determine whether a high flow grasp of an object is sufficient for continued grasp and transport as discussed further below. In certain embodiment, for example, the system may return the object if the air flow is insufficient to carry the load, or may increase the air flow to safely maintain the load.

[0043] In certain embodiments, the end effector may be a tubular or conical shaped bellows. The magnetic field sensor may communicate (e.g., wirelessly) with a controller, which may also communicate with a flow monitor to determine whether a high flow grasp of an object

is sufficient for continued grasp and transport as discussed further below. In certain embodiment, for example, the system may return the object if the air flow is insufficient to carry the load, or may increase the air flow to safely maintain the load.

[0044] In accordance with further embodiments, systems of the invention may provide motion planning that accommodates specific needs or requirements, such that an opened container or box be moved without spilling the contents. For example, Figure 16 shows an end effector 450 of a programmable motion device 456 that has engaged an open box 452 of items using a vacuum cup 454. As further shown in Figure 17, as the programmable motion device moves the open box 452, the orientation with respect to the vertical axis is maintained, and as shown in Figure 18, the programmable motion device may place the object 452 on a surface 458 at a processing location in a desired orientation.

[0045] For the avoidance of doubt, the present application extends to the subject-matter described in the following numbered paragraphs (referred to as "Para" or "Paras"):

1. A processing system for providing processing of homogenous and non-homogenous objects in both structured and cluttered environments, said processing system comprising:

a programmable motion device including an end effector;
a perception system for recognizing any of the identity, location, and orientation of an object presented in a plurality of objects at an input location;
a grasp acquisition system for acquiring the object using the end effector to permit the object to be moved from the plurality of objects to one of a plurality of processing locations; and
a motion planning system for determining a trajectory path from the input location to one of the plurality of processing locations, said trajectory path including at least one changing portion that is determined specific to the object's location or orientation at the input location, and at least one unchanging portion that is generally used in determining trajectory paths for a plurality of objects.

2. The processing system of Para 1, wherein the unchanging portion of the trajectory path is determined responsive to trajectory data regarding a plurality of possible trajectory paths from the base location to the processing locations.

3. The processing system of Para 2, wherein the trajectory data includes a time required to move through each of the plurality of possible trajectory paths from the base location to the processing loca-

tions.

4. The processing system of Para 2, wherein the trajectory data includes a risk factor associated with moving through each of the plurality of possible trajectory paths from the base location to the processing locations.

5. The processing system of Para 2, wherein the trajectory data includes a time required to move through each of the plurality of possible trajectory paths from a base location to the processing locations, as well as a risk factor associated with moving through each of the plurality of possible trajectory paths from the base location to the processing locations.

6. The processing system of Para 5, wherein the unchanging portion of the trajectory path is determined to be a path with the associated shortest time required to move from the base location to a processing location and having a risk factor that is below a pre-defined maximum risk factor.

7. The processing system of Para 5, wherein the unchanging portion of the trajectory path is determined to be a path with the lowest risk factor associated with moving from the base location to a processing location and having a risk factor that is below a pre-defined maximum time.

8. The processing system of Para 2, wherein the trajectory data is provided by experience of the programmable motion device including the end effector.

9. The processing system of Para 2, wherein the trajectory data is provided by learned knowledge information from a plurality of programmable motion devices.

10. The processing system of Para 1, wherein the processing system further includes a plurality of programmable motion devices, each of which determines trajectory paths that include at least one changing portion and at least one unchanging portion.

11. The processing system of Para 10, wherein each programmable motion device is associated with an input area that includes an input conveyor that is common to all input areas.

12. The processing system of Para 10, wherein each programmable motion device is in communication with a library of predetermined unchanging portions.

13. A processing system for providing sortation of homogenous and non-homogenous objects in both

structured and cluttered environments, said processing system comprising:

a programmable motion device including an end effector;

a perception system for recognizing any of the identity, location, and orientation of an object presented in a plurality of objects at an input location;

a grasp acquisition system for acquiring the object using the end effector to permit the object to be moved from the plurality of objects to one of a plurality of processing locations; and

a motion planning system for determining a trajectory path from the input location to one of the plurality of processing locations, said trajectory path including at least one changing portion that is determined specific to the object's location or orientation at the input location, and at least one unchanging portion that is predetermined and is not specific to the object, the object's location or the object's orientation at the input area.

14. The processing system of Para 13, wherein each of the unchanging portions of the trajectory paths is determined responsive to trajectory data regarding a plurality of possible trajectory paths from a base location to the processing locations.

15. The processing system of Para 14, wherein the trajectory data includes a time required to move through each of the plurality of possible trajectory paths from the base location to the processing locations.

16. The processing system of Para 14, wherein the trajectory data includes a risk factor associated with moving through each of the plurality of possible trajectory paths from the base location to the processing locations.

