



(11) **EP 4 328 330 A1**

(12) **EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION**
published in accordance with Art. 153(4) EPC

(43) Date of publication:
28.02.2024 Bulletin 2024/09

(21) Application number: **22811072.2**

(22) Date of filing: **19.04.2022**

(51) International Patent Classification (IPC):
C21C 5/28 ^(2006.01) **C21C 7/00** ^(2006.01)
C21C 7/068 ^(2006.01) **C21C 7/10** ^(2006.01)

(52) Cooperative Patent Classification (CPC):
C21C 5/28; C21C 7/00; C21C 7/068; C21C 7/10

(86) International application number:
PCT/JP2022/018168

(87) International publication number:
WO 2022/249798 (01.12.2022 Gazette 2022/48)

(84) Designated Contracting States:
**AL AT BE BG CH CY CZ DE DK EE ES FI FR GB
GR HR HU IE IS IT LI LT LU LV MC MK MT NL NO
PL PT RO RS SE SI SK SM TR**
Designated Extension States:
BA ME
Designated Validation States:
KH MA MD TN

(30) Priority: **26.05.2021 JP 2021088031**

(71) Applicant: **JFE Steel Corporation**
Tokyo 100-0011 (JP)

(72) Inventors:
• **OGASAWARA, Futoshi**
Tokyo 100-0011 (JP)
• **KAWABATA, Ryo**
Tokyo 100-0011 (JP)

(74) Representative: **Hoffmann Eitle**
Patent- und Rechtsanwälte PartmbB
Arabellastraße 30
81925 München (DE)

(54) **METHOD FOR REFINING MOLTEN IRON**

(57) A method for refining molten iron that can stably produce low-nitrogen steel is proposed. In this method for refining molten iron, untreated molten iron with a carbon concentration [C]_i between 0.5 mass% and 3.0 mass%, both inclusive, is placed into a vessel, and oxygen is blown onto the untreated molten iron under atmospheric pressure while a hydrogen gas, a hydrocarbon gas, or a mixture gas of these gases is blown in to perform a decarburization and denitrification treatment of the untreated molten iron. It is preferable, for example, that a nitrogen concentration [N]_e in treated molten iron after being subjected to the decarburization and denitrification

treatment be 30 mass ppm or lower; that treated molten iron after being subjected to the decarburization and denitrification treatment be further subjected to a vacuum degassing treatment; that the untreated molten iron include molten iron obtained by melting a cold iron source; that the untreated molten iron be a mixture of primary molten iron obtained by melting a cold iron source in a melting furnace and molten pig iron having a carbon concentration of 2.0 mass% or higher; that the cold iron source include reduced iron; and that the vessel be a converter.

EP 4 328 330 A1

Description

Technical Field

5 **[0001]** The present invention relates to a method that obtains molten steel by decarburizing untreated molten iron with a carbon concentration of 3.0 mass% or lower to reduce the nitrogen concentration in the decarburized molten iron, and thereby obtain low-nitrogen steel. In particular, this method is suitable for a case in which part or the whole of the untreated molten iron is obtained by melting a cold iron source.

10 Background Art

[0002] In recent years, from the viewpoint of preventing global warming, the steel industry has also been advancing the development of technology for cutting down on fossil fuel consumption and thereby reducing CO₂ gas generation. Conventional integrated ironworks produce molten pig iron by reducing iron ore with carbon. To produce molten pig iron, about 500 kg of carbon source per ton of molten pig iron is required for reduction of iron ore etc. On the other hand, producing molten steel using a cold iron source, such as iron scrap, as the raw material does not require a carbon source needed to reduce iron ore, and requires only energy corresponding to an amount of heat enough to melt the cold iron source. Thus, CO₂ emissions can be drastically reduced.

[0003] When obtaining molten steel by melting a cold iron source in a melting furnace, such as an electric furnace, the nitrogen concentration at the time of discharging the molten steel can be high compared with when refining molten pig iron discharged from a blast furnace, which is hereinafter referred to as "blast furnace molten pig iron", in a converter and discharging the molten steel. In the process of refining blast-furnace molten pig iron in a converter, nitrogen is removed mainly by having it adsorbed onto bubbles of carbon monoxide that are generated by decarburization, so that the nitrogen concentration at the time of discharging the molten steel is generally low. Specifically, blast-furnace molten pig iron contains about 4 mass% carbon, and the amount of carbon monoxide generated by decarburization refining is large enough to allow smelting of low-nitrogen steel with a nitrogen concentration of about 20 mass ppm. When using a cold iron source, however, the carbon concentration in molten iron resulting from melting the cold iron source is low and the amount of carbon monoxide to be generated is limited, which makes it difficult to remove nitrogen to a low concentration. It is possible to denitrify the molten iron resulting from melting a cold iron source to some extent by subjecting it to a vacuum degassing treatment or the like. However, as the region where the denitrification reaction occurs is limited to a surface part of the molten steel that is in contact with a vacuum atmosphere inside a vacuum chamber, the upper limit of the nitrogen concentration at which stable smelting is possible is about 40 mass ppm.

[0004] Generally produced through reduction with a natural gas etc., reduced iron contains 0.5 mass% to 2.0 mass% carbon. Therefore, molten iron obtained by melting such reduced iron requires decarburization refining, during which it can be denitrified to some extent. From the viewpoint of increasing the amount of nitrogen to be removed, it is conceivable to increase the carbon concentration in molten iron resulting from melting reduced iron in an electric furnace etc. by, for example, mixing this molten iron with blast-furnace molten pig iron, and then perform decarburization refining in a converter. In the future, however, to reduce CO₂ generation, the amount of blast-furnace molten pig iron produced is likely to decrease and the amount of cold iron source used is likely to increase. Then, the carbon concentration at the time of charging into a converter is expected to become lower, which would make it difficult to sufficiently reduce the nitrogen concentration at the time of discharging the molten steel.

[0005] Based on this assumption, the following are disclosed as technologies for obtaining low-nitrogen steel. For example, Patent Literature 1 proposes a method in which molten steel discharged from a converter is recarburized and deoxidized with Al, and then oxygen is blown during a vacuum degassing treatment to perform decarburization refining. Thus, the N concentration [N] in the molten steel is reduced to 25 mass ppm or lower.

[0006] Patent Literature 2 proposes a molten steel denitrification method in which CaO is fed onto a bath surface of molten steel without recarburization, then an Al-containing substance is added to remove nitrogen from the molten steel into slag as nitride, and further oxygen is blown to remove nitrogen into a gas phase as a nitrogen gas. Thus, the nitrogen concentration is reduced to 20 mass ppm or lower.

50 **[0007]** Patent Literature 3 proposes a vacuum refining method in which, in an RH vacuum degassing treatment device, a hydrocarbon gas is supplied as a circulating gas to be supplied through an immersion pipe to thereby break up bubbles. Thus, the nitrogen concentration is reduced to 20 mass ppm or lower.

Citation List

55 Patent Literature

[0008]

Patent Literature 1: JP-2004-211120A

Patent Literature 2: JP-2007-211298A

Patent Literature 3: JP-2000-45013A

5 Summary of Invention

Technical Problem

[0009] However, the above-described conventional technologies have the following problems yet to be solved.

10 **[0010]** The method described in Patent Literature 1 has a problem in that the amount of CO₂ generated increases as an extra carbon source is added to generate bubbles of carbon monoxide, and another problem in that the productivity decreases as performing decarburization again during the vacuum degassing treatment prolongs the treatment time.

[0011] It is mentioned that the method described in Patent Literature 2 requires adding at least 3 kg of metal Al per ton of molten steel, which leads to a significant cost increase. Moreover, after metal Al is added, Al in the molten steel
15 needs to be removed again through oxidization. Thus, there are problems in that the productivity decreases due to the increased treatment time and that the amount of slag discharged increases.

[0012] In the method described in Patent Literature 3, the hydrogen concentration in molten iron has increased after the hydrocarbon gas is supplied, which necessitates a dehydrogenation treatment. Thus, there is a problem in that the treatment time increases, resulting in reduced productivity.

20 **[0013]** The present invention has been devised in view of these circumstances, and an object thereof is to propose a method for refining molten iron that stably produces low-nitrogen steel under the condition of an increased amount of cold iron source used, without causing a significant decrease in productivity or a cost increase, and without adding to the amount of slag generated or the amount of CO₂ generated.

25 Solution to Problem

[0014] In view of the above-described problems, the present inventors vigorously explored a method for promoting denitrification in a process of performing decarburization refining under atmospheric pressure in a converter or the like, and consequently completed the present invention.

30 **[0015]** A method for refining molten iron according to the present invention that advantageously solves the above-described problems is a method in which untreated molten iron with a carbon concentration [C]_i between 0.5 mass% and 3.0 mass%, both inclusive, is placed into a vessel, and oxygen is blown onto the untreated molten iron under atmospheric pressure while a hydrogen gas, a hydrocarbon gas, or a mixture gas of these gases is blown in to perform a decarburization and denitrification treatment of the untreated molten iron.

35 **[0016]** The Method for refining molten iron according to the present invention could have more preferable solutions:

(a) that a nitrogen concentration [N]_ε in treated molten iron after being subjected to the decarburization and denitrification treatment is 30 mass ppm or lower;

40 (b) that treated molten iron after being subjected to the decarburization and denitrification treatment is further subjected to a vacuum degassing treatment;

(c) that the untreated molten iron includes molten iron obtained by melting a cold iron source;

(d) that the untreated molten iron is a mixture of primary molten iron obtained by melting the cold iron source in a melting furnace and molten pig iron having a carbon concentration of 2.0 mass% or higher;

(e) that the cold iron source includes reduced iron; and

45 (f) that the vessel is a converter.

Advantageous Effects of Invention

50 **[0017]** The present invention makes it possible to stably produce low-nitrogen steel with a nitrogen concentration [N]_ε in treated molten steel of 30 mass ppm or lower under the condition of an increased amount of cold iron source used, without causing a significant decrease in productivity or a cost increase, and without adding to the amount of slag generated or the amount of CO₂ generated.

Description of Embodiments

55 **[0018]** An embodiment of the present invention will be specifically described below.

[0019] As a first step, in a steelmaking melting furnace, an iron source is melted and heated using electric energy. Here, as the steelmaking melting furnace, an electric furnace, such as an arc furnace or an induction furnace, can be

used. In this case, as the iron source, not only a solid iron source, such as scrap or reduced iron, but also molten iron that has been melted by another process may be used. As the heat energy supplied to melt the solid iron source and heat the iron source, not only electric energy but also combustion heat of metal etc. may be supplementarily used. It is preferable that these energies be renewable energies from the viewpoint of cutting down on CO₂ emissions.