17. The processing system of Para 14, wherein the trajectory data includes a time required to move through each of the plurality of possible trajectory paths from the base location to the processing location, as well as a risk factor associated with moving through each of the plurality of possible trajectory paths from the base location to the processing locations.

18. The processing system of Para 17, wherein the unchanging portion of the trajectory paths is determined to be a path with the associated shortest time required to move from the base location to a processing location and having a risk factor that is below a pre-defined maximum risk factor.

19. The processing system of Para 17, wherein the

unchanging portion of the trajectory paths is determined to be a path with the lowest risk factor associated with moving from the base location to a processing location and having a risk factor that is below a pre-defined maximum time.

20. The processing system of Para 14, wherein the trajectory data is provided by experience of the programmable motion device including the end effector.

21. The processing system of Para 14, wherein the trajectory data is provided by learned knowledge information from a plurality of processing systems.

22. A method of providing processing of homogeneous and non-homogenous objects in both structured and cluttered environments, said method comprising the steps of:

acquiring an object from an input location using an end effector of a programmable motion device to permit the object to be moved from the plurality of objects at an input location to one of a plurality of processing locations; and determining a trajectory path of the end effector from the object to one of the plurality of processing locations, said trajectory path including at least one changing portion that is determined specific to the object's location or orientation at the input location, and at least one unchanging portion that is predetermined and is not specific to the object, the object's location or the object's orientation at the input area.

23. The method of Para 22, wherein the changing portion of the trajectory path is determined responsive to trajectory data regarding a plurality of possible trajectory paths from a base location to the processing locations.

24. The method of Para 23, wherein the trajectory data includes a time required to move through each of the plurality of possible trajectory paths from the base location to the processing locations.

25. The method of Para 23, wherein the trajectory data includes a risk factor associated with moving through each of the plurality of possible trajectory paths from the base location to the processing locations.

26. The method of Para 23, wherein the trajectory data includes a time required to move through each of the plurality of possible trajectory paths from the base location to the processing location, as well as a risk factor associated with moving through each of the plurality of possible trajectory paths from the base location to the processing locations.

27. The method of Para 26, wherein the second portion of the trajectory path is determined to be a path with the associated shortest time required to move from the base location to a processing location and having a risk factor that is below a pre-defined maximum risk factor. 5

28. The method of Para 26, wherein the changing portion of the trajectory path is determined to be a path with the lowest risk factor associated with moving from the base location to a processing location and having a risk factor that is below a pre-defined maximum time. 10

29. The method of Para 23, wherein the trajectory data is provided by experience of the programmable motion device including the end effector. 15

30. The method of Para 23, wherein the trajectory data is provided by learned knowledge information from a plurality of programmable motion devices. 20

31. The method of Para 22, wherein the plurality of objects at the input location are provided in an input bin, and wherein a trajectory path for moving the input bin is determined that also includes at least one changing portion that is determined specific to the input bin's location or orientation at the input location, and at least one unchanging portion that is predetermined and is not specific to the input bin, the input bin's location or the input bin's orientation at the input area. 25 30

[0046] Those skilled in the art will appreciate that numerous modifications and variations may be made to the above disclosed embodiments without departing from the spirit of the present invention. 35

Claims 40

1. A method of processing objects, said method comprising:

storing in a trajectory database a plurality of predetermined path sections for moving an end effector of an articulated arm between a base location and a destination location, the trajectory database further storing a predetermined risk factor and a predetermined time factor for each of the plurality of predetermined path sections; obtaining identification information for an object presented in a plurality of objects at an input location; determining the destination location from among a plurality of destination locations based on the identification information obtained for the object; acquiring the object at the input location using 45 50 55

the end effector; sorting the plurality of predetermined path sections for moving the end effector of the articulated arm from the base location to the destination location according to at least one of the predetermined risk factor and the predetermined time factor;

selecting one of the plurality of predetermined path sections that satisfies at least one of a risk requirement and a time requirement; and moving the acquired object along a trajectory path from the input location to the destination location via the base location using the end effector of the articulated arm, the trajectory path including the selected one of the plurality of predetermined path sections from the base location to the destination location.

2. The method as claimed in claim 1, further comprising storing in the trajectory database a plurality of other predetermined path sections for moving the end effector of the articulated arm from the base location to other destination locations.

3. The method as claimed in claim 1, wherein the predetermined time factor stored in the trajectory database for each of the plurality of predetermined path sections includes a time required to move the end effector of the articulated arm from the base location to the destination location.

4. The method as claimed in claim 1, wherein the predetermined risk factor stored in the trajectory database for each of the plurality of predetermined path sections includes a likelihood of collision associated with the end effector of the articulated arm moving from the base location to the destination location.

5. The method as claimed in claim 1, wherein the selected one of the plurality of predetermined path sections from the base location to the destination location is determined to be a path with the associated shortest time required to move the end effector from the base location to the destination location and having a predetermined risk factor that is below a pre-defined maximum risk factor.