[0020] As a second step, the molten iron is discharged into a vessel, such as a ladle. When reduced iron is used as the cold iron source, a large amount of slag attributable to gangue contained in the reduced iron is generated. Therefore, performing slag removal as necessary is desirable. Slag removal may be performed using a slag dragger or the like. When the height of the freeboard in the ladle (the height from the upper end of the ladle to the surface of the molten iron) is insufficient, before the molten iron is discharged from the electric furnace, the furnace body may be tilted to flow out the slag. Alternatively, before the molten iron is discharged from the electric furnace, the furnace body may be tilted to flow out the slag, and then the slag flown into a vessel, such as a ladle, along with the molten iron may be further removed.

[0021] As a third step, the molten iron is mixed with molten pig iron, such as blast-furnace molten pig iron, as necessary to adjust the carbon concentration [C]_i in the molten iron to between 0.5 mass% and 3.0 mass%, both inclusive. Then, this molten iron is charged into a reaction vessel, and decarburization refining is performed by supplying an oxygen gas through a top-blowing lance etc. When the carbon concentration [C]_i in the untreated molten iron is lower than 0.5 mass%, denitrification may become insufficient due to the small amount of CO gas generated during decarburization. On the other hand, when the carbon concentration exceeds 3.0 mass%, the reducing effect on CO₂ generation lessens. In the case where molten metals are mixed, the molten pig iron to be mixed is preferable to have a carbon concentration of 2.0 mass% or higher, and may be molten pig iron as discharged from a blast furnace, or may be molten pig iron that has undergone one of desiliconization, dephosphorization, and desulfurization or a combination of two or more of these treatments after being discharged from a blast furnace. As the reaction vessel, a converter is preferable in terms of the height of the freeboard (the height from the upper end of the reaction vessel to the surface of the molten iron). The reaction vessel should be a vessel in which oxygen blowing is possible, and may also be a ladle or the like. Oxygen blowing is not limited to a method of supplying oxygen through a top-blowing lance, and oxygen may instead be supplied through a bottom-blowing tuyere. A combination of supplying oxygen through a top-blowing lance and supplying oxygen through a bottom-blowing tuyere may be adopted.

[0022] Next, at the same time as supply of an oxygen gas for decarburization is started, a gas containing hydrogen atoms formed by a hydrogen gas or a hydrocarbon gas or a mixture gas of these gases is supplied through a porous plug etc. installed at the bottom of the furnace. It is believed that when a gas containing hydrogen atoms is supplied into molten iron, after a dissociation reaction of gas molecules occurs, hydrogen atoms dissolve temporarily into the molten iron and are then generated as fine hydrogen gas bubbles again. The denitrification reaction is believed to progress between the fine bubbles generated here and the molten iron interface. Therefore, when performing decarburization refining using molten iron obtained by melting a cold iron source, even when the amount of bubbles of carbon monoxide generated is insufficient, the nitrogen concentration after decarburization refining can be reduced. Thus, decarburization and denitrification can be simultaneously performed. As a result of vigorously conducting studies, the present inventors have found that, for the amount to be supplied of the gas containing hydrogen atoms, a flow rate of about 0.1 to 0.3 Nm³/min per ton of molten iron is appropriate. Here, "Nm³" means a volume of a gas in a normal state. In this Description, the normal state of a gas is 0°C and 1 atm (101325 Pa). Upon completion of decarburization refining, supply of the oxygen gas is stopped and, at the same time, supply of the gas containing hydrogen atoms is stopped. To prevent clogging of the bottom-blowing plug, it is preferable that supply of the gas containing hydrogen atoms, after it is stopped, be switched to supply of an inert gas, such as an argon gas. The gas containing hydrogen atoms is not limited to being supplied through a porous plug and may instead be supplied using an injection lance (immersion lance), a single pipe, or a double pipe.

[0023] Performing a treatment such that the nitrogen concentration [N]_ε in the treated molten iron becomes 30 mass ppm or lower is preferable, because then low-nitrogen steel with a nitrogen concentration N in the product at the stage of crude steel, such as a steel slab, of 30 mass ppm or lower can be produced. Performing a treatment such that the nitrogen concentration [N]_ε in the treated molten iron becomes 20 mass ppm or lower through adjustment of the treatment conditions so as to increase the amount of hydrogen atoms supplied, for example, by increasing the flow rate of the hydrogen gas or by using a hydrocarbon-based gas containing a large amount of hydrogen per gas volume is further preferable, because this results in extremely low-nitrogen steel.

[0024] As a fourth step, upon completion of the decarburization refining, a vacuum degassing treatment is performed, and casting is performed preferably after being otherwise adjusted to a predetermined composition. By performing a vacuum degassing treatment after decarburization refining, hydrogen can be removed. This embodiment can prevent a decrease in productivity compared with the technology described in Patent Literature 3 in which a gas containing hydrogen atoms is supplied in a vacuum degassing treatment. For the vacuum degassing treatment, an RH vacuum treatment device, a DH vacuum treatment device, a facility with a ladle installed inside a vacuum chamber, etc. can be used.