6. The method as claimed in claim 1, wherein the selected one of the plurality of predetermined path sections from the base location to the destination location is determined to be a path with the lowest risk factor associated with moving the end effector from the base location to the destination location and having a predetermined time factor that is below a pre-defined maximum time.


7. The method as claimed in claim 1, wherein at least one of the predetermined time factor and the prede-

terminated risk factor for each of the plurality of predetermined path sections is provided by experience of the articulated arm and the end effector.

8. The method as claimed in claim 1, wherein at least one of the predetermined time factor and the predetermined risk factor for each of the plurality of predetermined path sections is provided by learned knowledge information from a plurality of articulated arms.
9. A method of processing objects, said method comprising:

storing in a trajectory database a plurality of predetermined path sections for moving an end effector of an articulated arm between a base location and a destination bin, the trajectory database further storing a plurality of metrics for each of the plurality of predetermined path sections; acquiring an object from an input bin using the end effector;
sorting the plurality of predetermined path sections for moving the end effector of the articulated arm from the base location to the destination bin according to at least one metric among the plurality of metrics;
selecting one of the plurality of predetermined path sections that optimizes the at least one metric; and
moving the acquired object along a trajectory path from the input bin to the destination bin via the base location using the end effector of the articulated arm, the trajectory path including the selected one of the plurality of predetermined path sections from the base location to the destination bin.
10. The method as claimed in claim 9, further comprising storing in the trajectory database a plurality of other predetermined path sections for moving the end effector of the articulated arm from the base location to other destination bins.
11. The method as claimed in claim 9, wherein the plurality of metrics stored in the trajectory database for each of the plurality of predetermined path sections includes a time required to move the end effector of the articulated arm from the base location to the destination bin.
12. The method as claimed in claim 9, wherein the plurality of metrics stored in the trajectory database for each of the plurality of predetermined path sections includes a risk factor associated with the end effector of the articulated arm moving from the base location to the destination bin.

13. The method as claimed in claim 9, wherein the selected one of the plurality of predetermined path sections from the base location to the destination bin is determined to be a path with the associated shortest time required to move the end effector from the base location to the destination bin and having a risk factor that is below a pre-defined maximum risk factor.
14. The method as claimed in claim 9, wherein the selected one of the plurality of predetermined path sections from the base location to the destination bin is determined to be a path with the lowest risk factor associated with moving the end effector from the base location to the destination bin and having a time factor that is below a pre-defined maximum time.
15. The method as claimed in claim 9, wherein the plurality of metrics for each of the plurality of predetermined path sections is provided by learned knowledge information from a plurality of processing systems.






FIG. 2




FIG. 3




FIG. 4




FIG. 5




FIG. 6




FIG. 7




FIG. 8




FIG. 9




FIG. 10




FIG. 11




FIG. 12




FIG. 13




FIG. 14A




FIG. 14B




FIG. 14C




FIG. 15




FIG. 16




FIG. 17




FIG. 18



EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT

Application Number

EP 21 21 4193

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

40

45

50

55

DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT			
Category	Citation of document with indication, where appropriate, of relevant passages	Relevant to claim	CLASSIFICATION OF THE APPLICATION (IPC)
A	US 2015/352717 A1 (MUNDT ALOIS [DE] ET AL) 10 December 2015 (2015-12-10) * the whole document * * paragraph [0002] - paragraph [0086] * * paragraph [0096] - paragraph [0111] * * paragraph [0120] - paragraph [0123] * -----	1-15	INV. B25J9/16 B25J13/00 B65G43/00 B65G47/46 B65F7/00 G06K9/00
A	US 2014/088763 A1 (HAZAN MOSHE [IL]) 27 March 2014 (2014-03-27) * the whole document * * paragraph [0045] * * paragraph [0051] * -----	1-15	
A	EP 2 123 407 A2 (KUKA ROBOTER GMBH [DE]) 25 November 2009 (2009-11-25) * the whole document * * paragraph [0020] * -----	1-15	
A	EP 0 317 020 A2 (PHILIPS NV [NL]) 24 May 1989 (1989-05-24) * the whole document * -----	1-15	TECHNICAL FIELDS SEARCHED (IPC)
A	US 2013/218335 A1 (BARAJAS LEANDRO G [US] ET AL) 22 August 2013 (2013-08-22) * the whole document * -----	1-15	G05B B25J
A	US 2010/094461 A1 (ROTH MICHAEL [AT] ET AL) 15 April 2010 (2010-04-15) * the whole document * -----	1-15	
A	US 2014/154036 A1 (MATTERN THOMAS [DE] ET AL) 5 June 2014 (2014-06-05) * the whole document * -----	1-15	
A	US 2015/203340 A1 (JACOBSEN STEPHEN C [US] ET AL) 23 July 2015 (2015-07-23) * the whole document * -----	1-15	
		-/--	
1 The present search report has been drawn up for all claims			
Place of search The Hague		Date of completion of the search 24 February 2022	Examiner Marinica, Raluca
CATEGORY OF CITED DOCUMENTS X : particularly relevant if taken alone Y : particularly relevant if combined with another document of the same category A : technological background O : non-written disclosure P : intermediate document		T : theory or principle underlying the invention E : earlier patent document, but published on, or after the filing date D : document cited in the application L : document cited for other reasons & : member of the same patent family, corresponding document	



EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT

Application Number

EP 21 21 4193

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

40

45

50

55

DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT			
Category	Citation of document with indication, where appropriate, of relevant passages	Relevant to claim	CLASSIFICATION OF THE APPLICATION (IPC)
A	DE 10 2012 003160 A1 (DAIMLER AG [DE]) 20 September 2012 (2012-09-20) * the whole document *	1-15	
A	US 2013/166061 A1 (YAMAMOTO TAKAHISA [JP]) 27 June 2013 (2013-06-27) * the whole document *	1-15	
			TECHNICAL FIELDS SEARCHED (IPC)
1 The present search report has been drawn up for all claims			
Place of search The Hague		Date of completion of the search 24 February 2022	Examiner Marinica, Raluca
CATEGORY OF CITED DOCUMENTS X : particularly relevant if taken alone Y : particularly relevant if combined with another document of the same category A : technological background O : non-written disclosure P : intermediate document		T : theory or principle underlying the invention E : earlier patent document, but published on, or after the filing date D : document cited in the application L : document cited for other reasons & : member of the same patent family, corresponding document	

EPO FORM 1503 03.82 (P04C01)

ANNEX TO THE EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT
ON EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION NO.

EP 21 21 4193

5

This annex lists the patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned European search report. The members are as contained in the European Patent Office EDP file on
The European Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

24-02-2022

10

15

20

25

30

35

40

45

50

55

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 2015352717 A1	10-12-2015	DE 102014008444 A1 EP 2952296 A2 US 2015352717 A1	17-12-2015 09-12-2015 10-12-2015
US 2014088763 A1	27-03-2014	EP 2900434 A1 US 2014088763 A1 WO 2014052286 A1	05-08-2015 27-03-2014 03-04-2014
EP 2123407 A2	25-11-2009	DE 102008024950 A1 DK 2123407 T3 EP 2123407 A2	26-11-2009 11-04-2016 25-11-2009
EP 0317020 A2	24-05-1989	DE 3853616 T2 EP 0317020 A2 JP 2840608 B2 JP H01205205 A KR 890007854 A US 6604005 B1	30-11-1995 24-05-1989 24-12-1998 17-08-1989 06-07-1989 05-08-2003
US 2013218335 A1	22-08-2013	DE 102013202378 A1 JP 5759495 B2 JP 2013169644 A US 2013218335 A1	22-08-2013 05-08-2015 02-09-2013 22-08-2013
US 2010094461 A1	15-04-2010	CN 101722517 A DE 102008063680 A1 EP 2184659 A1 JP 2010089257 A US 2010094461 A1	09-06-2010 15-04-2010 12-05-2010 22-04-2010 15-04-2010
US 2014154036 A1	05-06-2014	DE 102012013022 A1 EP 2679353 A1 US 2014154036 A1	24-04-2014 01-01-2014 05-06-2014
US 2015203340 A1	23-07-2015	US 2012277911 A1 US 2012277915 A1 US 2015203340 A1 WO 2012149363 A2 WO 2012149392 A2 WO 2012170123 A2	01-11-2012 01-11-2012 23-07-2015 01-11-2012 01-11-2012 13-12-2012
DE 102012003160 A1	20-09-2012	NONE	
US 2013166061 A1	27-06-2013	JP 5911299 B2 JP 2013132742 A US 2013166061 A1	27-04-2016 08-07-2013 27-06-2013

EPO FORM P0459

For more details about this annex : see Official Journal of the European Patent Office, No. 12/82

ANNEX TO THE EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT
ON EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION NO.

EP 21 21 4193

5 This annex lists the patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned European search report.
The members are as contained in the European Patent Office EDP file on
The European Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

24-02-2022

10	Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
15				
20				
25				
30				
35				
40				
45				
50				

EPO FORM P0459

55 For more details about this annex : see Official Journal of the European Patent Office, No. 12/82

REFERENCES CITED IN THE DESCRIPTION

This list of references cited by the applicant is for the reader's convenience only. It does not form part of the European patent document. Even though great care has been taken in compiling the references, errors or omissions cannot be excluded and the EPO disclaims all liability in this regard.

Patent documents cited in the description

- US 62292538 [0001]