Examples

[0025] Scrap or reduced iron as a cold iron source was charged into a 150 t-scale electric furnace and melted. After the molten iron was discharged into a ladle, slag removal was performed. The reduced iron used in the test was reduced iron produced through reduction with a natural gas, and the carbon concentration was analyzed to be 1.0 mass%. The discharged molten iron inside the ladle and blast-furnace molten pig iron were mixed in a converter-charging pot so as to adjust the amount of molten iron to 300 t. After the components of the molten iron were analyzed, the molten iron was charged into a converter and subjected to decarburization blowing. The amount of carbon contained in the blast-furnace molten pig iron used as the molten metal to be mixed was 4.3 mass%. The mixing ratio between the molten iron obtained by melting a cold iron source and the blast-furnace molten pig iron were changed to various ratios, and the carbon concentration $[C]_i$ (mass%) at the time of charging into the converter was also changed to various concentrations. An oxygen gas needed for decarburization was supplied through a top-blowing lance, and the amount of oxygen gas to be supplied was determined based on analytical values (represented with a suffix "i") of carbon and others in the molten iron before being charged into the converter. At the same time as supply of the oxygen gas was started, a hydrogen gas, a propane gas, or a mixture gas of 50 vol% hydrogen and 50 vol% propane was supplied through a porous plug installed at the bottom of the converter.

[0026] After the predetermined amount of oxygen was supplied, supply of a hydrogen gas, a propane gas, or a mixture gas of hydrogen and propane was stopped, and the bottom-blown gas was switched to an argon gas. The molten steel was discharged into a ladle, and the components of the molten steel were analyzed (represented with a suffix "f"). Thereafter, the ladle was subjected to a vacuum treatment in a vacuum degassing device, and the molten steel was cast after being adjusted to a predetermined composition.

[0027] A test was conducted under, as comparative conditions, conditions where an argon gas was supplied as a bottom-blown gas during decarburization refining in a converter. Further, a test was conducted under conditions where only an argon gas was supplied by being bottom-blown during decarburization refining in a converter, and after the molten steel was discharged into a ladle, a hydrogen gas or a hydrocarbon gas was supplied as a circulating gas during a vacuum degassing treatment.

(Tests 1 to 3)

[0028] Molten iron obtained by melting scrap in an electric furnace and blast-furnace molten pig iron were mixed in a converter-charging pot so as to adjust the amount of the mixed molten iron to 300 t. The carbon concentration $[C]_e$ of the molten iron at the time of discharging from the electric furnace was 0.2 to 0.3 mass%. When the mixing ratio between the blast-furnace molten pig iron and the electric-furnace molten iron was changed, the carbon concentration $[C]_i$ after the mixing was 2.5 to 3.5 mass%. The molten iron thus mixed was charged into a converter and subjected to decarburization refining. While an oxygen gas for decarburization was being supplied, an argon gas was supplied at 40 Nm³/min through a porous plug installed at the bottom of the converter. After the molten steel was discharged from the converter, the components were analyzed, and further a vacuum degassing treatment was performed. As the circulating gas in this case, an argon gas was used. Upon completion of the degassing treatment, casting was performed using a continuous casting machine.

[0029] As a result, under a condition where the carbon concentration $[C]_i$ at the time of charging into the converter exceeded 3.0 mass%, both the nitrogen concentration $[N]_f$ (mass ppm) at the time of discharging from the converter and the crude steel nitrogen concentration N (mass ppm) were low. However, when the carbon concentration $[C]_i$ at the time of charging into the converter is at a level below 3.0 mass%, both the nitrogen concentration $[N]_e$ at the time of discharging from the converter and the crude steel nitrogen concentration N were high.

(Tests 4 to 7)

[0030] Molten iron obtained by melting scrap in an electric furnace and blast-furnace molten pig iron were mixed in a converter-charging pot so as to adjust the amount of the mixed molten iron to 300 t. The carbon concentration $[C]_e$ of the molten iron at the time of discharging from the electric furnace was 0.2 to 0.3 mass%. When the mixing ratio between the blast-furnace molten pig iron and the electric-furnace molten iron was changed, the carbon concentration $[C]_i$ after the mixing was 2.5 to 2.8 mass%. The molten iron thus mixed was charged into a converter and subjected to decarburization refining. While an oxygen gas for decarburization was being supplied, an argon gas was supplied at 40 Nm³/min through a porous plug installed at the bottom of the converter. After the molten steel was discharged from the converter, the components were analyzed, and further a vacuum degassing treatment was performed. As the circulating gas in this case, a hydrogen gas or a propane gas was used. Upon completion of the degassing treatment, casting was performed using a continuous casting machine.

[0031] As a result, while the nitrogen concentration $[N]_e$ of the molten steel at the time of discharging from the converter

was high, the crude steel nitrogen concentration N was low owing to the denitrification reaction being promoted during the vacuum degassing treatment. However, the crude steel hydrogen concentration H (mass ppm) was high.

(Tests 8 to 11)

[0032] Molten iron obtained by melting scrap in an electric furnace and blast-furnace molten pig iron were mixed in a converter-charging pot so as to adjust the amount of the mixed molten iron to 300 t. The carbon concentration $[C]_e$ of the molten iron at the time of discharging from the electric furnace was 0.2 to 0.3 mass%. When the mixing ratio between the blast-furnace molten pig iron and the electric-furnace molten iron was changed, the carbon concentration $[C]_i$ after the mixing was 2.5 to 2.8 mass%. The molten iron thus mixed was charged into a converter and subjected to decarburization refining. While an oxygen gas for decarburization was being supplied, an argon gas was supplied at 40 Nm³/min through a porous plug installed at the bottom of the converter. After the molten steel was discharged from the converter, the components were analyzed, and further a vacuum degassing treatment was performed. As the circulating gas in this case, a hydrogen gas or a propane gas was used. The components were analyzed during the vacuum degassing treatment, and the vacuum treatment was continued until the hydrogen concentration became equal to or lower than a predetermined concentration. Upon completion of the degassing treatment, casting was performed using a continuous casting machine.

[0033] As a result, while the nitrogen concentration $[N]_e$ of the molten steel at the time of discharging from the converter was high, the crude steel nitrogen concentration N was low owing to the denitrification reaction being promoted during the vacuum degassing treatment. Further, the crude steel hydrogen concentration H was also low. However, the vacuum degassing treatment time increased significantly.

(Tests 12 to 26)

[0034] Molten iron obtained by melting scrap in an electric furnace and blast-furnace molten pig iron were mixed in a converter-charging pot so as to adjust the amount of the mixed molten iron to 300 t. The carbon concentration $[C]_e$ of the molten iron at the time of discharging from the electric furnace was 0.2 to 0.3 mass%. When the mixing ratio between the blast-furnace molten pig iron and the electric-furnace molten iron was changed, the carbon concentration $[C]_i$ after the mixing was 0.6 to 2.8 mass%. The molten iron thus mixed was charged into a converter and subjected to decarburization refining. While an oxygen gas for decarburization was being supplied, a hydrogen gas or a propane gas or a mixture gas of these gases was supplied at 40 Nm³/min through a porous plug installed at the bottom of the converter. After the molten steel was discharged from the converter, the components were analyzed, and further a vacuum degassing treatment was performed. As the circulating gas in this case, an argon gas was used. Upon completion of the degassing treatment, casting was performed using a continuous casting machine.

[0035] As a result, both the nitrogen concentration $[N]_e$ of the molten steel at the time of discharging from the converter and the crude steel nitrogen concentration N were low. While the hydrogen concentration $[H]_f$ of the molten steel at the time of discharging from the converter was high, the crude steel hydrogen concentration H was low as a result of performing the vacuum degassing treatment. The vacuum degassing treatment time was found not to be prolonged.

(Tests 27 to 41)

[0036] Molten iron obtained by melting reduced iron in an electric furnace and blast-furnace molten pig iron were mixed in a converter-charging pot so as to adjust the amount of the mixed molten iron to 300 t. The carbon concentration $[C]_e$ of the molten iron at the time of discharging from the electric furnace was 1.0 to 1.1 mass%. When the mixing ratio between the blast-furnace molten pig iron and the electric-furnace molten iron was changed, the carbon concentrations $[C]_i$ in tests No. 31, 36, and 41 in which unmixed molten iron was used were 0.9 mass%, while the carbon concentrations $[C]_i$ of other mixed molten irons were 1.4 to 2.9 mass%. Thus, unmixed molten iron or mixed molten iron was charged into a converter and subjected to decarburization refining. While an oxygen gas for decarburization was being supplied, a hydrogen gas or a propane gas or a mixture gas of these gases was supplied at 40 Nm³/min through a porous plug installed at the bottom of the converter. After the molten steel was discharged from the converter, the components were analyzed, and further a vacuum degassing treatment was performed. As the circulating gas in this case, an argon gas was used. Upon completion of the degassing treatment, casting was performed using a continuous casting machine.

[0037] As a result, both the nitrogen concentration $[N]_e$ of the molten steel at the time of discharging from the converter and the crude steel nitrogen concentration N were low. While the hydrogen concentration $[H]_f$ of the molten steel at the time of discharging from the converter was high, the crude steel hydrogen concentration H was low as a result of performing the vacuum degassing treatment. The vacuum degassing treatment time was found not to be prolonged.

[0038] The test conditions and results having been described above are collectively shown in Tables 1-1 to 1-3. The product components in these tables represent values obtained by analyzing the components sampled from a cast steel slab as crude steel components.

[Table 1-1]

No.	Type of cold iron source	When discharged from electric furnace		Mixed with blast-furnace molten pig iron	When charged into converter		Type of bottom-blown gas during decarburization	When discharged from converter		Vacuum degassing treatment		Product components		Remarks		
		[C]e	mass%		[C]i	mass%		[N]f	[H]f	mass ppm	mass ppm	I Type of circulating gas	Treatment time		N	H
1	Scrap	0.2		Mixed	3.5		Argon	23	4	Argon	25	25	2	Comparative Example		
2	Scrap	0.2		Mixed	2.8		Argon	35	3	Argon	25	38	2	Comparative Example		
3	Scrap	0.3		Mixed	2.5		Argon	39	4	Argon	25	43	2	Comparative Example		
4	Scrap	0.2		Mixed	2.8		Argon	34	3	Hydrogen	25	26	9	Comparative Example		
5	Scrap	0.3		Mixed	2.5		Argon	37	4	Hydrogen	25	24	9	Comparative Example		
6	Scrap	0.2		Mixed	2.8		Argon	34	3	Propane	25	17	9	Comparative Example		
7	Scrap	0.3		Mixed	2.5		Argon	37	4	Propane	25	18	9	Comparative Example		
8	Scrap	0.2		Mixed	2.8		Argon	34	3	Hydrogen	40	27	1	Comparative Example		
9	Scrap	0.3		Mixed	2.5		Argon	37	4	Hydrogen	40	23	2	Comparative Example		
10	Scrap	0.2		Mixed	2.8		Argon	34	3	Propane	40	19	1	Comparative Example		
11	Scrap	0.3		Mixed	2.5		Argon	37	4	Propane	40	18	1	Comparative Example		
12	Scrap	0.2		Mixed	2.8		Hydrogen	22	8	Argon	25	24	1	Invention Example		

(continued)

No.	Type of cold iron source	When discharged from electric furnace		Mixed with blast-furnace molten pig iron	When charged into converter		Type of bottom-blown gas during decarburization	When discharged from converter		Vacuum degassing treatment		Product components		Remarks
		[C]e	mass%		[C]i	mass%		[N]f	[H]f			N	H	
								mass ppm	mass ppm	I Type of circulating gas	Treatment time	mass ppm	mass ppm	
13	Scrap	0.3		Mixed	2.5		Hydrogen	21	8	Argon	25	23	2	Invention Example
14	Scrap	0.3		Mixed	1.7		Hydrogen	24	8	Argon	25	26	2	Invention Example
15	Scrap	0.2		Mixed	1.2		Hydrogen	21	9	Argon	25	23	1	Invention Example

[Table 1-2]

No.	Type of cold iron source	When discharged from electric furnace		Mixed with blast-furnace molten pig iron	When charged into converter		Type of bottom-blown gas during decarburization	When discharged from converter		Vacuum degassing treatment		Product components		Remarks
		[C]e	mass%		[C]i	mass%		[N]f	[H]f			N	H	
								mass ppm	mass ppm	Type of circulating gas	Treatment time	mass ppm	mass ppm	
16	Scrap	0.3		Mixed	0.6		Hydrogen	23	7	Argon	25	25	2	Invention Example
17	Scrap	0.2		Mixed	2.8		Propane	16	8	Argon	25	18	1	Invention Example
18	Scrap	0.3		Mixed	2.5		Propane	17	8	Argon	25	18	2	Invention Example
19	Scrap	0.3		Mixed	1.7		Propane	18	8	Argon	25	19	2	Invention Example
20	Scrap	0.2		Mixed	1.2		Propane	18	9	Argon	25	20	1	Invention Example
21	Scrap	0.3		Mixed	0.6		Propane	17	7	Argon	25	18	2	Invention Example
22	Scrap	0.2		Mixed	2.8		Mixture of 50% hydrogen and 50% propane	17	8	Argon	25	18	1	Invention Example
23	Scrap	0.3		Mixed	2.5		Mixture of 50% hydrogen and 50% propane	18	7	Argon	25	19	1	Invention Example
24	Scrap	0.3		Mixed	1.7		Mixture of 50% hydrogen and 50% propane	18	8	Argon	25	19	2	Invention Example
25	Scrap	0.2		Mixed	1.2		Mixture of 50% hydrogen and 50% propane	19	9	Argon	25	20	1	Invention Example

(continued)

No.	Type of cold iron source	When discharged from electric furnace		Mixed with blast-furnace molten pig iron	When charged into converter		Type of bottom-blown gas during decarburization		When discharged from converter		Vacuum degassing treatment		Product components		Remarks
		[C]e	mass%		[C]i	mass%			[N]f	[H]f	Type of circulating gas	Treatment time	N	H	
26	Scrap	0.3		Mixed	0.6		Mixture of 50% hydrogen and 50% propane		19	8	Argon	25	20	2	Invention Example
27	Reduced iron	1.1		Mixed	2.9		Hydrogen		23	8	Argon	25	24	1	Invention Example
28	Reduced iron	1.0		Mixed	2.4		Hydrogen		25	8	Argon	25	27	2	Invention Example
29	Reduced iron	1.1		Mixed	1.7		Hydrogen		25	8	Argon	25	28	2	Invention Example
30	Reduced iron	1.1		Mixed	1.4		Hydrogen		24	9	Argon	25	25	1	Invention Example

[Table 1-3]

No.	Type of cold iron source	When discharged from electric furnace		Mixed with blast-furnace molten pig iron	When charged into converter		Type of bottom-blown gas during decarburization	When discharged from converter		Vacuum degassing treatment		Product components		Remarks
		[C]e	mass%		[C]i	mass%		[N]f	[H]f	Type of circulating gas	Treatment time	N	H	
31	Reduced iron	1.0		Not mixed	0.9		Hydrogen	25	7	Argon	25	27	2	Invention Example
32	Reduced iron	1.1		Mixed	2.9		Propane	16	8	Argon	25	18	1	Invention Example
33	Reduced iron	1.0		Mixed	2.4		Propane	17	8	Argon	25	19	2	Invention Example
34	Reduced iron	1.1		Mixed	1.7		Propane	17	8	Argon	25	19	2	Invention Example
35	Reduced iron	1.1		Mixed	1.4		Propane	18	9	Argon	25	19	1	Invention Example
36	Reduced iron	1.0		Not mixed	0.9		Propane	18	9	Argon	25	19	2	Invention Example
37	Reduced iron	1.1		Mixed	2.9		Mixture of 50% hydrogen and 50% propane	18	8	Argon	25	19	1	Invention Example
38	Reduced iron	1.0		Mixed	2.4		Mixture of 50% hydrogen and 50% propane	18	9	Argon	25	19	1	Invention Example
39	Reduced iron	1.1		Mixed	1.7		Mixture of 50% hydrogen and 50% propane	18	8	Argon	25	19	1	Invention Example
40	Reduced iron	1.1		Mixed	1.4		Mixture of 50% hydrogen and 50% propane	17	9	Argon	25	19	2	Invention Example

(continued)

No.	Type of cold iron source	When discharged from electric furnace		Mixed with blast-furnace molten pig iron	When charged into converter		Type of bottom-blown gas during decarburization		When discharged from converter		Vacuum degassing treatment		Product components		Remarks
		[C]e	mass%		[C]i	mass%			[N]f	[H]f	Type of circulating gas	Treatment time	N	H	
41	Reduced iron		1.0	Not mixed		0.9	Mixture of 50% hydrogen and 50% propane		19	9	Argon	25	20	1	Invention Example

Industrial Applicability

[0039] The method for refining molten iron according to the present invention can stably produce low-nitrogen steel with a nitrogen concentration of 30 mass ppm or lower under the condition of an increased amount of cold iron source used, without a significant decrease in productivity or cost increase, and without adding to the amount of slag generated or the amount of CO₂ generated. This method is industrially useful in that it allows existing integrated ironworks to reduce CO₂ emissions and produce high-grade steels at the same time while using blast-furnace molten pig iron and a cold iron source in combination.

Claims

1. A method for refining molten iron, **characterized in that**

untreated molten iron with a carbon concentration [C]_i between 0.5 mass% and 3.0 mass%, both inclusive, is placed into a vessel, and oxygen is blown onto the untreated molten iron under atmospheric pressure while a hydrogen gas, a hydrocarbon gas, or a mixture gas of these gases is blown in to perform a decarburization and denitrification treatment of the untreated molten iron.

2. The method for refining molten iron according to claim 1, wherein a nitrogen concentration [N]_ε in treated molten iron after being subjected to the decarburization and denitrification treatment is 30 mass ppm or lower.

3. The method for refining molten iron according to claim 1 or 2, wherein treated molten iron after being subjected to the decarburization and denitrification treatment is further subjected to a vacuum degassing treatment.

4. The method for refining molten iron according to any one of claims 1 to 3, wherein the untreated molten iron includes molten iron obtained by melting a cold iron source.

5. The method for refining molten iron according to any one of claims 1 to 3, wherein the untreated molten iron is a mixture of primary molten iron obtained by melting a cold iron source in a melting furnace and molten pig iron having a carbon concentration of 2.0 mass% or higher.

6. The method for refining molten iron according to claim 4 or 5, wherein the cold iron source includes reduced iron.

7. The method for refining molten iron according to any one of claims 1 to 6, wherein the vessel is a converter.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/JP2022/018168

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER C21C 5/28 (2006.01)i; C21C 7/00 (2006.01)i; C21C 7/068 (2006.01)i; C21C 7/10 (2006.01)i FI: C21C5/28 Z; C21C7/10 Z; C21C7/00 N; C21C7/068; C21C5/28 A According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC																											
B. FIELDS SEARCHED Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) C21C5/28; C21C7/00; C21C7/068; C21C7/10 Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched Published examined utility model applications of Japan 1922-1996 Published unexamined utility model applications of Japan 1971-2022 Registered utility model specifications of Japan 1996-2022 Published registered utility model applications of Japan 1994-2022 Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)																											
C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Category*</th> <th>Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages</th> <th>Relevant to claim No.</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>X</td> <td>JP 5-78726 A (SUMITOMO METAL IND LTD) 30 March 1993 (1993-03-30) paragraphs [0045]-[0047], table 4</td> <td>1, 2, 4, 6, 7</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Y</td> <td>paragraphs [0045]-[0047], table 4</td> <td>3, 5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>X</td> <td>JP 4-224612 A (SUMITOMO METAL IND LTD) 13 August 1992 (1992-08-13) paragraphs [0026]-[0027]</td> <td>1, 2, 4, 6, 7</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Y</td> <td>paragraphs [0026]-[0027]</td> <td>3, 5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>X</td> <td>JP 61-223117 A (KAWASAKI STEEL CORP) 03 October 1986 (1986-10-03) claims, example 1, table 4</td> <td>1, 2, 4, 6, 7</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Y</td> <td>claims, example 1, table 4</td> <td>3, 5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>X</td> <td>JP 60-194009 A (KAWASAKI STEEL CORP) 02 October 1985 (1985-10-02) claims, examples, table 1</td> <td>1, 2, 4, 6, 7</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Y</td> <td>claims, examples, table 1</td> <td>3, 5</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.	X	JP 5-78726 A (SUMITOMO METAL IND LTD) 30 March 1993 (1993-03-30) paragraphs [0045]-[0047], table 4	1, 2, 4, 6, 7	Y	paragraphs [0045]-[0047], table 4	3, 5	X	JP 4-224612 A (SUMITOMO METAL IND LTD) 13 August 1992 (1992-08-13) paragraphs [0026]-[0027]	1, 2, 4, 6, 7	Y	paragraphs [0026]-[0027]	3, 5	X	JP 61-223117 A (KAWASAKI STEEL CORP) 03 October 1986 (1986-10-03) claims, example 1, table 4	1, 2, 4, 6, 7	Y	claims, example 1, table 4	3, 5	X	JP 60-194009 A (KAWASAKI STEEL CORP) 02 October 1985 (1985-10-02) claims, examples, table 1	1, 2, 4, 6, 7	Y	claims, examples, table 1	3, 5
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.																									
X	JP 5-78726 A (SUMITOMO METAL IND LTD) 30 March 1993 (1993-03-30) paragraphs [0045]-[0047], table 4	1, 2, 4, 6, 7																									
Y	paragraphs [0045]-[0047], table 4	3, 5																									
X	JP 4-224612 A (SUMITOMO METAL IND LTD) 13 August 1992 (1992-08-13) paragraphs [0026]-[0027]	1, 2, 4, 6, 7																									
Y	paragraphs [0026]-[0027]	3, 5																									
X	JP 61-223117 A (KAWASAKI STEEL CORP) 03 October 1986 (1986-10-03) claims, example 1, table 4	1, 2, 4, 6, 7																									
Y	claims, example 1, table 4	3, 5																									
X	JP 60-194009 A (KAWASAKI STEEL CORP) 02 October 1985 (1985-10-02) claims, examples, table 1	1, 2, 4, 6, 7																									
Y	claims, examples, table 1	3, 5																									
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> See patent family annex.																											
<table border="0"> <tr> <td style="vertical-align: top;"> * Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed </td> <td style="vertical-align: top;"> "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art "&" document member of the same patent family </td> </tr> </table>	* Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed	"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art "&" document member of the same patent family																									
* Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed	"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art "&" document member of the same patent family																										
<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Date of the actual completion of the international search 20 May 2022</td> <td>Date of mailing of the international search report 07 June 2022</td> </tr> </table>	Date of the actual completion of the international search 20 May 2022	Date of mailing of the international search report 07 June 2022																									
Date of the actual completion of the international search 20 May 2022	Date of mailing of the international search report 07 June 2022																										
<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Name and mailing address of the ISA/JP Japan Patent Office (ISA/JP) 3-4-3 Kasumigaseki, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 100-8915 Japan</td> <td>Authorized officer Telephone No.</td> </tr> </table>	Name and mailing address of the ISA/JP Japan Patent Office (ISA/JP) 3-4-3 Kasumigaseki, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 100-8915 Japan	Authorized officer Telephone No.																									
Name and mailing address of the ISA/JP Japan Patent Office (ISA/JP) 3-4-3 Kasumigaseki, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 100-8915 Japan	Authorized officer Telephone No.																										

Form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) (January 2015)

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/JP2022/018168

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	JP 59-25916 A (KAWASAKI STEEL CORP) 10 February 1984 (1984-02-10) claims, table 2	1, 2, 4, 6, 7
Y	claims, table 2	3, 5
X	JP 58-147509 A (KAWASAKI STEEL CORP) 02 September 1983 (1983-09-02) claims, table 3	1, 2, 4, 6, 7
Y	claims, table 3	3, 5
Y	JP 2015-30868 A (JFE STEEL CORP) 16 February 2015 (2015-02-16) claims, paragraph [0001]	3-7
Y	JP 2009-263783 A (JFE STEEL CORP) 12 November 2009 (2009-11-12) paragraph [0019]	3-7
Y	JP 2010-209383 A (JFE STEEL CORP) 24 September 2010 (2010-09-24) paragraph [0001], claims	5-7
Y	JP 2011-80143 A (JFE STEEL CORP) 21 April 2011 (2011-04-21) paragraphs [0072]-[0073], [0077]-[0078]	5-7

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT
Information on patent family members

International application No.

PCT/JP2022/018168

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date (day/month/year)	Patent family member(s)	Publication date (day/month/year)
JP 5-78726 A	30 March 1993	(Family: none)	
JP 4-224612 A	13 August 1992	(Family: none)	
JP 61-223117 A	03 October 1986	(Family: none)	
JP 60-194009 A	02 October 1985	(Family: none)	
JP 59-25916 A	10 February 1984	(Family: none)	
JP 58-147509 A	02 September 1983	(Family: none)	
JP 2015-30868 A	16 February 2015	(Family: none)	
JP 2009-263783 A	12 November 2009	(Family: none)	
JP 2010-209383 A	24 September 2010	(Family: none)	
JP 2011-80143 A	21 April 2011	(Family: none)	

Form PCT/ISA/210 (patent family annex) (January 2015)

REFERENCES CITED IN THE DESCRIPTION

This list of references cited by the applicant is for the reader's convenience only. It does not form part of the European patent document. Even though great care has been taken in compiling the references, errors or omissions cannot be excluded and the EPO disclaims all liability in this regard.

Patent documents cited in the description

- JP 2004211120 A [0008]
- JP 2007211298 A [0008]
- JP 2000045013 A [0008]